California Department of Conservation
Division of Land Resource Protection
Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program
Alternate Santa Cruz County 2018-2020 Land Use Conversion
Table A-36
Part 1: County Summary and Change by Land Use Category

| Land Use <br> Category | Total <br> Acreage <br> Inventoried <br> 2018 | Total <br> Acreage <br> Inventoried <br> 2020 | $2018-20$ <br> Acres <br> Lost (-) | $2018-20$ <br> Acres <br> Gained <br> $(+)$ | $2018-20$ <br> Total <br> Acreage <br> Changed | $2018-20$ <br> Net <br> Acreage <br> Changed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Prime <br> Farmland | 13,267 | 13,147 | 146 | 26 | 172 | -120 |
| Farmland <br> of <br> Statewide <br> Importance | 2,263 | 2,190 | 118 | 45 | 163 | -73 |
| Unique <br> Farmland | 3,376 | 3,273 | 122 | 19 | 141 | -103 |
| Farmland <br> of Local <br> Importance | 244 | 278 | 5 | 39 | 44 | 34 |
| lmportant <br> Farmland <br> Subtotal | 19,150 | 18,888 | 391 | 129 | 520 | -262 |
| Grazing <br> Land | 19,561 | 19,787 | 134 | 360 | 494 | 226 |
| Agricultural <br> Land <br> Subtotal | 38,711 | 38,675 | 525 | 489 | 1,014 | -36 |
| Urban and <br> Built-up <br> Land | 32,948 | 32,835 | 556 | 443 | 999 | -113 |
| Other Land | 213,448 | 213,597 | 449 | 598 | 1,047 | 149 |
| Water Area | 395 | 395 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Area <br> Inventoried | 285,502 | 285,502 | 1,530 | 1,530 | 3,060 | 0 |

## Part 2: Land Committed to Nonagricultural Use

Data not available for Land Committed to Nonagricultural Use.
Part 3: Land Use Conversion from 2018-2020
Due to the large size of this table, it has been split into two tables. Table One has the conversions of all land uses to the Important Farmland categories (Prime Farmland, Farmland of Statewide Importance, Unique Farmland, Farmland of Local Importance, and Important Farmland Subtotal) and Table Two has the conversions of all land uses to the remaining land use categories (Grazing Land, Agricultural Farmland Subtotal, Urban and Built-up Land, Other Land, Water Area, and Total Area Inventoried).

Table One

| Land Use <br> Category | Prime <br> Farmland | Farmland <br> of <br> Statewide <br> Importance | Unique <br> Farmland | Farmland <br> of Local <br> Importance | Important <br> Farmland <br> Subtotal |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Prime <br> Farmland | no data | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Farmland <br> of <br> Statewide <br> Importance | 0 | no data | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unique <br> Farmland | 0 | 0 | no data | 0 | 0 |
| Farmland <br> of Local <br> Importance | 0 | 0 | 0 | no data | 0 |
| Important <br> Farmland <br> Subtotal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grazing <br> Land | 24 | 44 | 14 | 38 | 120 |
| Agricultural <br> Land <br> Subtotal | 24 | 44 | 14 | 38 | 120 |
| Urban and <br> Built-up <br> Land | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Other Land | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 8 |
| Water Area | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Land Use <br> Category | Prime <br> Farmland | Farmland <br> of <br> Statewide <br> Importance | Unique <br> Farmland | Farmland <br> of Local <br> Importance | Important <br> Farmland <br> Subtotal |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total <br> Acreage <br> Converted | 26 | 45 | 19 | 39 | 129 |

Table Two

| Land Use Category | Grazing Land | Agricultural Land Subtotal | Urban and Builtup Land | Other Land | Water <br> Area | Total Converted To Another Use |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prime Farmland | 103 | 103 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 146 |
| Farmland of Statewide Importance | 105 | 105 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 118 |
| Unique Farmland | 89 | 89 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 122 |
| Farmland of Local Importance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| Important Farmland Subtotal | 297 | 297 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 391 |
| Grazing Land | no data | 120 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 134 |
| Agricultural Land Subtotal | 297 | 417 | 3 | 105 | 0 | 525 |
| Urban and Built-up Land (1) | 62 | 63 | no data | 493 | 0 | 556 |
| Other Land | 1 | 9 | 440 | no data | 0 | 449 |
| Water Area | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | no data | 0 |
| Total Acreage Converted | 360 | 489 | 443 | 598 | 0 | 1,530 |

Footnotes (1): Conversion from Urban and Built-up Land is primarily due to a lack of sufficient infrastructure and the use of detailed digital imagery to delineate more distinct urban boundaries.

