



STATE MINING AND GEOLOGY BOARD

EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S REPORT

For Meeting Date: June 13, 2013

Agenda Item No. 5: Review of Lead Agency Response to the State Mining and Geology Board's Issuance of a 45-Day Notice to Correct Deficiencies to the City of Bakersfield Pursuant to the Surface Mining and Reclamation Act (SMARA). Following Review, the Board may take appropriate action in accordance with Public Resources Code Section 2774.4(a).

INTRODUCTION: At its regular business meeting held on December 13, 2012, the State Mining and Geology Board (SMGB) received a report from the Office of Mine Reclamation (OMR) Lead Agency Review Team (LART) dated November 21, 2012, on the overall status and performance of the City of Bakersfield (City) in implementing its Surface Mining and Reclamation Act (SMARA) program. Based on deficiencies identified in the LART report, the SMGB, at its December 13, 2012 regular business meeting, decided to issue a 45-Day Notice to Correct Deficiencies (Notice) to the City. The Notice was issued on December 20, 2012. At its April 11, 2013 regular business meeting, the SMGB continued this matter to allow sufficient time for OMR to inspect the site and make a determination as to whether OMR concurs with the City that the site can be certified closed. The SMGB will 1) assess whether the City has adequately addressed all outstanding deficiencies, and 2) consider, following review of the response from the City, whether the SMGB wishes to take appropriate action in accordance with PRC Section 2774.4(a).

STATUTORY AUTHORITY: Public Resources Code (PRC) Sections 2774.4(a) and (b) provide criteria to the SMGB when considering assumption, or restoration, of certain SMARA powers of a lead agency. Specifically, PRC Section 2774.4(a) states that if certain deficiencies exist, the SMGB can assume certain SMARA lead agency responsibilities as follows:

"If the board finds that a lead agency either has (1) approved reclamation plans or financial assurances which are not consistent with this chapter, (2) failed to inspect or cause the inspection of surface mining operations as required by this chapter, (3) failed to seek forfeiture of financial assurances and to carry out reclamation of surface mining operations as required by this chapter, (4) failed to take appropriate enforcement actions as required by this chapter, (5) intentionally misrepresented the results of inspections required under this chapter, or (6) failed to submit information to the department as required by this chapter, the board shall exercise any of the powers of that lead agency under this chapter, except for permitting authority."

Public Resources Code Sections 2774.4(c) provides criteria the SMGB considers should it determine to issue a 45-Day Notice to Correct Deficiencies, and states:

"(c) Before taking any action pursuant to subdivision (a), the board shall first notify the lead agency of the identified deficiencies, and allow the lead agency 45 days to correct the deficiencies to the satisfaction of the board. If the lead



Executive Officer's Report

agency has not corrected the deficiencies to the satisfaction of the board within the 45-day period, the board shall hold a public hearing within the lead agency's area of jurisdiction, upon a 45-day written notice given to the public in at least one newspaper of general circulation within the city or county, and directly mailed to the lead agency and to all surface mining operators within the lead agency's jurisdiction who have submitted reports as required by Section 2207."

BACKGROUND: One surface mining operation is reported to exist within the jurisdiction of the City (Table 1). The operation, referred to as The Sand Pit (CA Mine ID 91-15-0101), is an abandoned sand and gravel mine. The deficiencies noted included, but are not limited to, the following:

- Failure to conduct an inspection since 2001;
- Failure to approve a reclamation plan;
- Failure to submit a financial assurance cost estimate;
- Failure to obtain an Interim Management Plan (IMP); and
- Failure to submit information to the OMR as required under SMARA.

Table 1 Summary of Surface Mining Operations Situated in the City of Bakersfield								
Surface Mine Name	CA Mine Identification Number	Operator	Surface Mine Status	Last Inspection Report on File (year)	Approved Acreage	Disturbed Acreage (2008 Annual Report/ Aerial Photo)	Produced Product	Deficiencies Noted
The Sand Pit	#91-15-0101	Land Lot 1, LLC	Abandoned	2001	38	35/38	Sand and gravel	1, 2,3,4,6

The review of the City's SMARA program was performed in 2011, with the Office of Mine Reclamation Lead Agency Review Team (LART) report completed and forwarded to the City on May 21, 2012. The City responded to the LART report on June 18, 2012. At its December 13, 2012 regular business meeting, the State Mining and Geology Board (SMGB), based on the November 21, 2012 LART Report for the City of Bakersfield (City), determined to issue a 45-Day *Notice to Correct Deficiencies* (Notice) to the City pursuant to PRC Section 2774.4, which Notice was sent December 20, 2012.

In correspondence dated February 12, 2013, the City informed OMR that based on an inspection conducted on January 25, 2013 the site has been reclaimed in accordance with the approved reclamation plan. There was no financial assurance in place, thus, request for release of a financial assurance mechanism was no longer germane to the site closure request.

At its March 14, 2013 regular business meeting, the SMGB, based on review of the City's response to the Notice, determined that the City was making a good faith effort, and moved to continue this matter for 30 days to allow sufficient time for the Office of Mine Reclamation to concur with the City that the

site known as The Sand Pit (CA Mine ID #91-15-0001) has been closed pursuant to CCR Section 3805.5.

On February 12, 2013, the City submitted a request for closure, with supporting documentation submitted on April 18 and 29, 2013, pursuant to CCR Section 3805.5. OMR inspected the site on April 18, 2013, and concurred with the City that the subject site has been reclaimed in accordance with the approved reclamation plan, and that no aspects of the reclaimed surface mining operation are inconsistent with the meaning of reclamation per PRC Section 2733, and thus is now closed.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION: It is the opinion of the Executive Officer that the City has addressed all deficiencies, and that the SMGB not consider further action in accordance with PRC Section 2774.4(a).

SUGGESTED MOTION LANGUAGE: The SMGB may consider the following motion language:

Option No. 1 – Deficiencies addressed to the satisfaction of the SMGB:

[Should the SMGB determine that the City is fulfilling its responsibilities and obligations as a lead agency pursuant to SMARA, and that no deficiencies and violations exist, the following motion may be considered.]

Mr. Chairman, I move that the SMGB, in light of the evidence presented before it today and contained in the Executive Officer's Report, find that the City of Bakersfield has fulfilled its responsibilities and obligations as a lead agency under SMARA, and that the City has addressed the deficiencies to the satisfaction of the Board.

[or]

Option No. 2 – Deficiencies not corrected to the satisfaction of the SMGB:

[Should the SMGB determine that deficiencies and violations remain uncorrected and the City continues to fail to make progress, the following motion may be considered.]

Mr. Chairman, I move that the SMGB, in light of the evidence presented before it today and contained in the Executive Officer's Report, find that the City of Bakersfield has not corrected the deficiencies to the satisfaction of the Board, and direct the Executive Officer to schedule a public hearing within the lead agency's area of jurisdiction, upon a 45-day written notice given to the public, pursuant to Public Resources Code Sections 2774.4(c).

Respectfully submitted:



Stephen M. Testa
Executive Officer