

California's Abandoned Mines

A Report on the Magnitude and Scope of the Issue in the State
Volume II

Department of Conservation
Office of Mine Reclamation
Abandoned Mine Lands Unit

June 2000



Contents

1 INTRODUCTION	1
2 METHODS	3
2.1 STRATIFIED RANDOM SAMPLING METHOD	3
2.1.1 Determining the Target Watersheds	4
2.1.2 Designating Geologic Strata	7
2.1.3 The Population to be Sampled	8
2.2 COLLECTING AND RECORDING DATA	10
2.2.1 Training	10
2.2.2 Site Location	11
2.2.3 Overall Site Characterization	11
2.2.4 Location of Features by Differential Global Positioning Systems	14
2.2.5 Post-Field Processing	14
2.2.6 The Relational Database Implementation	15
2.3 ANALYSIS AND MODELING	16
2.3.1 The Preliminary Appraisal and Ranking System (PAR)	16
2.3.2 Statistical Modeling	23
3 WATERSHED STUDIES	26
3.1 ALAMEDA CREEK	27
3.1.1 Short History of Mining	29
3.1.2 Current Mining	30
3.1.3 Sample Study	30
3.1.4 Summary of Findings	33
3.2 CHEMEHUEVIS WATERSHED	34
3.2.1 Short History of Mining	36
3.2.2 Current Mining	36
3.2.3 Sample Study	36
3.2.4 Summary of Findings	40
3.3 CLEAR CREEK WATERSHED	41
3.3.1 Short History of Mining	43
3.3.2 Current Mining	44
3.3.3 Sample Study	44
3.3.4 Summary of Findings	47
3.4 IVANPAH WATERSHED	48
3.4.1 Short History of Mining	50
3.4.2 Current Mining	51
3.4.3 Sample Study	51
3.4.4 Summary of Findings	55
3.5 LAKE SHASTA WATERSHED	56
3.5.1 Short History of Mining	58
3.5.2 Current Mining	60
3.5.3 Sample Study	60
3.5.4 Summary of Findings	66
3.6 LOWER OWENS RIVER VALLEY STUDY AREA	68
3.6.1 Short History of Mining	69
3.6.2 Current Mining	70
3.6.3 Sample Study	70
3.6.4 Summary of Findings	76
3.7 MERCED RIVER WATERSHED	78
3.7.1 Short History of Mining	81
3.7.2 Current Mining in the Watershed	82

3.7.3 Sample Study 82

3.7.4 Summary of Findings 86

3.8 NORTH YUBA WATERSHED 87

3.8.1 Short History of Mining..... 88

3.8.2 Current Mining..... 89

3.8.3 Sample Study 90

3.8.4 Summary of Findings 93

3.9 POINT BUCHON WATERSHED 94

3.9.1 Short History of Mining..... 96

3.9.2 Current Mining..... 97

3.9.3 Sample Study 97

3.9.4 Summary of Findings 101

3.10 UPPER SANTA CLARA RIVER WATERSHED..... 102

3.10.1 Short History of Mining..... 103

3.10.2 Current Mining..... 104

3.10.3 Sample Study 104

3.10.4 Summary of Findings 106

3.11 SUMMARY FOR ALL SAMPLED WATERSHEDS..... 107

4 STATEWIDE MODELING..... 110

4.1 CHEMICAL HAZARD PREDICTIVE MODEL 110

4.2 PHYSICAL HAZARD PREDICTION 113

4.3 DISTRIBUTION OF RANKINGS..... 114

5 SUMMARIZED FINDINGS..... 116

5.1 SIZE OF MINES 116

5.2 NUMBER OF FEATURES PER MAS/MILS RECORD 116

5.3 NUMBER OF FEATURES PER FEATURE ON TOPOGRAPHIC MAPS..... 117

5.4 NUMBER OF MINES IN THE STATE 117

5.5 NUMBER OF SITES WITH POTENTIAL CHEMICAL HAZARDS 117

5.6 NUMBER OF SITES WITH POTENTIAL PHYSICAL HAZARDS 118

5.7 NUMBER OF HAZARDOUS OPENINGS..... 118

REFERENCES..... 119

A AMLU FIELD INVENTORY FORM 123

B PROJECT CHRONOLOGY 128

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Rocktype, Rocktype_N, and Map Units from the DMG 1:750,000 Geology Map. ..7

Table 2.2: Geology groupings used to define “Reclass” with the original map units and a description.8

Table 2.3: *Physical hazard* rankings from scores.19

Table 2.4: Access field descriptions, internal coding and corresponding values.....19

Table 2.5: Field descriptions, internal coding and corresponding values for luCur.....19

Table 2.6: Field descriptions, internal coding and corresponding values for luFut.19

Table 2.7: Field descriptions, internal coding and corresponding values for popProx.20

Table 2.8: *Physical exposure* rankings from scores.20

Table 2.9: *Physical risk category* from hazard and exposure rankings.20

Table 2.10: Description, internal coding and values for chemApr1.....21

Table 2.11: Description, internal coding and values for chemApr2.....21

Table 2.12: Commodity and Processing groups matrix.22

Table 2.13: *Chemical hazard* rankings from scores.....22

Table 2.14: The descriptions, internal codings and weighting values given for chemApr5 .22

Table 2.15: *Chemical exposure* rankings from scores.....23

Table 2.16: *Chemical risk category* from hazard and exposure rankings.....23

Table 2.17: Coding of MAS/MILS Mine Type ("TYP").24

Table 2.18: Groupings ("COM_GROUP") of MAS/MILS Commodity Types ("COM1").24

Table 2.19: Coding for MAS/MILS “CUR” Mine Status Attribute.....25

Table 3.1: Land ownership summary.....27

Table 3.2: Field verified chemical hazard rankings.30

Table 3.3: Field Verified Physical Hazard Ranking Numbers.....30

Table 3.4: Summarized statistics for the chemical hazard GLM.30

Table 3.5: Chemical hazard predictions for MAS/MILS mineral occurrences.32

Table 3.6: Summarized findings for the Alameda Creek Watershed.....33

Table 3.7: Chemehuevis Watershed Land Ownership Summary.....35

Table 3.8: Field verified Chemical Hazard Ranking Numbers.....37

Table 3.9: Field verified Physical Hazard Ranking Numbers.37

Table 3.10: Summarized statistics for the chemical hazard GLM.....37

Table 3.11: Predicted Chemical Hazard Rankings for MAS/MILS Records.....38

Table 3.12: Summarized statistics for the physical hazard GLM.39

Table 3.13: Predicted Physical Hazard Ranking Numbers for MAS/MILS Records.....40

Table 3.14: Summarized findings for the Chemehuevis Watershed.40

Table 3.15: Clear Creek Watershed Land Ownership Summary.....42

Table 3.16: Field verified Chemical Hazard Ranking Numbers.....45

Table 3.17: Field verified Physical Hazard Ranking Numbers.45

Table 3.18: Summarized statistics for the chemical hazard GLM.....45

Table 3.19: Predicted Chemical Hazard Ranking Numbers for MAS/MILS Records.....46

Table 3.20: Summarized Finding for the Clear Creek Watershed.....47

Table 3.21: Ivanpah Watershed Land Ownership Summary49

Table 3.22: Field verified Chemical Hazard Rankings51

Table 3.23: Field verified Physical Hazard Rankings.....52

Table 3.24: Summarized statistics for the chemical hazard GLM.....52

Table 3.25: Predicted Chemical Hazard Ranking Numbers for MAS/MILS Records.....53

Table 3.26: Summarized statistics for the physical hazard GLM.53

Table 3.27: Predicted Physical Hazard Ranking Numbers for MAS/MILS Records.....54

Table 3.28: Summarized findings for the Ivanpah Watershed.....55

Table 3.29: Lake Shasta Watershed Land Ownership Summary.....57

Table 3.30: Field verified Chemical Hazard Ranking Numbers.....60

Table 3.31: Field verified Physical Hazard Ranking Numbers61

Table 3.32: Summarized statistics for the chemical hazard GLM model.61

Table 3.33: Predicted Chemical Hazard Ranking Numbers for MAS/MILS Records.....62

Table 3.34: Summarized statistics for the physical hazard GLM.64

Table 3.35: Predicted Physical Hazard Ranking Numbers for MAS/MILS Records.....64

Table 3.36: Summarized Findings for the Lake Shasta Watershed.66

Table 3.37: Summary Chemical Hazards Ranks for Field Visited Sites.....70

Table 3.38: Summary Physical Hazard Ranks for Field Visited Sites.71

Table 3.39: Summarized statistics for the chemical hazards GLM.....71

Table 3.40: Chemical hazard predictions for MAS/MILS mineral occurrences.....73

Table 3.41: Summarized statistics for the physical hazard GLM.74

Table 3.42: Physical hazard predictions for MAS/MILS mineral occurrences.75

Table 3.43: Summarized findings for the Lower Owens Watershed.....77

Table 3.44: Land Ownership in the watershed.78

Table 3.45: Summary of Hydrologic Areas with Mining in the Merced River Hydrologic Unit.
.....80

Table 3.46: Field Verified Chemical Hazard Rankings.....82

Table 3.47: Field Verified Physical Hazard Rankings.83

Table 3.48: Summarized statistics for the chemical hazard GLM.....83

Table 3.49: Predicted chemical hazard rankings for MAS/MILS mineral occurrences.84

Table 3.50: Summarized Findings for the Merced Watershed.86

Table 3.51: Land Ownership Summary for the North Yuba Watershed.....88

Table 3.52: Field verified Chemical Hazard Rankings.90

Table 3.53: Field verified Physical Hazard Rankings.....90

Table 3.54: Summarized Statistics for the GLM Model of Chemical Hazards.91

Table 3.55: Predicted Chemical Hazard Ranking Numbers for MAS/MILS Records in the
North Yuba Watershed.....91

Table 3.56: Summarized Findings for the North Yuba Watershed.93

Table 3.57: Point Buchon Watershed Land Ownership Summary.....95

Table 3.58: Field verified Chemical Hazard Ranking Numbers.....98

Table 3.59: Field verified Physical Hazard Ranking Numbers.98

Table 3.60: Summarized statistics for the chemical hazards GLM.....98

Table 3.61: Predicted Chemical Hazard Ranking Numbers for MAS/MILS Records.99

Table 3.62: Summarized statistics for the physical hazard GLM.99

Table 3.63: Predicted Physical Hazard Ranking Numbers for MAS/MILS Records.....100

Table 3.64: Summarized findings for the Point Buchon Watershed.101

Table 3.65: Upper Santa Clara River Watershed Land Ownership Summary.....102

Table 3.66: Summary Chemical Hazard Ranks for Field Visited Sites.104

Table 3.67: Summary Physical Hazard Ranks for Field Visited Sites.104

Table 3.68: Summarized statistics for the chemical hazard GLM.....105

Table 3.69: Chemical hazard predictions for MAS/MILS mineral occurrences.....106

Table 3.70: Summarized findings for the Upper Santa Clara River Watershed.106

Table 3.71: Summarized Results for All Watershed Studies.....108

Table 4.1: Summarized statistics for *chemical hazard ranking*.....110

Table 4.2: *Physical hazard* model.....113

Table 5.1: Percentage of visited mines in several surface area classes by total acreage and
disturbed acreage.116

Table 5.2 : Chronology of the Abandoned Mine Lands Unit.128

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Map of Jepson Ecoregions (Bioregions).....	4
Figure 2.2: Map of CalWater Watersheds at the Hydrologic Area Level.....	5
Figure 2.3: Target Watersheds for the Sample Study.....	6
Figure 3.1: Area map for the Alameda Creek Watershed.....	28
Figure 3.2: Chemical hazard predictions of rank 3 or above for MAS/MILS mineral occurrences.	32
Figure 3.3: Chemehuevis Watershed Study Area Map.	34
Figure 3.4: Clear Creek Watershed Area Map.	41
Figure 3.5: Ivanpah Watershed Area Map.	48
Figure 3.6: Lake Shasta Watershed, Area Map.	56
Figure 3.7: MAS/MILS sites with a chemical hazard prediction of 3 or more.....	63
Figure 3.8: MAS/MILS sites with a physical hazard prediction of 3 or more.....	65
Figure 3.9: Lower Owens River Watershed, Area Map.....	68
Figure 3.10: Plot of MAS/MILS mineral occurrences with a predicted chemical hazard rank of three or more.	73
Figure 3.11: Plot of MAS/MILS mineral occurrences with a predicted physical hazard rank of three or more.	76
Figure 3.12: Merced River Watershed, Area Map.	79
Figure 3.13: Map of MAS/MILS sites with a predicted chemical hazard ranking of 3 or above.	85
Figure 3.14 : North Yuba Watershed, Area Map.....	87
Figure 3.15: Predicted MAS/MILS sites with a Rank 3 or Greater <i>Chemical Hazard</i>	92
Figure 3.16: Point Buchon Watershed, Area Map.	94
Figure 3.17: Upper Santa Clara River Watershed, Area Map.	102