



STATE MINING AND GEOLOGY BOARD

DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION

801 K Street • Suite 2015 • Sacramento, California 95814

www.conservation.ca.gov/smgb

smgb@conservation.ca.gov

Publication Date: May 23, 2013

CONFORMED MINUTES

THE STATE MINING AND GEOLOGY BOARD

Conducted a Regular Business Meeting on:

Thursday, June 13, 2013

10:00 A.M.

LOCATION:

Assembly Hearing Room #444

State Capitol

Sacramento, CA 95814

AGENDA

For questions regarding this Agenda, please contact the SMGB office by telephone at (916) 322-1082, or by facsimile at (916) 445-0738. This Notice and associated staff reports can be accessed electronically at the SMGB's Internet web site at: <http://www.consrv.ca.gov/smgb/> (note: Agenda reports should be available electronically approximately one week prior to the scheduled meeting/hearing date).

The SMGB requires that all lengthy comments be submitted in writing in advance of the meeting date where this matter is to be decided. To ensure that the SMGB has the opportunity to fully preview written material, comments should be received in the SMGB office located at 801 K Street, Sacramento, CA, 95814, no later than 5:00 P.M., 15 calendar days prior to the scheduled meeting date, and must identify the Agenda Item to which it relates. For written materials in excess of two pages, or that contains large maps, photos, foldouts, or other documents requiring special handling, please submit 15 copies. The SMGB will not reproduce these types of documents. Comments on Agenda Items will be accepted by electronic mail, and are subject to the same conditions set forth for other written submissions.

New submittals received after 15 calendar days prior to the scheduled meeting date will be marked as late, and the SMGB will decide whether new submittals will be considered or not during the public hearing. Late submittals, received by the SMGB at least 48 hours prior to the scheduled meeting, will be included in a late document submittal listing. Late submittals, received after 48 hours prior to

the scheduled meeting, will not be included on the late document submittal list. The SMGB would accept a two-page written summary of testimony, or final comments based on, and limited to, review of the SMGB's packet and/or Executive Officer's report, at the time of the public hearing. Such two-page summaries would be included as part of the administrative record before the SMGB. This policy does not apply to quasijudicial proceedings (i.e., appeals, petitions, etc.) where administrative procedures for the submittal of documents are set forth in statute and regulation.

Individuals are responsible for presenting their own projects at the meeting.

[NOTE: Times are approximate. The chairman may alter the hearing start time or agenda item order during the meeting.]

I. Call to Order (Gonzalez)

II. Pledge of Allegiance

III. Roll Call and Declaration of a Quorum

The meeting was called to order at 10:00 A. M. by Chairman Gonzalez, with Members Barry, Gavric, Kenline, Lane and Reding present, and Member Noushkam absent, and a quorum was declared.

IV. Director's Report (Nechodom, Department of Conservation) [Information]

Director of the Department of Conservation, Dr. Mark Nechodom, reported on the following:

- With the update on the progress of inspection form revisions; the SMGB should be able to offer its formal opinion on the effectiveness of the form at the next meeting.
- In the next few months, OMR will undergo structural and personnel changes, and an internal look at the structure, function, roles and responsibilities within the division, and in relation to the SMGB.
- The Department is aware of the issues regarding SB 108, and plans to exercise as much flexibility as possible and intends to follow the spirit of SB 108 to get mining in California into the 21st century.

- State Geologist's Report (Parrish) [Information]

No report provided

- Office of Mine Reclamation Report (Trott) [Information]

Acting Assistant Director of the Office of Mine Reclamation (OMR), Ken Trott, reported on the following:

- Remarkd on the status of personnel additions and vacancies, and OMR workshops.
- OMR has been very busy with submittals pertaining to SB 108.
- OMR Lead Agency Review Team (LART) will be providing a presentation pertaining to its process at the SMGB's July regular business meeting.



V. Chairman's Report (Gonzalez) [Information]

No report provided.

VI. Executive Officer's Report (Testa) [Information]

Executive Officer Testa reported on the following:

- Status of rulemakings in progress was provided. The rulemaking packets for the Palm Springs and San Gabriel Production-Consumption Regions have been completed, with San Bernardino near completion. Final SMGB designation maps being prepared by CGS are in progress. A public hearing to receive comment on the proposed designation and termination of designation within the Stockton-Lodi P-C Region was also being scheduled.
- The SMGB will receive responses to 45-Day Notices to Correct Deficiencies issued to the Counties of Mendocino and San Mateo at its July regular business meeting.
- The Executive Officer reviewed and provided comments on the LART process to the Director.
- Revised mining ordinances for the Counties of Orange and Santa Clara were received and under review.

VII. Ex-Parte Communication Disclosure [Information]

[Board Members will identify any discussions they may have had requiring disclosure pursuant to Public Resources Code Sections 663.1 and 663.2.]

- Member Gavric noted a discussion with Dave Brown, consultant with Benchmark, who represented the operator of the proposed Carmelita Mine project. Brown expressed his disappointment with the SMGB's decision on the Carmelita Mine, and suggested that SMGB members had not prepared themselves adequately and also critiqued the Executive Officer's report. Brown also had numerous criticisms of the Department, and suggested that the agenda should have been cleared to attend entirely to the Carmelita Mine issue. Member Gavric indicated to Brown that this constituted an Ex Parte communication and that she would disclose accordingly. Brown did not agree that it was an Ex Parte communication.
- Member Lane noted he would be attending The Sierra Fund meeting the week of June 17.
- Member Kenline noted he attended the OMR workshop held on June 12.

VIII. Good of the Meeting [Information]

[This time is scheduled to provide the public with an opportunity to address non-agenda items. Those wishing to speak should do so at this time. All persons wishing to address the SMGB should fill out a speaker card and present it to the Secretary so that the Chair can determine the number of persons who wish to speak. Speakers are limited to three minutes except by special consent of the Chairman.]

- Ms. Rhonda Fry, resident of Santa Clara County, commented on compliance matters related to the Lehigh Quarry, located in the County of Santa Clara, notably, absence of true regulation of the mining operation and questioned the operation's continued placement on the AB 3098 List.
- Lisa Meritt, mining consultant representing various operators, commented on a number of problems associated with complying with SB 108.
- David Jones, Chief Engineering Geologist with the County of Riverside, introduced himself.

IX. Consent Items [Action]

[All the items appearing under this section will be acted upon by the SMGB by one motion and without discussion; however, any Board member wishing to discuss a particular item



may request the Chairman to remove the item from the Consent Calendar and consider it separately under Continued Business or New Business.]

1. Approval of Minutes, April 11, 2013 Regular Business Meeting.
2. Approval of a Revised Financial Assurance Cost Estimate, Teichert Marysville Facility (CA Mine ID No. 91-58-0019), Teichert Aggregates (Operator), Mr. Michael Smith (Agent), County of Yuba.
3. Approval of a Revised Financial Assurance Cost Estimate, Blue Point Mine (CA Mine ID No. 91-58-0021), Blue Point Partners (Operator), Mr. Brian Bisnett (Agent), County of Yuba.

Board Member Gavric moved to conditionally approve the minutes, with revision. Board Member Barry seconded and the motion carried with a unanimous voice vote.

X. Continued Business [Action]

[These business items have been continued from a previous meeting/hearing.]

4. Review of Lead Agency Response to the State Mining and Geology Board's Issuance of a 45-Day Notice to Correct Deficiencies to the City of Pacifica, Pursuant to the Surface Mining and Reclamation Act (SMARA). Following Review, the Board may take appropriate action in accordance with Public Resources Code Section 2774.4(a).

Executive Officer noted that the SMGB at its October 11, 2012 regular business meeting, and based on the October 2012 OMR LART report on the City of Pacifica, moved to issue a 45-Day Notice to Correct Deficiencies (Notice) to the City pursuant to Public Resources Code (PRC) Section 2774.4(a). The Notice was issued on October 16, 2012. The City responded to the Notice on December 16. The SMGB was the former SMARA lead agency for the City from 2003 to 2006. When the SMGB certified the City's mining ordinance on May 12, 2006, the City became the SMARA lead agency. The City has one surface mining operation (Pacifica Quarry; CA Mine ID #91-41-0001) within its jurisdiction. The primary commodity was sand and gravel, and the current status is noted as closed with no intent to resume. Although deemed stable with no identified public health and safety issues, the site remains unreclaimed in accordance with its approved reclamation plan. The LART report dated October 3, 2012, noted several outstanding deficiencies based on an inspection conducted on August 2, 2011. Although delinquent in commencing enforcement actions and failing to act promptly to secure an adequate financial assurance mechanism, the City is taking appropriate steps to rectify this situation, and as of April 8, 2013, this deficiency has been corrected. The SMGB will 1) assess whether the City has adequately addressed all outstanding deficiencies, and 2) consider, following review of the response from the City, whether the SMGB wishes to take appropriate action in accordance with PRC Section 2774.4(a).

Board Member Lane moved to determine that the City of Pacifica has addressed the deficiencies to the satisfaction of the SMGB. Board Member Kenline seconded and the motion carried with a 5-1 roll call vote. Member Gavric voted no.

5. Review of Lead Agency Response to the State Mining and Geology Board's Issuance of a 45-Day Notice to Correct Deficiencies to the City of Bakersfield, Pursuant to the Surface Mining and Reclamation Act (SMARA). Following Review, the Board may take appropriate action in accordance with Public Resources Code section 2774.4(a).



Executive Officer noted that the review of the City's SMARA program was performed in 2011, with the LART report completed and forwarded to the City on May 21, 2012. The City responded to the LART report on June 18, 2012. At its regular business meeting held on December 13, 2012, the SMGB received a report from the OMR LART dated November 21, 2012, on the overall status and performance of the City of Bakersfield in implementing its SMARA program. In correspondence dated February 12, 2013, the City informed OMR that based on an inspection conducted on January 25, 2013, the site has been reclaimed in accordance with the approved reclamation plan. There was no financial assurance in place, thus, request for release of a financial assurance mechanism is no longer germane to the site closure request. One surface mining operation is reported to exist within the jurisdiction of the City. The operation, referred to as The Sand Pit (CA Mine ID 91-15-0101), is an abandoned sand and gravel mine. Several deficiencies were identified. Based on deficiencies identified in the LART report, the SMGB, at its December 13, 2012 regular business meeting, decided to issue a 45-Day Notice to Correct Deficiencies (Notice) to the City. The Notice was issued on December 20, 2012. At its April 11, 2013 regular business meeting, the SMGB continued this matter to allow sufficient time for OMR to inspect the site and make a determination as to whether OMR concurs with the City that the site can be certified closed. On February 12, 2013, the City submitted a request for closure, with supporting documentation submitted on April 18 and 29, 2013, pursuant to CCR Section 3805.5. OMR inspected the site on April 18, 2013, and concurred with the City that the subject site has been reclaimed in accordance with the approved reclamation plan, and that no aspects of the reclaimed surface mining operation are inconsistent with the meaning of reclamation per PRC Section 2733, and thus is now closed. The SMGB will 1) assess whether the City has adequately addressed all outstanding deficiencies, and 2) consider, following review of the response from the City, whether the SMGB wishes to take appropriate action in accordance with PRC Section 2774.4(a).

Board Member Kenline moved to determine that the City of Bakersfield has addressed the deficiencies to the satisfaction of the SMGB. Board Member Gavric seconded and the motion carried with a unanimous voice vote.

XI. New Business [Action]

6. Review of Lead Agency Response to the State Mining and Geology Board's Issuance of a 45-Day Notice to Correct Deficiencies to the County of Del Norte, Pursuant to the Surface Mining and Reclamation Act (SMARA). Following Review, the SMGB may take appropriate action in accordance with Public Resources Code Section 2774.4(a).

Executive Officer noted that at its regular business meeting held on December 13, 2012, the SMGB received a report from the OMR LART dated November 21, 2012, on the overall status and performance status of the County of Del Norte surface mining and reclamation program. Deficiencies pursuant to PRC Section 2774.4(a) were reported. Ten surface mining operations are reported to exist within the jurisdiction of the County. Commodities produced include primarily sand and gravel, and rock. Based on the SMGB's review of the LART report, the SMGB issued a 45-Day Notice to Correct Deficiencies to the County dated December 31, 2012, with a response to the Notice from the County dated February 4, 2013. The SMGB will 1) assess whether the County has adequately addressed all outstanding deficiencies, and 2) consider, based on the response received from the County, whether the SMGB will take appropriate action in accordance with PRC Section 2774.4(a).

- Randy Hooper, City Planner, commented on the County's SMARA program and thanked the SMGB and its staff for the assistance provided to the County in addressing the deficiencies.



Board Member Gavric moved to determine that the County of Del Norte is making a good faith effort in fulfilling its responsibilities and obligations as a lead agency under SMARA, but has not fully addressed the deficiencies to the satisfaction of the Board. Board Member Barry seconded and the motion carried with a unanimous voice vote.

7. Review of Lead Agency Response to the State Mining and Geology Board's Issuance of a 45-Day Notice to Correct Deficiencies to the County of Mendocino, Pursuant to the Surface Mining and Reclamation Act (SMARA). Following Review, the Board may take appropriate action in accordance with Public Resources Code Section 2774.4(a).

Deferred.

8. Review of Lead Agency Response to the State Mining and Geology Board's Issuance of a 45-Day Notice to Correct Deficiencies to the County of Mono, Pursuant to the Surface Mining and Reclamation Act (SMARA). Following Review, the Board may take appropriate action in accordance with Public Resources Code Section 2774.4(a).

Executive Officer Testa noted that at its regular business meeting held on June 9, 2011, the SMGB received a report from the OMR LART on the overall status and performance status of the County of Mono surface mining program pursuant to the SMARA dated February 28, 2011. Several deficiencies pursuant to PRC Section 2774.4(a) were reported. Fifteen surface mining operations are reported to exist within the jurisdiction of the County. Commodities produced include primarily sand and gravel, with subordinate amounts of cinder, clay, decomposed granite, fill dirt, sericite and pumice. Based on the SMGB's review of the LART report, the SMGB issued a 45-Day Notice to Correct Deficiencies (Notice) to the County dated October 16, 2012, with a response to the Notice received from the County dated January 15, 2013. The County's response also incorporated reference to previous information and documentation submitted to the SMGB following issuance of the Notice. The SMGB will 1) assess whether the County has adequately addressed all outstanding deficiencies, and 2) consider, based on the response received from the County, whether the SMGB will take appropriate action in accordance with PRC Section 2774.4(a). The SMGB will consider whether the County has addressed the deficiencies to the satisfaction of the SMGB.

- Scott Burns, Community Development Director for the County of Mono, and Nick Criss, Compliance Officer for the County of Mono, provided a synopsis of the County's SMARA program and status report based on the efforts set forth by the County to address the deficiencies.

Board Member Lane moved to determine that the County of Mono has addressed the deficiencies to the satisfaction of the SMGB, and requested copies of the 2013 round of inspection reports once completed for review and comment by the Executive Officer. Board Member Barry seconded and the motion carried with a unanimous voice vote.

9. Consideration of Site Closure and Release of Financial Assurance, Point Richmond (Canal) Quarry (CA Mine ID No. 91-07-0007), Bottoms Family Trust (Operator), Ms. Mignone Wood (Agent), City of Richmond.

Executive Officer Testa noted that the SMGB serves as the lead agency under SMARA for forty-six (46) individual surface mining operations, including operations located within the jurisdiction of the City of Richmond. On May 10, 2012, the SMGB moved to accept the 2011 SMARA Mine Inspection Report for the subject site, which concluded in part that reclamation objectives had been achieved. On October 23, 2012, the designated agent provided SMGB staff documentation supporting their request for site closure and release of the financial



assurance mechanism for the Point Richmond (Canal) Quarry. Based on review of such documentation, SMGB staff has completed the process for release of the existing financial assurance per California Code of Regulations (CCR) Section 3805.5. The SMGB is considering approval of site closure and release of the remaining financial assurance mechanism for the Canal Quarry.

- Will Arcand, Senior Engineering Geologist with the SMGB, provided a synopsis of the reclamation efforts employed at the Richmond Canal Quarry.
- Mignone Wood, representing the operator and the former consultant with LSA who worked on the reclamation plan for this site, introduced herself and offered to answer any questions.

Board Member Gavric moved to determine that the site has been deemed reclaimed and that the financial assurance can be released. Board Member Lane seconded and the motion carried with a unanimous voice vote.

10. Issuance of an Administrative Penalty to the Big Cut Mine (CA Mine ID #91-09-00XX), Joseph and Yvette Hardesty and Rick Churches (Operators), Joseph and Yvette Hardesty, Rick Churches, and Dan Tankersley (Agents), County of El Dorado, for Failure to Correct Violations Pursuant to the Surface Mining and Reclamation Act (Public Resources Code Section 2710 et seq.).

Executive Officer Testa noted that SMGB acts as the SMARA lead agency for all surface mining operations in the County of El Dorado. The Big Cut Mine is an unpermitted and illegal surface mining operation located south of Placerville in El Dorado County. The Executive Officer's report presents discussions of pertinent SMARA statutes and SMGB regulations, a history of violations, and site background and compliance status. Additionally, an analysis of administrative penalty criteria and Executive Officer's recommendations for penalty amounts are presented for SMGB consideration. The Executive Officer submits that the original date of non-compliance for purposes of the current penalty proceedings is June 9, 2011, which is the date on which an authorized agent of the owners/operators received the SMGB's May 5, 2011/June 9, 2011 NOV. Three separate violations are identified in the June 9, 2011 NOV. Thus, the maximum modified amount of penalty to be considered is \$11,025,000.00 [\$5,000.00 per day x 735 days (June 9, 2011 through June 13, 2013) x 3 (number of violations identified in June 9, 2011 NOV)]. Based on consideration of the above maximum penalty amount, the existing site conditions and the substantial amount of SMGB staff time and resources expended in addressing this matter to date, the Executive Officer recommends that the SMGB issue an order imposing an administrative penalty in the total amount of \$2,500,000.00. This amount is reflective of approximately \$250,000.00 worth of SMGB staff time and resources expended, a preliminary estimate of approximately \$750,000.00 to reclaim the property, and a fine for continuing non-compliance of \$1,500,000.00. This recommended amount supersedes and replaces the January 12, 2012 administrative penalty in the amount of \$750,000. The SMGB is considering the amount of administrative penalty it wishes to impose based on the information contained in the Executive Officer's report.

Board Member Reding moved to issue an administrative penalty of \$11,025,000 to the operators of the Big Cut Quarry located in El Dorado County. Board Member Kenline seconded and the motion carried with a unanimous voice vote.

11. Notification of Potential Accumulated Administrative Penalties for the Richmond (Chevron) Quarry (CA Mine ID #91-07-0006), Dutra Materials (Operator), Harry Stewart (Agent), City of Richmond, for Failure to Correct Violations Pursuant to the Surface Mining and Reclamation Act (Public Resources Code Section 2710 et seq.).
[Information]

Deferred.



12. Approval of the State Mining and Geology Board's Strategic Plan.

Executive Officer Testa noted that at its November 2010, regular business meeting, the SMGB approved an updated Strategic Plan. The SMGB has before it a revised Strategic Plan reflecting a few minor edits and changes, including updating of graphs provided in Section 4.0 – Budget and Staffing, and the Attachments.

- Comments on the draft revised Strategic Plan were provided by Members Gavric and Lane.
- Former Chairman Garner, currently with the OMR, was requested to comment on the Strategic Plan and concurred with the SMGB's approach and noted need for an improved and more resourceful SMARA database and digital submittals of documents.

Board Member Lane moved to continue this matter to the July regular business meeting. Board Member Gavric seconded and the motion carried with a unanimous voice vote.

XII. Special Reports and Department Presentations [Possible Action]

[Based on these Reports, the Chair may instruct SMGB staff to initiate administrative actions.]

13. Information Report on the 2012 Aggregate Sustainability Map of California. [CGS]

Executive Officer Testa noted that California Geological Survey (CGS) Map Sheet 52, 1:1,100,000-scale, and the accompanying report provide general information about the current availability of, and future demand for, California's permitted aggregate reserves. Map Sheet 52 was originally published in 2002 (Kohler 2002) and subsequently updated in 2006 (Kohler 2006). Map Sheet 52 (2012) is an update of the version published in 2006. Map Sheet 52 updates data from reports compiled by the CGS for 31 aggregate study areas throughout the state. These study areas cover about 30 percent of the state and provide aggregate for about 85 percent of California's population. This report is divided into three parts: Part I provides data sources and methods used to derive the information presented; Part II compares the updated 2012 Map Sheet 52 to the prior (2006) map; and, Part III is an overview of construction aggregate. All aggregate data and any reference to "aggregate" in this report and on the map pertain to "construction aggregate," defined for this report as alluvial sand and gravel or crushed stone that meets standard specifications for use in PCC or AC unless otherwise noted. The estimates of permitted resources, aggregate demand, and years of permitted reserves remaining presented on Map Sheet 52 (2012) and in this report are based on conditions as of January 1, 2011 and do not reflect changes, such as production, mine closures, or new or expanded permits, that may have occurred since that time. Although the statewide and regional information presented on the map and in this report may be useful to decision-makers, it should not be used as a basis for local land-use decisions. The more detailed information on the location and estimated amounts of permitted and non-permitted resources, and future regional demands contained in each of the aggregate studies employed in the compilation of Map Sheet 52 should be used for local land-use and decision making purposes.

- John Clinkenbeard, Senior Engineering Geologist and Manager of the Mineral Resources Program with CGS, provided an overview of the newly released 2012 Aggregate Sustainability Map of California.

No action taken.

14. Office of Mine Reclamation, Lead Agency Review Team (LART) Report for the County of Marin, and Pursuant to the Surface Mining and Reclamation Act (SMARA), following Review, the Board may take appropriate action in accordance with Public Resources Code Section 2774.4(c).

Executive Officer Testa provided summary introductory comments on the OMR LART program.



- Ben Shelton, manager of the OMR LART program, provided a summary of the LART report for the County of Marin.

Board Member Gavric moved to issue the County of Marin a 45-Day Notice to Correct Deficiencies. Board Member Lane seconded and the motion carried with a unanimous voice vote.

15. Office of Mine Reclamation, Lead Agency Review Team (LART) Report for the County of Monterey, and Pursuant to the Surface Mining and Reclamation Act (SMARA), following Review, the Board may take appropriate action in accordance with Public Resources Code Section 2774.4(c).

Executive Officer Testa provided summary introductory comments on the OMR LART program.

- Ben Shelton, manager of the OMR LART program, provided a summary of the LART report for the County of Monterey.
- Michael Novo, Planning Director for the County of Monterey, provided a synopsis of the County's SMARA program.

Board Member Lane moved to issue the County of Marin a 45-Day Notice to Correct Deficiencies. Board Member Reding seconded and the motion carried with a unanimous voice vote.

16. Office of Mine Reclamation, Lead Agency Review Team (LART) Report for the City of Fremont, and Pursuant to the Surface Mining and Reclamation Act (SMARA), following Review, the Board may take appropriate action in accordance with Public Resources Code Section 2774.4(c).

Executive Officer Testa provided summary introductory comments on the OMR LART program.

- Ben Shelton, manager of the OMR LART program, provided a summary of the LART report for the City of Fremont.
- Greg Zitney, consultant for the City of Fremont, provided a status of the city's SMARA program.

Board Member Lane moved to not issue the City of Fremont a 45-Day Notice to Correct Deficiencies. Board Member Barry seconded and the motion carried with a unanimous voice vote.

XIII. SMGB Committee Reports [Information and Possible Action]

- Geohazards Committee (Chairperson Lane)
 - Alquist-Priolo Technical Advisory Committee (Executive Officer Testa)
- Minerals and Geologic Resources Committee (Chairperson Kenline)
- Policy and Legislation Committee (Chairperson Kenline)
- Surface Mining and Standards Committee (Chairperson Barry)

XIV. Continuing Business [Information]

[This item is provided as an opportunity for any SMGB member to receive information on or any items of continuing interest to the SMGB.]

No continuing business was discussed.

XV. New Business [Information]

[This item is provided as an opportunity for any SMGB member to bring any item of new business to the SMGB's attention for further discussion and further action.] Items to be discussed:



No new business was offered.

XVI. Executive Session (Closed to the Public) [Possible Action]

[The SMGB will discuss information from its legal counsel on pending litigation and may take appropriate actions based on this information. This session is being held under Government Code, Section 11126.] Items to be discussed:

- A. Pending Litigation to which the SMGB is a party:
 - 1. Dutra Materials vs. SMGB, Chevron Products Company Real Party in Interest, Case No. N10-0388, Contra Costa Superior Court.
 - 2. A. Teichert and Sons, Inc. v. SMGB, Western Aggregates, LLC. Real Party in Interest, Case No. 34-2010-80000528, Sacramento County Superior Court.
 - 3. William Calvert, Elfriede Calvert and the Yuba Goldfields Access Coalition v. SMGB, Western Aggregates, LLC. Real Party in Interest, Case No. 34-2010-80000530, Sacramento County Superior Court.
- B. Possible Litigation involving the SMGB.
- C. Staff Budget

Re-open Regular Business Session, Announce Results of Executive Session

No Executive Session held.

XVII. Announcements of Future Meetings

July 11, 2013 was announced as the date of the next meeting, with Sacramento as the location.

XVIII. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 4:00 P.M.

APPROVED



Ignacio Gonzalez, Chairman



Stephen M. Testa, Executive Officer

