ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER		
		paul.fry@conservation.ca.gov	916-324-0681		
ESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400 NOTICE FILE NUMBER					
Guidance Document for Surface Mine Inspectors					
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPA	CTS Include calculations and a	assumptions in the rulemaking record.			
Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicat	e whether this regulation:				
a. Impacts business and/or employees	~	erting requirements			
b. Impacts small businesses	f. Imposes pres	criptive instead of performance			
c. Impacts jobs or occupations	g. Impacts indiv	riduals			
d. Impacts California competitiveness		bove (Explain below):			
	— Establishes o	guidelines for inspecting surface mine	s, as required by statute.		
IC ann han to Kanna t					
		nplete this Economic Impact Statement. Scal Impact Statement as appropriate.	•		
Department of Conservatio	n				
2. The(Agency/Department)	estimates that the eco	onomic impact of this regulation (which includes	the fiscal impact) is:		
Below \$10 million					
Between \$10 and \$25 million					
Between \$25 and \$50 million					
	is over \$50 million. aaencies are n	equired to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impac</u>	t Assessment		
as specified in Governme	nt Code Section 11346.3(c)]				
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:			•		
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonp	rofits):				
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:					
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be created	ated:	eliminated:	·		
Explain:		•			
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:] Statewide				
· F	Local or regional (List areas):				
•					
6. Enter the number of jobs created:	and eliminated:				
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impa	ctad·				
o control and types of Joss of occupations impa					
7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produc	businesses to compete with goods or services here?	YES NO			
If YES, explain briefly:					
			PAGE 1		

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

В.	B. ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.				
1.	. What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses ar	nd individuals may in	cur to comply with this reg	gulation over its life	time? \$
	a. Initial costs for a small business: \$	Annual o	ngoing costs: \$	Yea	rs:
	b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$	Annual o	ngoing costs: \$	Yea	rs:
			ngoing costs: \$		
	d. Describe other economic costs that may occur:				
•	If multiple is distributed and increased and a short in the state of				
۷.	. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of tota	1 COSES FOR EACH INCUSE	ry:		
9	. If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter th				autromonts.
Э.	in the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, r				
4.	Will this regulation directly impact housing costs?	s 🔲 NO			
	If YES,	enter the annual doll	ar cost per housing unit: 5	\$	
			Number of units:		
5.	Are there comparable Federal regulations?	S NO			
	Explain the need for State regulation given the existence o	r absence of Federal r	egulations:		
			\ <u>-</u>		
	Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals	that may be due to S	tate - Federal differences	•	
=					
<u>C.</u>	ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of	benefits is not specifi	cally required by rulemak	ing law, but encour	aged.
1	 Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which ma health and welfare of California residents, worker safety ar 				
	and the state of t				
2	. Are the benefits the result of: specific statutory requir	rements or \square goal	s developed by the agenc	y based on broad st	estutory authority?
۷.		ements, or goal	s developed by the agenc	y based oil broad si	actiony authority:
	Explain:				
3	. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation ${f x}$	over its lifetime? \$_			
4	4. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing business within the State of California that would result from this regulation:				
	, , ,				
D	b. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calcule specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.	ations and assumptio	ns in the rulemaking reco	rd. Estimation of th	e dollar value of benefits is not
1	. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no	o alternatives were co	nsidered, explain why not	:	
			•		
					PAGE 2

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

2.	Summarize the	total statewide costs a	nd benefits from this reg	ulation and each alternative o	onsidered:		
	Regulation:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$				
	Alternative 1:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$				
	Alternative 2:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$				
Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ 3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:							
4.	regulation mar	ndates the use of spec	ific technologies or equ	standards as an alternative, if ipment, or prescribes specifi red to lower compliance cos	· —	□ NO	
	Explain:						
<u> </u>	MAJOR REGU	LATIONS Include cal	culations and assumpti	ons in the rulemaking record			
		California Enviro	onmental Protection	···	, offices and de	partments are required to erwise, skip to E4.	
1.	Will the estimat	ted costs of this regular	tion to California busines	ss enterprises exceed \$10 mil	lion? YES	⊠ NO	
				If YES, complete E2. and If NO, skip to E4	<i>E3</i>		
2.	Briefly describe	each alternative, or co	mbination of alternative	es, for which a cost-effectivene	ss analysis was pe	erformed:	•
•	Alternative 1:				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		nal pages for other alter					
_							
3.	_		•	the estimated total cost and o			
				Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$			
				Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ _			
				Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ _			
4.	exceeding \$50	million in any 12-mon		ate the major regulation is est		ividuals located in or doing bu I with the Secretary of State thi	
		NO					
				<u>y impact Assessment (SRIA)</u> as s the Initial Statement of Reasons			
5.	Briefly describe	the following:					
	The increase of	r decrease of investme	nt in the State:				
	The incentive f	for innovation in produ	ects, materials or process	es:			
				benefits to the health, safety, allty of life, among any other b		ifornia by the agency:	
							PAGE 3

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fisco (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Calif.)	al Year which are reimbursable by the State. (Approximate) ornia Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).	
\$	•	
a. Funding provided in		
Budget Act of	or Chapter, Statutes of	
b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's	s Budget Act of	
	Fiscal Year:	
2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fisc (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Calif	tal Year which are NOT reimbursable by the State. (Approximate) fornia Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).	
\$	•	
Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable at		
a. Implements the Federal mandate contained	d in	
b. Implements the court mandate set forth by	the	Court.
Case of:	vs	
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this	s State expressed in their approval of Proposition No.	
Date of Election:		
d. Issued only in response to a specific reques	st from affected local entity(s).	
Local entity(s) affected:		
e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue	e, etc. from:	
Authorized by Section:	of the	Code;
f. Provides for savings to each affected unit o	of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to e	ach;
g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty	for a new crime or infraction contained in	
3. Annual Savings. (approximate)		
. \$		
	akes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulat	ions.
∑ 5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not a	affect any local entity or program.	
6. Other. Explain		
		PAGE

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)						
\$						
It is anticipated that State agencies will:						
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.						
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the	ear					
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)						
s						
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.						
4. Other. Explain						
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal					
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)						
s						
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)						
\$						
🔀 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or progra	m.					
4. Other. Explain						
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE 7/12/17					
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instruct. The impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an highest-ranking official in the orga nizati on.						
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE 6/26/2017					
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require comple						
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE					