## Mining Consideration Decision-Making Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consideration (Cause)</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Recommendations for Next Steps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Continue mining of aggregate at the current rate    | Some communities in California will need to import aggregate because the demand will be greater than the existing, local supply. Mines will maintain the same level of impact on landscape. | • Increase mining to increase supply  
• Decrease in new development by limiting population growth |
| Decrease mining of aggregate                         | The cost of new construction and development will increase because the supply of aggregate will not meet the need. | • Increase in habitat and biodiversity  
• Increase in mining costs because of limited supply  
• Decrease in new development by limiting population growth |
| Stop all mining of aggregate                         | Dramatic increase in cost for new construction and development. Increase in aggregate imports. Increase interest in alternative/recycled materials to replace aggregate | • Restoration of habitat and biodiversity  
• Dramatic increase in mining costs because of non-existent supply  
• New development and construction will be limited. |
| Increase mining for aggregate near cities of greatest need | Increase in meeting the demand of future development and construction  
Maintain or decrease the cost of aggregate. | • Loss of habitat and biodiversity  
• Maintain or decrease the cost of aggregate  
• Maintain or increase in new development and construction |
| Start new mining for aggregate near all large cities. | Increase in access for all cities for new development and construction  
Cost for aggregate will maintain or decrease | • Loss of habitat and biodiversity  
• Decrease in the cost of aggregate  
• Maintain or increase in new development and construction |