

The California Land Conservation (Williamson) Act



2008 Status Report



Arnold Schwarzenegger
Governor
State of California

Mike Chrisman
Secretary
California Natural Resources Agency

Bridgett Luther
Director
Department of Conservation

County Participation



California
Land Conservation (Williamson) Act
Status Report
2008



Williamson Act Program
California Department of Conservation

February 2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....	1	IV. COMPLIANCE AUDITS.....	19
I. ENROLLMENT SNAPSHOT: JANUARY 1, 2007.....	2	APPENDIX A. SIGNIFICANT LEGISLATION.....	20
Williamson Act Regions.....	3	Lot Line Adjustment Performance Review.....	21-22
II. ENROLLMENT CHANGE SUMMARIES AND TRENDS		APPENDIX B. ABOUT THE WILLIAMSON ACT.....	23
Net Acreage Increases and Decreases.....	4	APPENDIX C. DATA TABLES.....	24
New Enrollments.....	5	Total Reported Enrollment (2006, 2007).....	25, 26
Farmland Security Zone Transfers.....	6	Farmland Security Zone Transfers (2006, 2007).....	27, 28
Nonrenewal Initiations.....	7	Cumulative Nonrenewal Acreage (LCA: 2006/2007).....	29
Nonrenewal Expirations.....	8	Cumulative Nonrenewal Acreage (FSZ: 2006, 2007).....	30, 31
Cancellations.....	9	New Enrollments (2006, 2007).....	32, 33
Public Acquisitions.....	10	Nonrenewal Initiations (2006/2007).....	34
City Annexations.....	11	Nonrenewal Expirations (2006/2007).....	35
Net Adjustments.....	12	Nonrenewals Withdrawn (LCA: 2006/2007).....	36
Contract Termination Trends.....	13	Nonrenewals Withdrawn (FSZ: 2006).....	37
Cumulative Nonrenewal Trends.....	14	Cancellations (2006, 2007).....	38, 39
Cumulative Nonrenewal Trends (Continued).....	15	Public Acquisitions (2006, 2007).....	40, 41
Farmland Security Zone Trends.....	16	City Annexations (2006, 2007).....	42, 43
Trends Over The Decade.....	17	Williamson Act Easement Exchange (2006/2007).....	44
III. OPEN SPACE SUBVENTION ACT PAYMENTS.....	18	Net Adjustments (2006, 2007).....	45, 46
		Land Not Receiving Tax Relief (2006, 2007).....	47, 48
		Eligible for Subvention Payment (2006, 2007).....	49, 50
		Open Space Subvention Payment (2006, 2007).....	51, 52
		APPENDIX D. COUNTY PARCEL DATA LINKS.....	53

INTRODUCTION



Providing information, maps, funding and technical assistance to local governments, consultants, Resource Conservation Districts and non-profit organizations statewide with the goal of conserving the state's agricultural and natural resources.

--Division of Land Resource Protection's Mission Statement

The California Land Conservation (Williamson) Act

The California Land Conservation Act, better known as the Williamson Act, has been the state's premier agricultural land protection program since its enactment in 1965. The Williamson Act preserves agricultural and open space lands through property tax incentives and voluntary restrictive use contracts. Private landowners voluntarily restrict their land to agricultural and compatible open-space uses under minimum 10-year rolling term contracts with local governments. In return, restricted parcels are assessed for property tax purposes at a rate consistent with their actual use, rather than potential market value. In August of 1998, the Legislature enhanced the Williamson Act with the farmland security zone (FSZ) provisions. The FSZ provisions offer landowners greater property tax reduction in return for a minimum rolling contract term of 20 years. For more information about the Williamson Act please refer to Appendix B.

About This Report

This biennial report is a compilation of statewide enrollment data for the Williamson Act. The focus of this report is Williamson Act enrollment as of January 1, 2006 and January 1, 2007. However, enrollment data from prior years are included to provide context in certain discussions. Nearly all of the enrollment data were gathered from applications for payment under the Open Space Subvention Act. The applications are submitted annually to the Department of Conservation (Department) by participating counties and cities. Several cities that administer Williamson Act contracts do not submit applications. As such, the total amount of contracted land may be negligibly understated in this report. Appendix C contains the data tables used to generate the charts and graphics featured in this report.

A small amount of non-Williamson Act, enforceably restricted land is included in this report. Except for Appendix C, this "Other Enforceable Restriction" is mingled with the Williamson Act totals and accounts for less than one percent of the total reported acreage.

This report is mandated by State law and is primarily a report to the Legislature. However, this report is also made available to other audiences, including local governments, researchers, and interested statewide organizations. All audiences may find this report useful as a tool for educational purposes, for anticipating farmland conversion trends, for tracking land use trends, for facilitating program comparisons among participating local governments, and for demonstrating the Williamson Act's relative effectiveness.

For More Information, Please Contact:

California Department of Conservation
Division of Land Resource Protection
801 'K' Street, MS 18-01
Sacramento, CA 95814-3528
Phone: (916) 324-0850
FAX: (916) 327-3430

Email: dlrp@conservation.ca.gov
www.conservation.ca.gov/dlrp/

I. ENROLLMENT SNAPSHOT: JANUARY 1, 2007

As of January 1, 2007, 16.6 million acres were enrolled under the Williamson Act statewide. This represents approximately half of California's farmland total of about 30 million acres, and nearly one-third of the state's privately owned land.


Of California's 58 counties, 53 have adopted the Williamson Act program (Alpine County has adopted the program, but has yet to execute a contract). Del Norte, San Francisco, Inyo, and Yuba Counties have not adopted the Williamson Act program as of the snapshot date.

The Farmland Security Zone (FSZ) program has been adopted by 25 counties, although not all of the counties have executed contracts. Twenty-one counties reported a total of 842,087 acres of land under FSZ contract, which constituted approximately 5 percent of the statewide Williamson Act enrollment.

On January 1, 2007, there were 535,372 acres of contracted land at some stage of the nonrenewal process. The cumulative nonrenewal acreage constituted 3.2 percent of statewide Williamson Act enrollment.


Participating local governments claimed \$37,737,344 in Open Space Subvention Act payments for the partial replacement of property tax revenue losses associated with contract enrollment as of January 1, 2007.

California Land Use
(Million Acres; Percentage)



Source: Department of Conservation and California Almanac, Pacific Data Resources: 1991.

Williamson Act Acreage By Category
(Acres; Percentage)



I. WILLIAMSON ACT REGIONS



II. ENROLLMENT CHANGE SUMMARIES AND TRENDS

Net Acreage Increases and Decreases

Net Enrollment Increase

Sacramento and Shasta were the top two counties with the largest enrollment increase in 2006 and 2007, respectively. These two counties were not ranked in the Top 10 the previous year. Monterey and San Luis Obispo Counties were not in the Top 10 in 2005. However, these two counties made the Top 10 in 2006. Both of the counties actually had a net enrollment decrease from their previous respective years of 7,438 and 18,097 acres, respectively.

Net Enrollment Decrease

San Mateo County's net decrease in enrollment in 2007 was largely due to the fact that it did not submit an Open Space Subvention Application. Therefore, the net enrollment decrease reflects figures from 2006.

Statewide, the amount of land enrolled in the Williamson Act program has been declining since 2005. In 2005 the program decreased by 58,273 acres. In 2006 the program decreased by 933 acres. In 2007 the program decreased by 15,467 acres. In comparison, the Williamson Act program grew by 80,061 acres in 2004.

Cross-Reference: Appendix C (p. 26, 27)

Top 10 Counties with the Largest Enrollment Increase (Net)

2006				2007			
Ranking		County	Acres	Ranking		County	Acres
2005	2006			2006	2007		
n/a	1	Sacramento	9,826	18	1	Shasta	10,072
5	2	Merced	9,185	2	2	Merced	8,471
1	3	Modoc	6,553	3	3	Modoc	7,048
3	4	Mendocino	6,193	10	4	Sutter	5,845
6	5	Solano	4,316	8	5	Imperial	5,485
8	6	Lassen	3,691	23	6	Humboldt	4,536
n/a	7	Monterey	2,437	n/a	7	San Joaquin	2,903
2	8	Imperial	2,320	12	8	Santa Barbara	1,499
n/a	9	San Luis Obispo	2,235	15	9	Colusa	1,420
9	10	Sutter	1,589	7	10	Monterey	1,312

Top 10 Counties with the Largest Enrollment Decrease (Net)

2006				2007			
Ranking		County	Acres	Ranking		County	Acres
2005	2006			2006	2007		
n/a	1	Tulare	-16,434	22	1	San Mateo	-47058
1	2	Fresno	-14,967	2	2	Fresno	-6212
11	3	Madera	-13,251	15	3	Kings	-4537
7	4	Kern	-2,879	n/a	4	Placer	-2421
n/a	5	Santa Cruz	-1,521	14	5	Tehama	-1665
12	6	San Joaquin	-1,220	4	6	Kern	-1468
n/a	7	Sierra	-1,173	n/a	7	Mendocino	-1385
n/a	8	Stanislaus	-957	n/a	8	Amador	-1097
n/a	9	Napa	-673	13	9	Orange	-936
10	10	Yolo	-536	5	10	Santa Cruz	-841

New Enrollments

A new enrollment is the execution of a contract, resulting in an increase in the amount of restricted acreage.

New enrollments are filed with the anticipation of maintaining the contracted land in agriculture for at least ten years. As such, new enrollments may be seen as an indicator of agricultural stability in a particular location.

In 2005, there were 69,529 acres of new enrollments. In 2006, the amount of new enrollments decreased to 67,491 acres. However, in 2007, the amount of new enrollments increased to 68,698 acres. In 2006, Sacramento outpaced all other counties with 11,663 newly enrolled acres. The majority of Sacramento’s newly enrolled acres were initiated by the Natomas Basin Conservancy and a private ranching company.

In 2001, newly participating counties caused the number of new enrollments to reach a high of 497,503 acres. Since that time, new enrollments have been trending down to a pre-2001 level. New FSZ enrollments (which peaked in 2001 at 28,223 acres) have decreased by over 70 percent in comparison to the previous year in both 2006 and 2007.

Since 1991, the greatest amount of new enrolled acreage occurred in 2001 (497,503 acres) and the least amount in 1993 (60,193 acres).

Cross-Reference: Appendix C (p. 33, 34)

Top 10 Counties with the Greatest Amount of New Enrollments

2006				2007			
Ranking		County	Acres	Ranking		County	Acres
2005	2006			2006	2007		
27	1	Sacramento	11,663	14	1	Shasta	9,911.42
6	2	Merced	9,215	2	2	Merced	8,390.07
1	3	Modoc	6,555	3	3	Modoc	7,048.34
2	4	Mendocino	6,205	11	4	Sutter	5,844.94
9	5	Lassen	5,977	7	5	Imperial	5,485.40
4	6	Solano	5,142	36	6	Humboldt	4,601.50
3	7	Imperial	2,320	9	7	Kern	4,409.72
17	8	Fresno	2,224	23	8	San Joaquin	3,162.43
14	9	Kern	1,787	51	9	Tehama	2,150.03
11	10	San Luis Obispo	1,666	52	10	Trinity	1,751.70

Regional Ranking by the Amount of New Enrollments

2006		2007	
Region	Acres	Region	Acres
North Coast & Mountain	21,867	North Coast & Mountain	25,029
Sacramento Valley	20,154	San Joaquin Valley	18,060
San Joaquin Valley	16,044	Sacramento Valley	10,393
Bay & Central Coast	4,156	South Coast & Desert	7,460
South Coast & Desert	3,418	Bay & Central Coast	5,423
Foothill & Sierra	1,853	Foothill & Sierra	2,329
Total	67,491	Total	68,695

Farmland Security Zone Transfers

A Farmland Security Zone (FSZ) transfer is the rescission of an existing Williamson Act contract with the concurrent creation of a FSZ contract on the same land. FSZ transfers do not result in a net change to the amount of contracted acreage within a county.

FSZ transfers signify a long-term agricultural commitment in particular areas. This commitment is made possible only upon deliberate action by the county in adopting the FSZ program and, subsequently, by the landowner in petitioning for the FSZ transfers.

From 2005 to 2006, the amount of FSZ transfers decreased by 86 percent (or 6,436 acres) to 1,008 acres. This figure represents the lowest number of FSZ transfers since the program's inception in 1999. In 2006, the Sacramento Valley led the state by a wide margin in FSZ transfers. During that time, the amount of FSZ transfers in the Sacramento Valley increased by 746 acres.

In 2007 the amount of FSZ transfers increased by 18,519 acres. The San Joaquin Valley accounted for nearly all of the acres transferred. Four regions did not report any transfers. Eighty-one percent of the transfers consisted of prime agricultural land.

Since 1991, the greatest number of FSZ acres transferred occurred in 1999 (209,480), the least in 2006 (1,008).

Cross-Reference: Appendix C (p. 28, 29)

Top 10 Counties with the Greatest Amount of FSZ Transfers

2006				2007			
Ranking		County	Acres	Ranking		County	Acres
2005	2006			2006	2007		
16	1	Glenn	625	15	1	Kings	9,750
13	2	Colusa	280	11	2	Fresno	4,781
3	3	Madera	98	14	3	Kern	4,524
8	4	Tulare	5	3	4	Madera	292
				12	5	Humboldt	180
				37	6	San Luis Obispo	120

Regional Ranking by the Amount of FSZ Transfers

2006		2007	
Region	Acres	Region	Acres
Sacramento Valley	905	San Joaquin Valley	19,347
San Joaquin Valley	103	North Coast & Mountain	180
Bay & Central Coast	0	Sacramento Valley	0
North Coast & Mountain	0	Foothill & Sierra	0
South Coast & Desert	0	Bay & Central Coast	0
Foothill & Sierra	0	South Coast & Desert	0
Total	1,008	Total	19,527

Nonrenewal Initiations

The initiation of nonrenewal on a contract by either the landowner or the local government.

Nonrenewals are often filed with the anticipation of converting farmland to other uses. As such, nonrenewal trends may be seen as an indicator of likely farmland conversion in particular locations.

From 2005 to 2006, the amount of acreage entering nonrenewal increased from 87,159 acres to 114,704 acres. The San Joaquin Valley and Sacramento Valley led the increase. Compared to 2005, all of the regions experienced an increase in nonrenewal initiations except for the South Coast & Desert and Foothill & Sierra Regions.

In 2007, statewide nonrenewal initiations increased 38 percent compared to 2004 to 157,805 acres. This increase was led by the San Joaquin Valley and South Coast & Desert Regions. Kern County replaced Tulare County as having the most acres entering nonrenewal. The Sacramento Valley Region was the only region to experience a decrease in nonrenewal initiations. All other regions experienced an increase in nonrenewal initiations.

Statewide, nonrenewal initiations have increased each year since 2001 and have reached a new high in 2007. Since 1991, nonrenewal initiations occurred most severely in 2007 (157,805 acres) and the least in 1997 (15,259).

Cross-Reference: Appendix C (p. 35)

Top 10 Counties with the Greatest Amount of Nonrenewal Initiations

2006				2007			
Ranking		County	Acres	Ranking		County	Acres
2005	2006			2006	2007		
11	1	Tulare	16,502	4	1	Kern	26,228
8	2	Madera	12,757	1	2	Tulare	21,931
4	3	Tehama	12,025	9	3	Imperial	15,315
5	4	Kern	10,723	2	4	Madera	13,744
6	5	Fresno	9,715	20	5	Tuolumne	10,679
5	6	San Joaquin	8,780	13	6	Santa Barbara	10,560
1	7	Stanislaus	6,737	22	7	Butte	8,855
10	8	Yolo	5,455	3	8	Tehama	7,162
9	9	Imperial	3,991	6	9	San Joaquin	5,917
14	10	San Luis Obispo	3,419	37	10	Monterey	4,474

Regional Ranking by the Amount of Nonrenewal Initiations

2006		2007	
Region	Acres	Region	Acres
San Joaquin Valley	68,988	San Joaquin Valley	79,749
Sacramento Valley	23,530	South Coast & Desert	27,378
South Coast & Desert	9,886	Sacramento Valley	19,343
Bay & Central Coast	6,531	Foothill & Sierra	14,858
North Coast & Mountain	2,980	Bay & Central Coast	11,522
Foothill & Sierra	2,790	North Coast & Mountain	4,954
Total	114,704	Total	157,805

Nonrenewal Expirations

A nonrenewal expiration is the termination of a contract as a result of completing the nonrenewal process.

By far, most contracts are terminated through nonrenewal expiration. Upon the expiration of a contract, the restrictions are removed and the property tax assessment, which has been gradually increasing from the Williamson Act level over the nonrenewal period, returns to its full market value.

In 2006, the amount of contracted land terminated through nonrenewal expirations decreased from 23,285 acres in 2005 to a new low of 11,934 acres. Eight counties in the Top 10 were new entries. The San Joaquin Valley and Foothill & Sierra Regions (which replaced the Sacramento Region in 2005) ranked No. 1 and No. 2, respectively.

In 2007, nonrenewal expirations increased by 34 percent but remained well below the average of 46,968 acres for the current decade (1998-2007). Since 1991, the greatest amount of contracted acreage expired through nonrenewal in 1999 (118,391 acres) and the least in 2006 (11,934 acres).

Cross-Reference: Appendix C (p. 36)

Top 10 Counties with the Greatest Amount of Nonrenewal Expirations

2006				2007			
Ranking		County	Acres	Ranking		County	Acres
2005	2006			2006	2007		
3	1	Kern	3,843	1	1	Kern	5,081
n/a	2	Shasta	1,351	11	2	Tehama	3,576
n/a	3	Sierra	948	15	3	Kings	1,955
22	4	Stanislaus	900	25	4	San Benito	747
5	5	San Joaquin	591	13	5	Amador	740
25	6	El Dorado	488	18	6	Placer	613
12	7	Sacramento	469	n/a	7	Trinity	609
19	8	Fresno	468	7	8	Sacramento	524
17	9	Tulare	398	24	9	Sonoma	519
n/a	10	Orange	289	8	10	Fresno	350

Regional Ranking by the Amount of Nonrenewal Expirations

2006		2007	
Region	Acres	Region	Acres
San Joaquin Valley	6,491	San Joaquin Valley	7,568
Foothill & Sierra	1,991	Sacramento Valley	4,202
North Coast & Mountain	1,680	Bay & Central Coast	1,620
Sacramento Valley	866	Foothill & Sierra	1,352
South Coast & Desert	471	North Coast & Mountain	868
Bay & Central Coast	435	South Coast & Desert	385
Total	11,934	Total	15,996

Cancellations

A cancellation is the immediate termination of a contract by a landowner, which requires payment of a cancellation fee and board/council approval based on rigorous findings.

State law limits the use of cancellation to narrow conditions. Due to the specific findings required for a board or council to approve a contract cancellation, only a small fraction of yearly contract terminations occur as a result of cancellation.

From 2005 to 2006, the amount of contracted land terminated through cancellation decreased by 383 acres. Fresno County and the San Joaquin Valley Region cancelled the vast majority of acres in 2006.

In 2007, cancellations increased to 1,788 acres. In 2006 and 2007, Fresno County and the San Joaquin Valley Region continued to initiate the highest number of cancellations.

Statewide cancellations reached a low of 161 acres in 2002 and a high of 2,933 acres in 2004. Since 1991, the greatest amount of acres cancelled occurred in 1995 (5,694), the least in 1994 (155).

Cross-Reference: Appendix C (p. 39, 40)

Top 10 Counties with the Greatest Amount of Cancellations

2006				2007			
Ranking		County	Acres	Ranking		County	Acres
2005	2006			2006	2007		
3	1	Fresno	301	1	1	Fresno	528
n/a	2	Solano	151	3	2	Riverside	526
2	3	Riverside	76	5	3	Lake	484
14	4	Contra Costa	46	2	4	Solano	250
20	5	Lake	32				
7	6	Kern	29				

Regional Ranking by the Amount of Cancellations

2006		2007	
Region	Acres	Region	Acres
San Joaquin Valley	330	San Joaquin Valley	528
Sacramento Valley	151	South Coast & Desert	526
South Coast & Desert	76	North Coast & Mountain	484
Bay & Central Coast	46	Sacramento Valley	250
North Coast & Mountain	32	Bay & Central Coast	0
Foothill & Sierra	0	Foothill & Sierra	0
Total	635	Total	1,788

Public Acquisitions

A public acquisition is the immediate termination of an enforceable restriction through eminent domain, or in lieu of eminent domain, by a public agency. The public agency may need to make specific findings and notify the Director of the Department of Conservation.

Williamson Act contracted land is acquired by public entities for a wide range of public improvements. Wildlife habitat, water resource management, public open space, and schools are common reasons for publicly acquiring contracted land. Before acquiring contracted lands, public agencies must make findings that there is no other noncontracted land reasonably feasible for the purpose, and that the lower cost of contracted land is not a primary factor in their decision.

In 2006, public acquisitions increased to 32,131 acres – above the current decade’s average of 22,090 acres, but well below the record high of 70,334 acres in 2005. Fresno County’s acquisitions involved only prime agricultural land (13,754 acres) and were acquired by Westlands Water District. Madera County’s acquisitions involved mostly nonprime agricultural land (10,934 acres), most of which were completed by the Madera Irrigation District.

In 2007, public acquisitions decreased to 14,901 acres. Fresno County’s acquisitions involved mostly prime agricultural land (5,225 acres) and were a continuation of acquisitions completed by Westlands Water District the previous year. Kings County’s acquisitions involved mostly prime agricultural land (3,044 acres), most of which were completed by the Cocoran Irrigation and Tulare Lake Drainage Districts. Acquisitions in San Diego County were completed by the State Department of Parks & Recreation and federal government.

Statewide, publicly acquired acres in 2007 were almost evenly split between nonprime and prime agricultural land. Public acquisitions decreased from their previous years’ totals in 2001, 2004, 2006, and 2007. However, publically acquired acres have trended upward since 1998.

Since 1991, the greatest amount of publicly acquired acres occurred in 2005 (70,334) and the least in 1998 (9,493).

Cross-Reference: Appendix C (p. 41, 42)

Top 10 Counties with the Greatest Amount of Public Acquisitions

2006				2007			
Ranking		County	Acres	Ranking		County	Acres
2005	2006			2006	2007		
1	1	Fresno	13,754	1	1	Fresno	5,293
19	2	Madera	13,654	14	2	Kings	3,061
n/a	3	Santa Cruz	1,584	n/a	3	San Diego	2,261
12	4	Sacramento	786	n/a	4	Santa Clara	736
9	5	Solano	666	3	5	Santa Cruz	642
10	6	Tulare	614	n/a	6	San Benito	624
8	7	Kern	335	7	7	Kern	546
7	8	Colusa	307	n/a	8	Placer	521
2	9	San Luis Obispo	209	10	9	Alameda	474
20	10	Alameda	77	13	10	Stanislaus	377

Regional Ranking by the Amount of Public Acquisitions

2006		2007	
Region	Acres	Region	Acres
San Joaquin Valley	28,410	San Joaquin Valley	9,290
Bay & Central Coast	1,869	Bay & Central Coast	2,645
Sacramento Valley	1,831	South Coast & Desert	2,378
South Coast & Desert	17	Foothill & Sierra	588
North Coast & Mountain	3	North Coast & Mountain	0
Foothill & Sierra	0	Sacramento Valley	0
Total	32,131	Total	14,901

City Annexations

A city annexation is the succession or immediate termination of a contract upon the annexation of contracted land by a city. A valid city protest is required to terminate a contract, as determined by the local agency formation commission.

Certain contracts executed prior to 1991 may be terminated through city annexation only if the city filed a valid protest upon county notification at the time of contract formation. At present the total amount of contracted acreage covered by protested contracts statewide is unknown.

From 2005 to 2006, the amount of contracted land annexed by cities increased from 958 acres to 2,002 acres, most of which were annexed by the City of Lathrop in San Joaquin County. Statewide, all of the annexed lands were prime agricultural lands.

In 2007, the amount of contracted land annexed by cities decreased to 481 acres. Nearly all of the annexed land was prime agricultural land. The largest annexation was completed by the City of Dinuba, which annexed 358 acres in Tulare County.

Compared to 2006, 2007 represented a noticeable decrease in contracted acres annexed by cities. The greatest amount of annexed acreage occurred in 2000 (9,961 acres) and the least in 2007 (481 acres).

Cross-Reference: Appendix C (p. 43, 44)

Top 10 Counties with the Greatest Amount of City Annexations

2006				2007			
Ranking		County	Acres	Ranking		County	Acres
2005	2006			2006	2007		
5	1	San Joaquin	1,099	2	1	Tulare	405
3	2	Tulare	463	1	2	San Joaquin	51
6	3	Stanislaus	388	3	3	Stanislaus	25
4	4	Kings	52				

Regional Ranking by the Amount of City Annexations

2006		2007	
Region	Acres	Region	Acres
San Joaquin Valley	2,002	San Joaquin Valley	481
South Coast & Desert	0	Foothill & Sierra	0
Sacramento Valley	0	Sacramento Valley	0
Bay & Central Coast	0	Bay & Central Coast	0
Foothill & Sierra	0	North Coast & Mountain	0
North Coast & Mountain	0	South Coast & Desert	0
Total	2002	Total	481

Net Adjustments

Adjustments may be the reconciliation of errors in records or previous reports, re-mappings or re-surveys, lot line adjustments, and/or parcel divisions.

Local governments have the difficult task of monitoring all of the changes that affect the over 16 million acres contracted under the Williamson Act. The net adjustments category is partly a byproduct of the elimination of errors that occur in local government enrollment data. The category is also a byproduct of imperfect forms that local governments must use to report enrollment data.

In 2006, Fresno County lost 2,668 acres and Amador County gained 1,405 acres as a result of corrections for errors in their records. Lassen County lost 2,276 due to its correction for errors in records and deeding land for conservation mitigation purposes. Statewide, most of the net acreage lost was nonprime agricultural land.

In 2007, Stanislaus County gained 1,707 acres as a result of corrections for errors in their records and changes in its land categories. Since 1994, the largest net adjustment occurred in 2005 (32,205 gained acres) and the smallest in 2007 (441 lost acres).

Cross-Reference: Appendix C (p. 46, 47)

Top 10 Counties with the Greatest Amount of Adjustments (Net)

2006				2007			
Ranking		County	Acres	Ranking		County	Acres
2005	2006			2006	2007		
4	1	Fresno	-2,668	18	1	Stanislaus	1,707
15	2	Lassen	-2,276	7	2	Napa	695
10	3	Amador	1,405	1	3	Fresno	-682
2	4	Monterey	863	17	4	Colusa	680
27	5	Shasta	802	11	5	Calaveras	-544
12	6	San Luis Obispo	778	6	6	San Luis Obispo	-499
16	7	Napa	-673	40	7	Contra Costa	-469
5	8	Alameda	656	15	8	Mendocino	-438
35	9	Sacramento	-582	3	9	Amador	-357
30	10	Kern	-459	4	10	Monterey	-347

Regional Ranking by the Amount of Adjustments (Net)

2006		2007	
Region	Acres	Region	Acres
San Joaquin Valley	-3,025	Foothill & Sierra	-840
Bay & Central Coast	1,813	San Joaquin Valley	814
North Coast & Mountain	-1,091	Bay & Central Coast	-756
Foothill & Sierra	982	Sacramento Valley	537
Sacramento Valley	-945	North Coast & Mountain	-276
South Coast & Desert	-10	South Coast & Desert	81
Total	-2,276	Total	-441

Contract Termination Trends

Statutorily, there are five ways to terminate a Williamson Act contract: nonrenewal, cancellation, public acquisition, city annexation, and easement exchange. For reporting purposes, acreage may also be removed on paper via "Net Adjustments".

Nonrenewal: The nonrenewal process is the most significant mechanism for the termination of Williamson Act contracted land. Since 1996, more contracted acreage has been terminated through nonrenewal expiration than all of the other methods of termination combined. From 1996 to 2007, nonrenewal expirations have averaged 52,104 acres per year statewide. Statewide, nonrenewal expirations have trended down since 1999, an average of 12,683 acres each year.

Public acquisition: Statewide, public acquisition has been the second leading cause of contract termination acreage over the current decade. Acquired acreage has trended upward since 1998, but, over the past two years, has dropped dramatically to near 1999 levels. From 1996 to 2007, public acquisitions have averaged 29,222 acres per year statewide.


Net adjustments: A "Net Adjustment" is not a true method of contract termination. However, from 1996 to 2007, net adjustments have averaged the removal of 5,754 acres per year statewide.

City annexation: The actual amount of contracted land terminated through annexation is overstated since this analysis assumes that affected contracts are terminated, not succeeded to, upon annexation. Annexation acreage has fluctuated over the current decade. From 1996 to 2007, city annexations have averaged 3,398 acres per year statewide.


Cancellation: Statewide, cancellation acreage had been decreasing since 1999 to its low point of 161 acres in 2002, but has trended upward over the current five-year period. Over the past decade, the average amount of cancelled acreage each year has been 1,061. In 2004, 2,933 acres were cancelled – an amount almost three times the yearly average over the past decade.

Easement Exchange: This method of contract termination became available in 1998. As of 2007, three Williamson Act easement exchanges have taken place. In those three exchanges, Williamson Act contracts were rescinded on 494 acres in exchange for the placement of agricultural conservation easements on 579 acres.

Cumulative Acres Terminated By Category: 1996-2007 (Acres: Percentage)



Termination Trend Comparison (Acres): 1996-2007






Cumulative Nonrenewal Trends

Cumulative nonrenewal acreage refers to the total amount of acreage undergoing the nine-year phase out of contract status at any one time.

Statewide cumulative nonrenewal acreage peaked at nearly 700,000 acres (record high) in 1993 and then began a steady decline that ended in 2003 and has continued to rise till the present year. In 1993, statewide cumulative nonrenewal acreage made up 4.4 percent of the total statewide enrollment; in 2007 it was 3.2 percent.

The middle graphic shows the prime/nonprime composition of the statewide cumulative nonrenewal acreage. Notably, the amount of cumulative nonrenewal acreage in both the prime and nonprime categories had been declining until 2003. Since then, prime cumulative nonrenewals have been increasing an average of 121,589 acres per year. Nonprime cumulative nonrenewals have been increasing an average of 215,027 acres per year.

The bottom graphic shows the statewide cumulative nonrenewal acreage from a different perspective. From 1993 to 2003, there was a 9-year decline in statewide cumulative nonrenewal acreage. In 2003, nonrenewal initiations exceeded expirations for the first time since 1993 and continued to rise until 2007.



Cumulative Nonrenewal Trends

Cumulative nonrenewal acreage refers to the total amount of acreage undergoing the nine-year phase out of contract status at any one time.

From 2001 to 2007, Orange County easily maintained its top ranking statewide in the percentage of its enrollment that is under the nonrenewal process. As of 2007, Orange County has 225 acres under continuing contract. Its Williamson Act program peaked in popularity in the early 1970s when it had over 77,000 acres under contract.

From 2002 to 2007, the South Coast & Desert and Foothill & Sierra Regions remained in the top two positions. Since 2005, Placer County has continued to remain in the No. 2 spot, initiating nonrenewal on 522 acres in 2006 and another 2,411 acres in 2007.

Cross-Reference: Appendix C (p. 30)

Top 10 Counties with the Largest Percentage of Enrollment Under Nonrenewal

2006				2007			
Ranking		County	%	Ranking		County	%
2005	2006			2006	2007		
1	1	Orange	98	1	1	Orange	97
2	2	Placer	28	2	2	Placer	33
15	3	San Bernardino	26	3	4	San Bernardino	24
5	4	Riverside	9	10	5	Imperial	16
3	5	Plumas	8	24	3	Tuolumne	11
6	6	San Joaquin	7	45	6	Mariposa	10
4	7	Santa Barbara	7	7	7	Santa Barbara	9
9	8	Sacramento	6	6	8	San Joaquin	8
7	9	El Dorado	5	5	9	Plumas	8
23	10	Imperial	5	11	10	Lake	7

Regional Ranking by Percentage of Enrollment Under Nonrenewal

2006		2007	
Region	%	Region	%
South Coast & Desert	7.48	South Coast & Desert	9.77
Foothill & Sierra	4.04	Foothill & Sierra	8.54
Sacramento Valley	2.27	San Joaquin Valley	3.80
San Joaquin Valley	2.14	Sacramento Valley	2.81
Bay & Central Coast	1.35	Bay & Central Coast	1.68
North Coast & Mountain	0.99	North Coast & Mountain	1.22

Farmland Security Zones


In August 1998, the Farmland Security Zone (FSZ) provisions were enacted with the passage of Senate Bill 1182. The FSZ provisions offer landowners greater property tax reductions in return for a contractual commitment of at least 20 years.

As enacted in August of 1998, the FSZ provisions allowed for the creation of a FSZ contract only through the rescission of an existing Williamson Act contract. That requirement was changed on January 1, 2000, thus allowing non-contracted land to go straight into an FSZ contract. The graphic at right shows that most (87 percent) of the existing FSZ acreage was created through the rescission of existing Williamson Act contracts.

As of January 1, 2007, 21 counties had a percentage of their Williamson Act land under FSZ contract. This percentage ranged from 42 percent (Kings County) to 0.02 percent (Santa Barbara County), with an average of approximately 7 percent. Regionally, only the Sacramento Valley and San Joaquin Valley have greater than 2 percent of their total amount of contracted land under FSZ contract (5.9 percent and 8 percent, respectively).

The FSZ program has continued to grow but at a much slower pace over the past three years. The program has increased in size by 44,180 acres in 2004, 11,222 acres in 2005, 1,651 acres in 2006, and 22,237 acres in 2007. Since 1999, the FSZ program added the most acreage in 2000 (229,378 acres) and the least in 2006 (1,651 acres).

The Origin of Existing Farmland Security Zone Contracts (Acres; Percentage)




Farmland Security Zone Acreage and Percentage of Total Enrollment By County*


County	FSZ Acres	Percent of Total
Kings	285,219	41.99%
Colusa	59,338	18.50%
Marin	17,062	16.46%
San Joaquin	60,220	11.20%
Madera	56,980	10.57%
Kern	158,927	9.31%
Sierra	3,677	9.14%
Lassen	19,503	6.04%
Plumas	4,595	5.54%
Monterey	31,278	4.10%
Ventura	2881	2.23%
Glenn	89,258	2.00%
Fresno	29,094	1.90%
Tehama	11,356	1.42%
Tulare	11,182	1.02%
Santa Cruz	123	0.72%
El Dorado	185	0.53%
Humboldt	266	0.13%
San Luis Obispo	648	0.08%
Yolo	159	0.04%
Santa Barbara	133	0.02%

*As of January 1, 2007


New Enrollments (Acres): 1996-2007




Public Acquisitions (Acres): 1996-2007




Nonrenewal Initiations (Acres): 1996-2007




City Annexations (Acres): 1996-2007




Nonrenewal Expirations (Acres): 1996-2007




Total Reported Acreage (Millions): 1996-2007*



Cancellations (Acres): 1996-2007



Open Space Subvention Act Payments (Millions): 1996-2007



III. OPEN SPACE SUBVENTION ACT PAYMENTS

Open Space Subvention Act

The Open Space Subvention Act provides for the partial replacement of local property tax revenues foregone as a result of participation in the Williamson Act and other enforceable restriction programs.

Since the first Open Space Subvention payments made in fiscal year 1972-1973, the State has distributed over \$838 million to counties and cities in support of the Williamson Act program. In 2006 15,800,877 acres were reported as eligible, while \$38,258,929 was claimed in subventions. These figures were less than their respective figures in 2005. In 2007, 15,575,391 acres were reported as eligible, while \$37,737,344 was claimed in subventions. Actual subvention payments, which had been increasing since 1996, declined in 2004 to 2007.

While prime farmlands constitute about one-third of statewide enrollment, they accounted for roughly 73 percent of total subvention claims in 2007. Other enforceably restricted lands, including Open Space Easement lands that qualify for subvention payments, accounted for 0.1 percent of total subventions in 2007.

Not all Williamson Act contracted lands are eligible for subvention payments. For example, local governments generally cannot claim subventions on contracted land that is under nonrenewal or valued for property tax purposes at Proposition 13 levels. In 2006-2007, approximately 3 percent of the statewide enrollment was not eligible for subvention payment.

The Top 10 counties in terms of subvention entitlement remain fairly stable over the years. The eight San Joaquin Valley counties ranked No. 1 through No. 7 and No. 9 in 2006 and 2007. The same counties ranked No. 1 through No. 8 in 2004 to 2005. The San Joaquin Valley contains approximately 44 percent of the total statewide Williamson Act enrollment and accounts for 59 percent of total subventions.

Cross-Reference: Appendix C (p. 52, 53)

Top 10 Counties with the Largest Subvention Entitlement

2006				2007			
Ranking		County	Dollars	Ranking		County	Dollars
2005	2006			2006	2007		
1	1	Fresno	\$5,287,349.00	1	1	Fresno	\$5,270,408.00
2	2	Kern	\$4,764,687.00	2	2	Kern	\$4,733,094.00
3	3	Tulare	\$3,439,348.00	3	3	Tulare	\$3,411,417.00
4	4	Kings	\$2,754,086.00	4	4	Kings	\$2,681,127.00
5	5	San Joaquin	\$1,910,605.00	5	5	San Joaquin	\$1,908,313.00
6	6	Stanislaus	\$1,545,374.00	6	6	Stanislaus	\$1,466,943.00
7	7	Merced	\$1,416,936.00	7	7	Merced	\$1,429,352.00
8	8	Yolo	\$1,295,688.00	8	8	Yolo	\$1,283,038.00
9	9	Madera	\$1,270,305.00	9	9	Madera	\$1,246,397.00
10	10	San Luis Obispo	\$1,091,127.00	10	10	San Luis Obispo	\$1,088,726.00

Open Space Subvention Act Payment Claims By Region (Dollars)*

Region	Land Conservation Act		Farmland Security Zone				Agricultural Conservation Easement		Other Eligible Open Space	Total
	Prime	Nonprime	Urban		Non-Urban		Prime	Nonprime		
Bay & Central Coast	1,130,166	2,652,171	105,313	14,353	59,155	22,323	0	63	2,338	3,985,881
Foothill & Sierra	184,054	652,383	0	6,186	5,825	7,843	0	0	2,315	858,605
North Coast & Mountain	953,620	1,573,285	4,364	272	57,122	7,765	0	0	0	2,596,428
Sacramento Valley	3,742,869	1,660,100	256,893	29,340	573,419	9,648	9,004	1,986	0	6,283,259
San Joaquin Valley	16,044,172	2,918,844	744,026	5,742	2,405,196	26,747	1,639	0	686	22,147,051
South Coast & Desert	1,236,119	564,932	12,376	5,286	2,794	248	2,124	2,210	40,031	1,866,120
Totals	23,290,999	10,021,714	1,122,972	61,178	3,103,511	74,573	12,767	4,259	45,369	37,737,344

*Year 2007. Actual payment totals may differ slightly due to audit adjustments and/or enforcement actions

IV. COMPLIANCE AUDITS

In 1988, Williamson Act and Open Space Subvention Act program audits were initiated for participating Williamson Act counties and cities. At that time, the Department of Conservation contracted with the Department of General Services to conduct audits of several counties. As a result of those initial audits, approximately \$550,000 in subventions was recaptured for payments made on land not eligible for subventions and for cancellation fees paid to counties but not forwarded to the State. In fiscal year 1996-1997, the Department began an annual Williamson Act/Open Space Subvention Act compliance audit program through contracts with the Department of Finance. This program has resulted in a return to the General Fund of more than \$2.1 million from the recapture of subvention overpayments and unpaid contract cancellation fees.

Claiming subvention on land not eligible for payment is the most frequent cause of subvention overpayments. This includes land starting through the contract nonrenewal process, and land valued lower under Proposition 13 valuation for regular Williamson Act contracts. Another problem area is when cancellation fees are collected by local governments and not transmitted within the statutorily required timeframe to the State Controller's Office.

Besides the subventions recovered by the audits, a major benefit is the correction of procedures for cities and counties that may not have followed the Williamson Act requirements and restrictions. The audit findings provide reassurance to both local governments and the State that the provisions of statute are being followed. Since 1972, over \$837 million in State subventions have been certified to local governments to provide replacement revenues for the loss in tax revenue and administrative costs resulting from participation in the Williamson Act program. The audit program provides a valuable check to ensure that the program is administered according to statute at the local level, and to carry out the State's fiduciary responsibility for a major investment by the taxpayers of California.

- **Fiscal year 1996-1997** audits of Kern, San Joaquin and Tulare Counties recaptured \$65,087 in subvention overpayments. The audit also discovered a contract violation that led to the Department's initiation of legal action to remedy the violation. The resolution of the contract enforcement action resulted in a payment of \$100,000 to the California Farmland Conservancy Program Fund, and the money was subsequently used to fund acquisition of perpetual agricultural conservation easements.
- **Fiscal year 1997-1998** audits of Fresno, Kings, Stanislaus and Madera Counties resulted in the recapture of \$165,607 in subvention overpayments.
- **Fiscal year 1998-1999** audits of San Luis Obispo, Riverside, Monterey and Tehama Counties resulted in the recapture of \$958,497 in subvention overpayments. Of this amount, \$911,298 was for cancellation fees collected by Riverside County but not forwarded to the State Controller's Office.
- **Fiscal year 1999-2000** audits of Colusa, San Diego and Yolo Counties resulted in the recapture of \$150,406 in subvention overpayments.
- **Fiscal year 2000-2001** audits of Contra Costa, Glenn, San Benito, Santa Barbara and Tuolumne Counties resulted in the recapture of \$5,000 in overpaid subventions.
- **Fiscal year 2001-2002** audits of Marin, Mendocino, Placer, San Bernardino and Santa Clara Counties resulted in the recapture of \$57,980 in subvention overpayments. The audits also generated a subsequent review that resulted in the recapture of \$407,885 in subvention overpayments beginning in fiscal year 2004-05.
- **Fiscal year 2002-2003** audits of Sacramento, Ventura, Solano, Kern, Mariposa and Siskiyou Counties resulted in the recapture of \$11,125 in subvention overpayments.
- **Fiscal year 2004-2005** audits of Butte, Humboldt, and Sonoma Counties resulted in the recapture of \$289,773 in subvention overpayments.

APPENDIX A. SIGNIFICANT LEGISLATION

Summary of Significant Legislation Effective January 1, 2006

Assembly Bill 365 (Salinas, Chapter 605, Statutes of 2005)

- AB 365 states that plants and floricultural crops that are produced by nurseries, whether in open fields or in greenhouses, shall be considered "growing agricultural crops" for the purposes of those laws and that those laws shall apply equally to greenhouses and open field nursery operations.

Senate Bill 49 (Machado, Chapter 245, Statutes of 2005)

- SB 49 requires a County assessor to formally review the fair market valuation of a Williamson Act cancellation fee if he or she determines that additional information submitted by the requesting party may have a material effect on the valuation of the property.

Summary of Significant Legislation Effective January 1, 2007

Assembly Bill 797 (Wolk, Chapter 547, Statutes of 2006)

- AB 797 provides an exception to the county boundary limitations for agricultural conservation easements entered into pursuant to the Department of Conservation's Easement Exchange Program. An agricultural conservation easement may be placed within either the Primary or Secondary Delta Zone, regardless of the county boundary, when contract rescissions are located in any portion of the Secondary Zone of the Delta.

Summary of Significant Legislation Effective January 1, 2009

Assembly Bill 2921 (Laird, Chapter 503, Statutes of 2008)

- AB 2921 authorizes the Department of Conservation to discharge certain actions if the finding of no material breach by a city or county was not supported by the evidence; revise the conditions under which a landowner may cancel a Williamson Act contract to place other land under an agricultural conservation preserve; and require the city or county to determine the amount of the cancellation fee required of the landowner and to report that amount to the county auditor before tentatively approving the cancellation petition.
- The Williamson Act, until January 1, 2009, authorizes parties to a contract subject to the act's provisions to rescind the contract and simultaneously enter into a new contract in order to facilitate a lot line adjustment, if certain findings are made by the governing body of the city or county where the land is located. AB 2921 would extend the above authorization until January 1, 2010.

APPENDIX A. SIGNIFICANT LEGISLATION (cont.)

Lot Line Adjustment Provisions – Review

The Department of Conservation has prepared this performance review of the lot line adjustment provisions for Williamson Act contracted land, as required by Government Code Section 51257(d). Beginning with a brief discussion of the origin and evolution of Section 51257, this review then transitions into an evolution of the implementation and efficacy of that statute.

Origin and Evolution

The current revision of Section 51257 recognizes a process to permit and facilitate lot line adjustments on Williamson Act contracted land. These provisions were enacted as part of Senate Bill 1240 (Costa, Chapter 495, Statutes of 1997). Prior to the codification of these provisions, the Williamson Act made no allowance for adjusting parcel boundaries of contracted land. At that time, the only clearly permissible methods to adjust lot lines were to not renew the contract and wait the nine years until the contract term had expired then adjust the parcel boundaries by entry into a new contract. The landowner instead could seek to cancel the contract in order to immediately adjust the parcel boundaries, with attendant cancellation fees required and the local government making the cancellation findings. Neither option provided landowners with the necessary flexibility to manage their land given the realistic uncertainty of a ten year contract period.

The Act's silence on lot line adjustments contributed to the inconsistent treatment of changes to the boundaries of contracted land. In the mid-1990s, the Williamson Act Advisory Committee reviewed the issue of lot line adjustments and recognized that the absence of a statutory mechanism to adjust lot lines was problematic. The Committee recommended amending the Act to add a means for adjusting the boundaries, or "lot lines" of contracted land. The Committee also recognized the potential for abuse and therefore they proposed that lot line adjustments be subject to certain, significant restrictions.

As enacted by Senate Bill 1240, Section 51257 allowed lot line adjustments provided that the board of supervisors or city council made a series of findings. Generally, those findings were meant to ensure that the agricultural integrity of the land would be protected. In 1998 Senate Bill 1835 ((Johnston) Chapter 690) made technical, non-substantive changes to the findings, and in 1999 Senate Bill 985 ((Johnston) Chapter 1018) added a new finding to prohibit an increase in the developable parcels and require general plan consistency.

The Department has found that the ability of landowners to adjust the boundaries of their properties is sometimes necessary and that the lot line adjustment provision provided by Section 51257 is a valuable tool for owners of contracted land.

Pursuant to the Legislature's directive to include a review of the performance of Section 51257 within Williamson Act Status Report for 2008, the Department offers the following specific observations.

Section 51257 Has Been Positive For Landowners and the State

The Williamson Act provides for binding contracts lasting a term of ten years. While this should be a manageable period, landowners must have the flexibility to adjust their holdings' boundaries to account for unforeseeable circumstances. This has clearly been demonstrated by the inventive and, unfortunately, often illusory, methods employed before the enactment of Section 51257. This statute has been a useful tool to provide the needed flexibility. Local jurisdictions have generally made good use of their authority to approve lot line adjustments on land enrolled in the Williamson Act. Furthermore, the adoption of statewide regulation has had a positive influence on preventing subdivision of agricultural land into units too small for viable agricultural activity.

Compliance With Lot Line Adjustment Requirements Protects the Williamson Act's Purpose and Landowners' Interests In Property

Since 1997, 41 audits have been conducted of counties' and cities' Williamson Act programs and their receipt of state subvention payments. In preparing this report, the Department reviewed those audit reports and found discrepancies regarding lot line adjustments mentioned in 14 of those reports. Of the 11 audits conducted since 2003, eight contained improprieties regarding lot line adjustments. Since the improprieties appeared to be in the various local processes, each one represented multiple instances of ineffective or improper adjustment of lot lines. Among the common discrepancies were:

- Failure of county Board of Supervisors to actually consider the adjustment and make the required findings required by Williamson Act Section 51257 or Subdivision Map Act Section 66474.4 (five counties)
- Review and approval of lot line adjustments at the staff level, instead of the board of supervisors or city council (four counties)
- Use of the lot line adjustment process, for parcel divisions and mergers instead using the subdivision process, (five counties).

As a result of the auditing process, auditors identified nearly 100 examples of questionable lot line adjustments or subdivisions.

APPENDIX A. SIGNIFICANT LEGISLATION (cont.)

The prevalence of these discrepancies indicates that counties and cities generally recognize that there is a lot line adjustment procedure provided by Section 51257, but do not correctly utilize the prescribed procedure. Moreover, experience and inquiries have informed the Department that some counties and cities may not recognize that Section 51257 is the exclusive procedure for adjusting the boundary of a parcel covered by a Williamson Act contract, absent removing the contract on the parcel of land. Unsatisfactory compliance with the statutory process often means that the requisite findings have not been made by the governing board or council; this, in turn, undermines the purpose of the statute.

Landowners and local agencies should understand that the current language of Section 51257 means that the statute's requirements are prescriptive. It has been argued that the use of the word "may," in subdivision (a) – which states, "To facilitate a lot line adjustment...the parties may mutually agree..." – means that this statute is an optional method of adjusting lot lines. As the sponsor of the legislation creating the lot line provisions, the Department interprets Section 51257 as an enabling provision, without which a lot line adjustment could not be accomplished during the term of the contract. The word "may" is intended to allow landowners and local governments to make lot line adjustment of a contracted parcel at all, not create an alternative method of adjustment. Improper adjustment of property lines can result in the conveyance of illegal parcels to unsuspecting purchasers. In addition to those purchasers' valueless real property, the improper subdivision deprives neighboring of environmental review and protection. Consequently, failure to follow Section 51257's prescription destabilizes property rights and local government administration.

Lack of Recordation Fails To Ensure The Accuracy of Land Records And Protect Landowners' And The Public's Interests

By entering into a Williamson Act contract, landowners agree to enforceable restrictions on the use of their own land for ten year periods. Therefore, Williamson Act contracts not only impact landowner's rights and expectations, but they also impact the community, local expectations and planning. An incorrect legal description of the land covered by the contract can impair the rights and expectation of all those interests. Consequently, current law requires Williamson Act contracts to run with the land and to be recorded.

One cause of discrepancies occurs when parcel boundaries are changed but the Williamson Act contract associated with the parcel is not changed to reflect the new lot line(s). The statutory scheme does not provide for amendment of Williamson Act contracts, instead the Act prescribes for the rescission of an existing contract and re-entry into a new contract when changes need to be made. Since there is no such requirement, a purchaser of land under contract may not be fully aware of the restrictions upon the land; this can lead to disappointed expectations, lawsuits and uncertainty in property interests.

Lot Line Adjustments Are Not A Proxy For Subdivision But the Relevant Statutory Language is Unclear

Williamson Act contracts restrict land uses for a period of ten years and this generally prevents the land from being subdivided or developed. However, Section 51257 does allow for the boundaries of the land covered by a contract to be adjusted and up to 10 percent of the contracted land can be removed from the contract. Consequently, multiple, serial adjustments to the boundaries could have much the same effect upon the contracted land as a subdivision. As it currently exists, Section 51257(c) mitigates the potential subdivision by lot line adjustment by limiting adjustments made pursuant to Section 51257 to only one new contract "with respect to a given parcel, prior to January 1, 2004." Some have noted that this current language is unclear, leaving local agencies and landowners without effective guidance.

Conclusion

Section 51257 has proven to be a useful tool for landowners, local and state government. Without it, the Williamson Act would be silent on the subject of lot line adjustments leaving a void to be filled with uncertainty. This statute has provided statewide uniformity and its requirement that findings be made to support the adjustments and limitations on adjustments have protected agricultural lands.

APPENDIX B. ABOUT THE WILLIAMSON ACT

The California Land Conservation Act, better known as the Williamson Act, has been the State's premier agricultural land protection program since its enactment in 1965. Over 16 million of the state's 30 million acres of farm and ranch land are currently protected under the Williamson Act. The Williamson Act statute is located in the California Government Code beginning with Section 51200.

Following World War II, California experienced tremendous population and economic growth. This growth, in tandem with the State's property tax system, led to increased pressures to convert agricultural land to urban use. Rapidly escalating property taxes often presented a prohibitive burden for farmers who wanted to maintain their agricultural operations. In response, the California Legislature passed the Williamson Act in 1965 to preserve agricultural and open space lands by discouraging premature and unnecessary conversion to urban uses. The Williamson Act was then, and remains today, a highly innovative policy that tackles the problem of agricultural land protection through an interrelated set of property tax, land use, and conservation measures.

Fundamentally, the Williamson Act is a State policy administered by local governments. Local governments are not mandated to administer the Act, but those that do have some latitude to tailor the program to suit local goals and objectives. The State's support of the program is strong and enduring – expressed in the language of the Act, in the authority granted to local governments, in the State subventions, and in the recent enhancements to the Act that further promote farmland and open space protection.

A three-way relationship between private landowners, local governments, and the State is central to the Williamson Act. Local governments and landowners voluntarily enter into a contract in which each accepts certain costs in return for other benefits. The landowner forgoes the possibility of development, or converting his or her property into nonagricultural or non-open space use during the term of the contract, in return for lower property taxes. The local government foregoes a portion of its property taxes in return for the planning advantages and values implicit in retaining land in agriculture or open space. The State is also a key player in the program. The State supports local governments and landowners in the form of technical and implementation assistance, interpretation of the Act, subventions to local governments, research of issues and policies, contract enforcement, and preparation of the Williamson Act Status Report.

Williamson Act contracts have an initial term of ten years, with renewal occurring automatically each year (Local governments can establish initial contract terms for longer periods of time). The contracts run with the land and are binding on all successors in interest of the landowner. Only land located within an agricultural preserve is eligible for a Williamson Act contract. An agricultural preserve defines the boundary of an area within which a city or county will enter into contracts with landowners. The boundary is designated by resolution of the board of supervisors (board) or city council (council) having jurisdiction. Preserves are regulated by rules and restrictions designated in the resolution to ensure that the land within the preserve is maintained for agricultural or open space use. The rules of each agricultural preserve specify the uses allowed. Generally, any commercial agricultural use will be permitted within any agricultural preserve. In addition, local governments may identify compatible uses permitted with a use permit. Landowners interested in enrolling land in a contract should contact their local planning department for application forms and instructions.

In August of 1998, Senate Bill 1182 established the Farmland Security Zone (FSZ) provisions of the Williamson Act. An FSZ is an area created within an agricultural preserve by a board upon request by a landowner or group of landowners. FSZ contracts offer landowners greater property tax reduction in return for an initial contract term of twenty years, with renewal occurring automatically each year. Land restricted by an FSZ contract is valued for property assessment purposes at 65 percent of its Williamson Act valuation, or 65 percent of its Proposition 13 valuation, whichever is lower. New special taxes for urban-related services must be levied at an unspecified reduced rate unless the tax directly benefits the land or living improvements. Cities and special districts that provide non-agricultural services are generally prohibited from annexing land enrolled under an FSZ contract. Similarly, school districts are prohibited from taking FSZ lands for school facilities. The FSZ provisions of the Williamson Act begin at Section 51296 of the California Government Code.


APPENDIX C. DATA TABLES

Data Notes

The following chart was omitted since no acreage was reported: Nonrenewals Withdrawn (FSZ, 2007)

Explanation of Enrollment Categories

The Status Report shows changes to nineteen categories of enrollment. These enrollment categories may be described by a combination of four factors: contract type, contract status, location, and agricultural potential.



Contract Type

Contract type refers to the nature of the restriction covering the land. The contract types are:

- Land Conservation Act Contract
- Farmland Security Zone Contract
- Agricultural Conservation Easement
- Other Enforceable Restriction

Contract Status

Contract status indicates whether the contract is under nonrenewal. If so, then its contract status will be "Nonrenewal"; otherwise, its status will be "Continuing".

- Nonrenewal
- Continuing

Location

This factor is only relevant to FSZ enrollment for subvention payment purposes. FSZ contracted land that is within a city's sphere of influence, or within three miles of the exterior boundaries of a city's sphere of influence, is "Urban". All other FSZ contracted land is "Non-Urban".

- Urban
- Non-Urban

Agricultural Potential

Agricultural potential refers to the actual or potential agricultural productivity of the land being restricted. Contracted land that meets the Williamson Act definition of prime agricultural land is "Prime". All other land is "Nonprime".

- Prime
- Nonprime

Total Reported Enrollment (Acres)

Participating Local Jurisdictions	2006									
	Land Conservation Act*		Farmland Security Zone*				Agricultural Conservation Easement		Other Enforceable Restriction	TOTAL
	Prime	Nonprime	Urban		Non-Urban		Prime	Nonprime		
			Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime				
Counties										
Alameda	1,979	134,013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	135,992
Amador	5,244	89,636	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	94,880
Butte	109,532	106,212	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	215,744
Calaveras	16,914	117,275	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	134,189
Colusa	65,924	193,609	15,881	699	39,645	2,372	-	-	-	318,130
Contra Costa	9,593	38,353	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47,946
El Dorado	2,167	32,476	-	-	5	180	-	-	-	34,828
Fresno	996,537	485,933	-	-	20,586	3,458	-	-	-	1,506,515
Glenn	60,989	266,199	13,417	500	73,114	2,226	-	-	-	416,446
Humboldt	4,526	191,360	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	195,886
Imperial	126,334	3,918	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	130,252
Kern	635,314	922,939	22,884	-	127,337	-	-	-	-	1,708,473
Kings	292,767	112,577	28,868	227	239,823	9,393	-	-	-	683,655
Lake	5,834	44,526	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50,360
Lassen	15,992	286,731	546	34	11,840	7,137	-	-	-	322,279
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	40,031	40,031
Madera	205,359	277,146	12,766	317	40,662	2,078	328	-	-	538,654
Marin	1,636	84,194	-	-	290	16,772	-	-	-	102,892
Mariposa	-	204,742	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	204,742
Mendocino	34,667	464,647	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	499,314
Merced	248,500	193,791	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	442,292
Modoc	14,898	95,043	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109,941
Mono	13,310	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13,310
Monterey	61,686	667,249	12,308	1,695	11,194	5,339	-	-	2,613	762,084
Napa	18,024	51,005	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	69,030
Nevada	3,364	470	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,485	6,319
Orange	438	8,632	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9,069
Placer	15,227	28,472	-	-	-	1,323	-	-	-	45,022
Plumas	5,576	72,824	-	-	1,160	3,435	-	-	-	82,996
Riverside	53,422	6,688	-	-	-	-	255	214	-	60,578
Sacramento	88,273	98,321	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	186,594
San Benito	52,482	531,682	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	584,164
San Bernardino	2,237	2,402	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,640
San Diego	4,998	57,765	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	62,763
San Joaquin	330,187	144,128	15,026	79	34,559	10,558	-	-	-	534,537
San Luis Obispo	87,337	705,882	444	70	55	64	-	-	-	793,852
San Mateo	3,070	43,988	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47,058
Santa Barbara	72,004	476,525	-	-	133	-	105	511	-	549,278
Santa Clara	10,316	303,090	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	313,406
Santa Cruz	2,947	14,779	82	32	-	10	-	63	-	17,912
Shasta	16,856	160,256	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	177,111
Sierra	1,919	34,625	-	773	-	2,904	-	-	-	40,221
Siskiyou	90,864	319,365	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	410,229
Solano	118,677	146,668	-	-	-	-	1,456	1,976	-	268,777
Sonoma	42,410	230,350	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	272,760
Stanislaus	290,390	401,276	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	691,665
Sutter	45,800	11,376	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	57,177
Tehama	51,248	739,064	2,655	2,467	1,190	5,044	-	-	-	801,668
Trinity	-	22,035	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22,035
Tulare	573,090	512,873	11,102	50	-	-	-	-	686	1,097,801
Tuolumne	-	119,615	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	119,615
Ventura	46,401	79,502	1,558	650	429	244	-	-	-	128,783
Yolo	243,040	172,714	158	1	-	-	-	-	-	415,913
Cities										
Camarillo	75	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	76
Hayward	-	384	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	384
Menlo Park	-	255	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	255
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	149	317	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	466
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals										
Counties	5,200,297	10,508,937	137,694	7,595	602,022	72,539	2,144	2,764	45,815	16,579,806
Cities	224	957	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,181
Grand Totals	5,200,521	10,509,894	137,694	7,595	602,022	72,539	2,144	2,764	45,815	16,580,987

*Totals include both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

Total Reported Enrollment (Acres)

Participating Local Jurisdictions	2007									
	Land Conservation Act*		Farmland Security Zone*				Agricultural Conservation Easement		Other Enforceable Restriction	TOTAL
	Prime	Nonprime	Urban		Non-Urban		Prime	Nonprime		
			Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime				
Alameda	2,459	133,066	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	135,525
Amador	5,230	88,554	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	93,783
Butte	109,711	106,171	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	215,882
Calaveras	566	133,891	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	134,457
Colusa	65,857	194,355	15,881	699	40,380	2,378	-	-	-	319,551
Contra Costa	9,559	37,749	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47,308
El Dorado	2,252	32,634	-	-	5	180	-	-	-	35,071
Fresno	985,729	485,480	-	-	25,612	3,482	-	-	-	1,500,303
Glenn	61,537	265,749	13,417	500	73,114	2,226	-	-	-	416,544
Humboldt	4,661	195,495	-	-	236	31	-	-	-	200,422
Imperial	131,273	4,464	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	135,737
Kern	628,962	919,117	25,176	-	133,751	-	-	-	-	1,707,006
Kings	282,278	111,621	28,851	227	245,499	10,642	-	-	-	679,118
Lake	5,815	44,061	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	49,876
Lassen	16,036	287,280	546	34	11,189	7,734	-	-	-	322,819
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	40,031	40,031
Madera	205,468	276,514	12,935	362	41,593	2,091	328	-	-	539,290
Marin	1,636	84,951	-	-	290	16,772	-	-	-	103,649
Mariposa	-	205,342	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	205,342
Mendocino	34,758	463,171	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	497,929
Merced	250,014	200,749	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	450,763
Modoc	16,070	100,919	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	116,989
Mono	13,310	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13,310
Monterey	61,397	668,108	12,620	1,695	11,486	5,477	-	-	2,613	763,396
Napa	18,294	51,884	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	70,178
Nevada	3,349	804	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,485	6,638
Orange	285	7,849	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8,134
Placer	15,188	27,414	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	42,601
Plumas	5,576	72,824	-	-	1,160	3,435	-	-	-	82,996
Riverside	52,825	6,601	-	-	-	-	255	214	-	59,895
Sacramento	88,771	98,331	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	187,102
San Benito	52,529	530,993	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	583,522
San Bernardino	2,247	2,402	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,649
San Diego	4,840	57,214	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	62,054
San Joaquin	333,697	143,522	15,026	79	34,584	10,531	-	-	-	537,439
San Luis Obispo	87,584	706,162	462	67	55	64	-	-	-	794,394
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	71,993	476,484	-	-	133	-	170	1,996	-	550,777
Santa Clara	10,316	302,322	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	312,638
Santa Cruz	2,702	14,182	82	32	-	10	-	63	-	17,071
Shasta	23,166	164,018	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	187,184
Sierra	1,919	34,620	-	773	-	2,904	-	-	-	40,216
Siskiyou	91,232	319,760	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	410,992
Solano	118,555	146,710	-	-	-	-	1,601	1,979	-	268,845
Sonoma	42,321	230,937	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	273,258
Stanislaus	290,971	400,076	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	691,048
Sutter	49,860	13,162	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	63,022
Tehama	50,829	737,818	2,655	2,467	1,190	5,044	-	-	-	800,003
Trinity	21,805	231	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22,035
Tulare	573,105	513,565	11,132	50	-	-	-	-	686	1,098,538
Tuolumne	119,932	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	119,932
Ventura	46,653	79,459	1,547	661	426	248	-	-	-	128,993
Yolo	242,176	173,798	158	1	-	-	200	7	-	416,340
Cities										
Camarillo	75	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	76
Hayward	-	384	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	384
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	149	317	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	466
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals										
Counties	5,317,297	10,352,582	140,488	7,647	620,702	73,250	2,553	4,259	45,815	16,564,593
Cities	224	702	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	926
Grand Totals	5,317,521	10,353,284	140,488	7,647	620,702	73,250	2,553	4,259	45,815	16,565,519

*Totals include both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

Farmland Security Zone Transfers (Acres)

Participating Local Jurisdictions	2006									
	Land Conservation Act*		Farmland Security Zone*				Agricultural Conservation Easement		Other Enforceable Restriction	TOTAL
	Prime	Nonprime	Urban		Non-Urban		Prime	Nonprime		
			Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime				
Counties										
Alameda	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Amador	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Butte	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Calaveras	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colusa	(250)	(30)	-	-	250	30	-	-	-	-
Contra Costa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
El Dorado	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fresno	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Glenn	(218)	(407)	218	299	-	108	-	-	-	(0)
Humboldt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Imperial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kern	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lake	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lassen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madera	(98)	-	39	-	58	-	-	-	-	-
Marin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mendocino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Merced	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Modoc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Napa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Orange	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sacramento	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Benito	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Bernardino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Diego	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Joaquin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Luis Obispo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Clara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Cruz	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shasta	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Solano	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sonoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stanislaus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sutter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tehama	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Trinity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare	(5)	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tuolumne	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ventura	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yolo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cities										
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals										
Counties	(571)	(437)	262	299	308	138	-	-	-	(0)
Cities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grand Totals	(571)	(437)	262	299	308	138	-	-	-	(0)

*Totals include both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

Farmland Security Zone Transfers (Acres)

Participating Local Jurisdictions	2007									
	Land Conservation Act*		Farmland Security Zone*				Agricultural Conservation Easement		Other Enforceable Restriction	TOTAL
	Prime	Nonprime	Urban		Non-Urban		Prime	Nonprime		
			Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime				
Counties										
Alameda	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Amador	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Butte	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Calaveras	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colusa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contra Costa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
El Dorado	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fresno	(4,781)	-	-	-	4,781	-	-	-	-	-
Glenn	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt	(97)	(83)	-	-	150	31	-	-	-	-
Imperial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kern	(4,524)	-	2,293	-	2,232	-	-	-	-	-
Kings	(8,502)	(1,249)	-	-	8,502	1,249	-	-	-	(0)
Lake	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lassen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madera	(278)	(14)	155	-	123	14	-	-	-	(0)
Marin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mendocino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Merced	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Modoc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Napa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Orange	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sacramento	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Benito	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Bernardino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Diego	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Joaquin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Luis Obispo	(70)	(50)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(120)
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Clara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Cruz	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shasta	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Solano	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sonoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stanislaus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sutter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tehama	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Trinity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tuolumne	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ventura	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yolo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cities										
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals										
Counties	(18,251)	(1,395)	2,448	-	15,786	1,293	-	-	-	(120)
Cities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grand Totals	(18,251)	(1,395)	2,448	-	15,786	1,293	-	-	-	(120)

*Totals include both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

Cumulative Nonrenewal Acreage (Land Conservation Act)

Participating Local Jurisdictions	2006			2007		
	Land Conservation Act		TOTAL	Land Conservation Act		TOTAL
	Prime	Nonprime		Prime	Nonprime	
Counties						
Alameda	2	757	758	2	999	1,000
Amador	3	3,199	3,202	6	2,461	2,467
Butte	693	1,018	1,711	1,151	9,409	10,560
Calaveras	180	4,648	4,828	-	5,919	5,919
Colusa	2,677	32	2,709	2,677	32	2,709
Contra Costa	301	1,492	1,793	445	2,185	2,630
El Dorado	-	1,655	1,655	43	2,009	2,052
Fresno	12,349	3,491	15,840	15,706	3,539	19,245
Glenn	190	1,425	1,616	1,285	1,460	2,746
Humboldt	-	779	779	17	2,917	2,933
Imperial	5,902	159	6,061	19,858	1,518	21,376
Kern	24,857	30,295	55,151	34,468	28,659	63,127
Kings	5,666	986	6,652	6,886	986	7,872
Lake	323	2,007	2,330	304	2,960	3,264
Lassen	-	701	701	-	701	701
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madera	11,404	6,926	18,330	14,406	16,808	31,214
Marin	39	243	281	39	243	281
Mariposa	-	88	88	-	21,473	21,473
Mendocino	117	11,733	11,850	102	11,943	12,045
Merced	3,816	281	4,096	4,237	281	4,518
Modoc	-	-	-	-	261	261
Mono	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey	2,790	2,473	5,263	3,469	6,268	9,738
Napa	710	647	1,357	676	647	1,323
Nevada	-	-	-	80	2	82
Orange	406	8,438	8,844	254	7,655	7,909
Placer	3,989	8,623	12,611	4,995	8,876	13,871
Plumas	6	6,301	6,307	6	6,301	6,307
Riverside	4,419	735	5,154	-	-	-
Sacramento	1,408	9,315	10,723	1,127	9,478	10,605
San Benito	656	6,596	7,252	1,218	6,098	7,316
San Bernardino	88	1,135	1,223	195	909	1,104
San Diego	208	474	682	162	640	802
San Joaquin	31,060	7,403	38,463	34,626	8,635	43,261
San Luis Obispo	3,332	13,233	16,566	3,403	14,776	18,179
San Mateo	283	15	298	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	2,016	36,906	38,922	2,738	46,746	49,483
Santa Clara	986	6,342	7,328	1,140	6,359	7,499
Santa Cruz	61	139	199	-	-	-
Shasta	-	5	5	-	5	5
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	242	1,805	2,047	391	2,247	2,638
Solano	260	2,472	2,733	203	2,472	2,675
Sonoma	171	1,114	1,285	500	3,552	4,052
Stanislaus	-	-	-	18,432	25,142	43,574
Sutter	32	-	32	32	-	32
Tehama	4,806	22,430	27,236	5,134	25,449	30,584
Trinity	-	-	-	-	231	231
Tulare	5,519	370	5,889	7,651	19,560	27,212
Tuolumne	-	2,157	2,157	-	12,836	12,836
Ventura	764	2,306	3,071	528	2,319	2,847
Yolo	9,653	2,705	12,358	9,817	2,987	12,804
Cities						
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	-	13	-	-	13	13
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals						
Counties	142,382	216,051	358,433	198,407	336,952	535,359
Cities	-	13	13	-	13	13
Grand Totals	142,382	216,065	358,446	198,407	336,965	535,372

Cumulative Nonrenewal Acreage (Farmland Security Zone)

Participating Local Jurisdictions	2006								TOTAL
	Farmland Security Zone Contracts								
	First 10-years				Last 10-years				
	Urban		Non-Urban		Urban		Non-Urban		
Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime		
Counties									
Alameda	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Amador	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Butte	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Calaveras	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colusa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contra Costa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
El Dorado	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fresno	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Glenn	-	-	16	-	-	-	-	-	16
Humboldt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Imperial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kern	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kings	9	-	401	-	-	-	-	-	410
Lake	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lassen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madera	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Marin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mendocino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Merced	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Modoc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Napa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Orange	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sacramento	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Benito	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Bernardino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Diego	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Joaquin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Luis Obispo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Clara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Cruz	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shasta	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Solano	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sonoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stanislaus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sutter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tehama	-	-	321	15	-	-	-	-	336
Trinity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tuolumne	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ventura	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yolo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cities									
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals									
Counties	9	-	739	15	-	-	-	-	762
Cities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grand Totals	9	-	739	15	-	-	-	-	762

Participating Local Jurisdictions	2007								TOTAL
	Farmland Security Zone Contracts								
	First 10-years				Last 10-years				
	Urban		Non-Urban		Urban		Non-Urban		
Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime		
Counties									
Alameda	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Amador	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Butte	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Calaveras	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colusa	-	-	474	160	-	-	-	-	634
Contra Costa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
El Dorado	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fresno	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Glenn	-	-	16	-	-	-	-	-	16
Humboldt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Imperial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kern	13,172	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	13,173
Kings	9	-	401	-	-	-	-	-	410
Lake	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lassen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madera	1,393	49	707	11	-	-	-	-	2,160
Marin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mendocino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Merced	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Modoc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	42
Napa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Orange	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sacramento	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Benito	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Bernardino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Diego	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Joaquin	-	-	319	625	-	-	-	-	944
San Luis Obispo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Clara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Cruz	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shasta	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Solano	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sonoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stanislaus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sutter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tehama	-	-	321	15	-	-	-	-	336
Trinity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tuolumne	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ventura	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yolo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cities									
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals									
Counties	14,616	49	2,240	811	-	-	-	-	17,715
Cities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grand Totals	14,616	49	2,240	811	-	-	-	-	17,715

New Enrollments (Acres)

Participating Local Jurisdictions	2006									
	Land Conservation Act		Farmland Security Zone				Agricultural Conservation Easement		Other Enforceable Restriction	TOTAL
	Prime	Nonprime	Urban		Non-Urban		Prime	Nonprime		
			Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime				
Counties										
Alameda	-	418	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	418
Amador	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Butte	789	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	789
Calaveras	15	120	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	134
Colusa	719	85	-	-	168	-	-	-	-	972
Contra Costa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
El Dorado	18	53	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	71
Fresno	491	1,733	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,224
Glenn	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Imperial	2,164	156	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,320
Kern	1,627	121	-	-	40	-	-	-	-	1,787
Kings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lake	-	888	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	888
Lassen	-	5,977	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,977
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madera	39	208	-	-	318	-	-	-	-	565
Marin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mendocino	251	5,954	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,205
Merced	2,115	7,100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9,215
Modoc	1,830	4,725	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,555
Mono	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey	162	1,413	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,575
Napa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nevada	213	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	84	298
Orange	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer	-	-	-	560	-	-	-	-	-	560
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside	129	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	129
Sacramento	797	10,866	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11,663
San Benito	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Bernardino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Diego	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Joaquin	195	301	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	495
San Luis Obispo	727	805	134	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,666
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	-	223	-	-	-	-	105	511	-	839
Santa Clara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Cruz	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	63	-	63
Shasta	88	1,127	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,215
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	277	751	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,027
Solano	-	1,710	-	-	-	-	1,456	1,976	-	5,142
Sonoma	99	335	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	434
Stanislaus	176	37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	213
Sutter	1,589	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,589
Tehama	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Trinity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare	442	1,026	25	50	-	-	-	-	-	1,543
Tuolumne	-	790	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	790
Ventura	94	8	27	1	-	-	-	-	-	129
Yolo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cities										
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals										
Counties	15,044	46,930	186	611	526	-	1,561	2,550	84	67,491
Cities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grand Totals	15,044	46,930	186	611	526	-	1,561	2,550	84	67,491

New Enrollments (Acres)

Participating Local Jurisdictions	2007									
	Land Conservation Act		Farmland Security Zone				Agricultural Conservation Easement		Other Enforceable Restriction	TOTAL
	Prime	Nonprime	Urban		Non-Urban		Prime	Nonprime		
			Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime				
Counties										
Alameda	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Amador	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Butte	194	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	194
Calaveras	-	811	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	811
Colusa	-	-	-	-	735	-	-	-	-	735
Contra Costa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
El Dorado	52	199	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	251
Fresno	111	260	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	371
Glenn	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt	215	4,301	-	-	86	-	-	-	-	4,602
Imperial	4,940	546	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,485
Kern	228	-	-	-	4,182	-	-	-	-	4,410
Kings	19	293	-	-	219	-	-	-	-	531
Lake	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lassen	125	417	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	542
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madera	120	141	-	-	808	-	-	-	-	1,069
Marin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa	-	600	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	600
Mendocino	194	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	194
Merced	1,030	7,360	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8,390
Modoc	1,250	5,798	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,048
Mono	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey	-	917	312	-	4	80	-	-	-	1,312
Napa	188	299	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	487
Nevada	224	236	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	461
Orange	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer	-	49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	49
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sacramento	912	138	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,050
San Benito	-	720	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	720
San Bernardino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Diego	-	1,312	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,312
San Joaquin	3,162	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,162
San Luis Obispo	127	1,094	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,221
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	-	171	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	171
Santa Clara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Cruz	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shasta	610	9,302	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9,911
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	356	625	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	980
Solano	225	51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	276
Sonoma	24	1,661	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,685
Stanislaus	107	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	127
Sutter	4,060	1,785	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,845
Tehama	-	2,150	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,150
Trinity	919	802	31	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,752
Tulare	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tuolumne	-	157	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	157
Ventura	415	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	491
Yolo	143	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	144
Cities										
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals										
Counties	19,950	42,293	343	-	6,033	80	-	-	-	68,698
Cities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grand Totals	19,950	42,293	343	-	6,033	80	-	-	-	68,698

Nonrenewal Initiations (Acres)

Participating Local Jurisdictions	2006							2007						
	Land Conservation Act		Farmland Security Zone				TOTAL	Land Conservation Act		Farmland Security Zone				TOTAL
			Urban		Non-Urban					Urban		Non-Urban		
	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime		
Counties														
Alameda	2	179	-	-	-	-	180	-	267	-	-	-	-	267
Amador	-	192	-	-	-	-	192	6	275	-	-	-	-	281
Butte	311	472	-	-	-	-	783	458	8,397	-	-	-	-	8,855
Calaveras	10	887	-	-	-	-	898	-	1,091	-	-	-	-	1,091
Colusa	661	-	-	-	-	-	661	-	-	-	-	474	160	634
Contra Costa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	144	693	-	-	-	-	837
El Dorado	-	285	-	-	-	-	285	43	354	-	-	-	-	397
Fresno	7,317	2,397	-	-	-	-	9,715	3,726	78	-	-	-	-	3,804
Glenn	111	608	-	-	-	-	719	1,095	35	-	-	-	-	1,130
Humboldt	-	595	-	-	-	-	595	-	2,220	-	-	-	-	2,220
Imperial	3,832	159	-	-	-	-	3,991	13,956	1,359	-	-	-	-	15,315
Kern	8,327	2,396	-	-	-	-	10,723	10,878	2,179	13,172	-	-	-	26,228
Kings	457	-	-	-	318	-	776	3,182	-	-	-	-	-	3,182
Lake	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,418	-	-	-	-	1,418
Lassen	-	701	-	-	-	-	701	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madera	8,385	2,754	1,090	49	468	11	12,757	3,170	10,193	303	-	79	-	13,744
Marin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mendocino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Merced	2,814	186	-	-	-	-	2,999	657	-	-	-	-	-	657
Modoc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	261	-	-	-	-	261
Mono	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey	73	21	-	-	-	-	94	679	3,795	-	-	-	-	4,474
Napa	-	157	-	-	-	-	157	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Orange	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer	410	111	-	-	-	-	522	1,175	1,236	-	-	-	-	2,411
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside	1,563	19	-	-	-	-	1,583	1,382	61	-	-	-	-	1,442
Sacramento	213	2,877	-	-	-	-	3,090	139	267	-	-	-	-	406
San Benito	25	1,159	-	-	-	-	1,184	584	227	-	-	-	-	811
San Bernardino	13	1,104	-	-	-	-	1,117	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Diego	57	472	-	-	-	-	529	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Joaquin	6,784	1,996	-	-	-	-	8,780	3,678	1,294	-	-	319	625	5,917
San Luis Obispo	626	2,793	-	-	-	-	3,419	59	1,521	-	-	-	-	1,580
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	113	2,396	-	-	-	-	2,509	732	9,829	-	-	-	-	10,560
Santa Clara	286	770	-	-	-	-	1,056	153	49	-	-	-	-	202
Santa Cruz	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shasta	-	118	-	-	-	-	118	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	109	1,458	-	-	-	-	1,567	149	675	-	-	-	-	824
Solano	249	546	-	-	-	-	796	39	-	-	-	-	-	39
Sonoma	72	369	-	-	-	-	441	356	2,994	-	-	-	-	3,350
Stanislaus	4,770	1,967	-	-	-	-	6,737	2,896	1,390	-	-	-	-	4,285
Sutter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tehama	1,840	9,849	-	-	321	15	12,025	831	6,331	-	-	-	-	7,162
Trinity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	231	-	-	-	-	231
Tulare	16,474	28	-	-	-	-	16,502	2,633	19,299	-	-	-	-	21,931
Tuolumne	-	893	-	-	-	-	893	-	10,679	-	-	-	-	10,679
Ventura	25	132	-	-	-	-	157	2	59	-	-	-	-	61
Yolo	4,628	827	-	-	-	-	5,455	814	303	-	-	-	-	1,116
Cities														
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals														
Counties	70,559	41,872	1,090	49	1,108	26	114,704	53,617	89,057	13,475	-	872	785	157,805
Cities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grand Totals	70,559	41,872	1,090	49	1,108	26	114,704	53,617	89,057	13,475	-	872	785	157,805

Nonrenewal Expirations (Acres)

Participating Local Jurisdictions	2006							2007						
	Land Conservation Act		Farmland Security Zone				TOTAL	Land Conservation Act		Farmland Security Zone				TOTAL
			Urban		Non-Urban					Urban		Non-Urban		
	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime		
Counties														
Alameda	-	235	-	-	-	-	235	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Amador	-	227	-	-	-	-	227	3	737	-	-	-	-	740
Butte	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	6
Calaveras	-	120	-	-	-	-	120	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colusa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contra Costa	7	64	-	-	-	-	71	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
El Dorado	-	488	-	-	-	-	488	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fresno	428	40	-	-	-	-	468	188	161	-	-	-	-	350
Glenn	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Imperial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kern	2,497	1,346	-	-	-	-	3,843	1,267	3,814	-	-	-	-	5,081
Kings	175	-	-	-	-	-	175	1,955	-	-	-	-	-	1,955
Lake	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lassen	-	10	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madera	76	40	-	-	-	-	116	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Marin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mendocino	1	201	-	-	-	-	202	7	19	-	-	-	-	26
Merced	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Modoc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Napa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	34	-	-	-	-	-	34
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Orange	-	289	-	-	-	-	289	152	783	-	-	-	-	935
Placer	114	11	-	-	-	-	125	97	516	-	-	-	-	613
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside	56	-	-	-	-	-	56	35	1	-	-	-	-	36
Sacramento	446	23	-	-	-	-	469	420	104	-	-	-	-	524
San Benito	50	10	-	-	-	-	60	22	725	-	-	-	-	747
San Bernardino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Diego	46	80	-	-	-	-	126	57	8	-	-	-	-	65
San Joaquin	565	26	-	-	-	-	591	117	52	-	-	-	-	169
San Luis Obispo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	65	-	-	-	-	75
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Clara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	46	-	-	-	-	46
Santa Cruz	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	61	139	-	-	-	-	199
Shasta	-	1,351	-	-	-	-	1,351	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sierra	-	948	-	-	-	-	948	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	22	96	-	-	-	-	118	-	233	-	-	-	-	233
Solano	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	96	-	-	-	-	-	96
Sonoma	10	60	-	-	-	-	70	-	519	-	-	-	-	519
Stanislaus	597	302	-	-	-	-	900	14	-	-	-	-	-	14
Sutter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tehama	-	240	-	-	-	-	240	169	3,407	-	-	-	-	3,576
Trinity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	500	109	-	-	-	-	609
Tulare	398	-	-	-	-	-	398	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tuolumne	-	83	-	-	-	-	83	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ventura	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	243	42	-	-	-	-	285
Yolo	142	15	-	-	-	-	157	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cities														
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals														
Counties	5,631	6,303	-	-	-	-	11,934	5,447	11,485	-	-	-	-	16,931
Cities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grand Totals	5,631	6,303	-	-	-	-	11,934	5,447	11,485	-	-	-	-	16,931

Nonrenewals Withdrawn Acreage (Land Conservation Act)

Participating Local Jurisdictions	2006			2007		
	Land Conservation Act		TOTAL	Land Conservation Act		TOTAL
	Prime	Nonprime		Prime	Nonprime	
Counties						
Alameda	-	146	146	-	-	-
Amador	-	-	-	-	-	-
Butte	-	-	-	-	-	-
Calaveras	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colusa	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contra Costa	-	-	-	-	-	-
El Dorado	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fresno	-	-	-	-	-	-
Glenn	-	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt	-	-	-	-	-	-
Imperial	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kern	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kings	-	-	-	8	-	8
Lake	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lassen	-	-	-	-	-	-
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madera	-	-	-	-	-	-
Marin	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mendocino	-	10	10	-	-	-
Merced	-	-	-	-	-	-
Modoc	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey	-	-	-	-	-	-
Napa	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-
Orange	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer	-	-	-	-	14	14
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sacramento	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Benito	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Bernardino	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Diego	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Joaquin	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Luis Obispo	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	13	-	13	-	-	-
Santa Clara	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Cruz	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shasta	-	763	763	-	-	-
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	-	-	-	-	-	-
Solano	313	-	313	-	-	-
Sonoma	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stanislaus	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sutter	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tehama	-	-	-	-	-	-
Trinity	-	231	231	-	-	-
Tulare	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tuolumne	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ventura	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yolo	-	-	-	650	20	670
Cities						
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals						
Counties	326	1,150	1,476	657	34	692
Cities	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grand Totals	326	1,150	1,476	657	34	692

Nonrenewals Withdrawn Acreage (Farmland Security Zone)

Participating Local Jurisdictions	2006								TOTAL
	Farmland Security Zone Contracts								
	First 10-years				Last 10-years				
	Urban		Non-Urban		Urban		Non-Urban		
Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime		
Counties									
Alameda	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Amador	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Butte	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Calaveras	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colusa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contra Costa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
El Dorado	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fresno	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Glenn	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Imperial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kern	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kings	-	-	14	-	-	-	-	-	14
Lake	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lassen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madera	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Marin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mendocino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Merced	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Modoc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Napa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Orange	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sacramento	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Benito	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Bernardino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Diego	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Joaquin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Luis Obispo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Clara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Cruz	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shasta	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Solano	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sonoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stanislaus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sutter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tehama	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Trinity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tuolumne	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ventura	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yolo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cities									
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals									
Counties	-	-	14	-	-	-	-	-	14
Cities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grand Totals	-	-	14	-	-	-	-	-	14

Cancellations (Acres)

Participating Local Jurisdictions	2006									
	Land Conservation Act*		Farmland Security Zone*				Agricultural Conservation Easement		Other Enforceable Restriction	TOTAL
	Prime	Nonprime	Urban		Non-Urban		Prime	Nonprime		
			Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime				
Counties										
Alameda	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Amador	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Butte	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Calaveras	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colusa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contra Costa	-	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	46
El Dorado	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fresno	301	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	301
Glenn	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Imperial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kern	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	29
Kings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lake	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32
Lassen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madera	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Marin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mendocino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Merced	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Modoc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Napa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Orange	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside	76	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	76
Sacramento	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Benito	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Bernardino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Diego	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Joaquin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Luis Obispo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Clara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Cruz	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shasta	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Solano	151	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	151
Sonoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stanislaus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sutter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tehama	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Trinity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tuolumne	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ventura	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yolo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cities										
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals										
Counties	589	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	635
Cities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grand Totals	589	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	635

*Includes both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

Cancellations (Acres)

Participating Local Jurisdictions	2007										TOTAL
	Land Conservation Act*		Farmland Security Zone*				Agricultural Conservation Easement		Other Enforceable Restriction		
	Prime	Nonprime	Urban		Non-Urban		Prime	Nonprime			
			Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime					
Counties											
Alameda	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Amador	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Butte	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Calaveras	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colusa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contra Costa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
El Dorado	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fresno	528	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	528
Glenn	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Imperial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kern	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lake	19	465	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	484
Lassen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madera	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Marin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mendocino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Merced	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Modoc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Napa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Orange	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside	461	65	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	526
Sacramento	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Benito	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Bernardino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Diego	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Joaquin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Luis Obispo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Clara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Cruz	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shasta	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Solano	191	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	250
Sonoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stanislaus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sutter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tehama	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Trinity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tuolumne	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ventura	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yolo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cities											
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals											
Counties	1,199	589	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,788
Cities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grand Totals	1,199	589	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,788

*Includes both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

Public Acquisitions (Acres)

Participating Local Jurisdictions	2006									
	Land Conservation Act*		Farmland Security Zone*				Agricultural Conservation Easement		Other Enforceable Restriction	TOTAL
	Prime	Nonprime	Urban		Non-Urban		Prime	Nonprime		
			Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime				
Counties										
Alameda	-	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	77
Amador	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Butte	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Calaveras	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colusa	-	307	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	307
Contra Costa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
El Dorado	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fresno	13,754	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13,754
Glenn	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Imperial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kern	89	246	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	335
Kings	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11
Lake	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lassen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madera	2,719	10,934	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13,654
Marin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mendocino	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
Merced	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27
Modoc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Napa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Orange	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9
Sacramento	423	363	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	786
San Benito	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Bernardino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Diego	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Joaquin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Luis Obispo	21	188	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	209
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Clara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Cruz	95	1,490	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,584
Shasta	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Solano	-	666	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	666
Sonoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stanislaus	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14
Sutter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tehama	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8
Trinity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare	299	315	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	614
Tuolumne	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ventura	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8
Yolo	58	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	65
Cities										
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals										
Counties	17,529	14,602	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32,131
Cities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grand Totals	17,529	14,602	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32,131

*Includes both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

Public Acquisitions (Acres)

Participating Local Jurisdictions	2007									
	Land Conservation Act*		Farmland Security Zone*				Agricultural Conservation Easement		Other Enforceable Restriction	TOTAL
	Prime	Nonprime	Urban		Non-Urban		Prime	Nonprime		
			Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime				
Counties										
Alameda	-	474	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	474
Amador	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Butte	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Calaveras	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colusa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contra Costa	-	169	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	169
El Dorado	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fresno	5,225	68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,293
Glenn	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Imperial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kern	539	8	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	546
Kings	-	-	17	-	3,044	-	-	-	-	3,061
Lake	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lassen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madera	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Marin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mendocino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Merced	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13
Modoc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Napa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Orange	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer	67	454	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	521
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside	116	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	116
Sacramento	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Benito	-	624	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	624
San Bernardino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Diego	120	2,141	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,261
San Joaquin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Luis Obispo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Clara	-	736	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	736
Santa Cruz	184	458	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	642
Shasta	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Solano	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sonoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stanislaus	176	201	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	377
Sutter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tehama	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Trinity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tuolumne	-	67	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	67
Ventura	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Yolo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cities										
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals										
Counties	6,441	5,399	17	-	3,044	-	-	-	-	14,901
Cities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grand Totals	6,441	5,399	17	-	3,044	-	-	-	-	14,901

*Includes both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

City Annexations (Acres)

Participating Local Jurisdictions	2006									
	Land Conservation Act*		Farmland Security Zone*				Agricultural Conservation Easement		Other Enforceable Restriction	TOTAL
	Prime	Nonprime	Urban		Non-Urban		Prime	Nonprime		
			Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime				
Counties										
Alameda	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Amador	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Butte	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Calaveras	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colusa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contra Costa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
El Dorado	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fresno	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Glenn	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Imperial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kern	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kings	52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	52
Lake	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lassen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madera	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Marin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mendocino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Merced	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Modoc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Napa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Orange	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sacramento	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Benito	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Bernardino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Diego	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Joaquin	1,099	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,099
San Luis Obispo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Clara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Cruz	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shasta	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Solano	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sonoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stanislaus	388	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	388
Sutter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tehama	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Trinity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare	463	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	463
Tuolumne	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ventura	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yolo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cities										
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals										
Counties	2,002	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,002
Cities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grand Totals	2,002	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,002

*Includes both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

City Annexations (Acres)

Participating Local Jurisdictions	2007									TOTAL
	Land Conservation Act*		Farmland Security Zone*				Agricultural Conservation Easement		Other Enforceable Restriction	
	Prime	Nonprime	Urban		Non-Urban		Prime	Nonprime		
			Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime				
Counties										
Alameda	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Amador	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Butte	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Calaveras	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colusa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contra Costa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
El Dorado	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fresno	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Glenn	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Imperial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kern	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lake	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lassen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madera	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Marin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mendocino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Merced	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Modoc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Napa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Orange	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sacramento	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Benito	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Bernardino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Diego	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Joaquin	51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	51
San Luis Obispo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Clara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Cruz	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shasta	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Solano	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sonoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stanislaus	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25
Sutter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tehama	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Trinity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare	404	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	405
Tuolumne	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ventura	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yolo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cities										
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals										
Counties	480	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	481
Cities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grand Totals	480	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	481

*Includes both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

Williamson Act Easement Exchanges (Acres)

Participating Local Jurisdictions	2006				2007			
	Land Conservation Act*		Other Enforceable Restriction	TOTAL	Land Conservation Act*		Other Enforceable Restriction	TOTAL
	Prime	Nonprime			Prime	Nonprime		
Counties								
Alameda	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Amador	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Butte	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Calaveras	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colusa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contra Costa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
El Dorado	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fresno	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Glenn	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Imperial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kern	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lake	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lassen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madera	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Marin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mendocino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Merced	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Modoc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Napa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Orange	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sacramento	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Benito	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Bernardino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Diego	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Joaquin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Luis Obispo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Clara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Cruz	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shasta	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Solano	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sonoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stanislaus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sutter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tehama	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Trinity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tuolumne	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ventura	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yolo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cities								
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals								
Counties	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grand Totals	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Includes both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

Net Adjustments (Acres)

Participating Local Jurisdictions	2006									
	Land Conservation Act*		Farmland Security Zone*				Agricultural Conservation Easement		Other Enforceable Restriction	TOTAL
	Prime	Nonprime	Urban		Non-Urban		Prime	Nonprime		
			Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime				
Counties										
Alameda	(221)	877	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	656
Amador	(67)	1,472	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,405
Butte	34	(326)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(292)
Calaveras	(11)	(305)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(316)
Colusa	(206)	362	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	156
Contra Costa	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
El Dorado	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
Fresno	(187)	(2,456)	-	-	(25)	-	-	-	-	(2,668)
Glenn	(169)	158	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(11)
Humboldt	(19)	219	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	200
Imperial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kern	(358)	(9)	-	-	(93)	-	-	-	-	(459)
Kings	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
Lake	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lassen	(127)	(2,149)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2,276)
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(21)	(21)
Madera	564	(565)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1)
Marin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa	-	85	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	85
Mendocino	264	(71)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	193
Merced	264	(267)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(3)
Modoc	-	(2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2)
Mono	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey	471	350	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	863
Napa	(100)	(573)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(673)
Nevada	(0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	7
Orange	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer	79	(76)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside	(32)	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(4)
Sacramento	5	(587)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(582)
San Benito	(36)	102	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	66
San Bernardino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Diego	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Joaquin	635	(666)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(31)
San Luis Obispo	(49)	827	12	(12)	-	-	-	-	-	778
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	(42)	174	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	132
Santa Clara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Cruz	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shasta	(11)	813	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	802
Sierra	(51)	(174)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(225)
Siskiyou	49	(61)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(12)
Solano	(271)	244	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(26)
Sonoma	389	(267)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	123
Stanislaus	3,889	(3,757)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	133
Sutter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tehama	(194)	188	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(5)
Trinity	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
Tulare	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tuolumne	-	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22
Ventura	67	(183)	3	3	(8)	-	-	-	-	(118)
Yolo	670	(854)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(184)
Cities										
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals										
Counties	5,236	(7,422)	57	(9)	(126)	0	0	0	(13)	(2,276)
Cities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grand Totals	5,236	(7,422)	57	(9)	(126)	0	0	0	(13)	(2,276)

*Includes both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

Net Adjustments (Acres)

Participating Local Jurisdictions	2007									
	Land Conservation Act*		Farmland Security Zone*				Agricultural Conservation Easement		Other Enforceable Restriction	TOTAL
	Prime	Nonprime	Urban		Non-Urban		Prime	Nonprime		
			Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime				
Counties										
Alameda	482	(473)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9
Amador	(11)	(346)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(357)
Butte	(15)	(35)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(50)
Calaveras	(16,348)	15,804	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(544)
Colusa	(67)	747	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	680
Contra Costa	(34)	(435)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(469)
El Dorado	33	(41)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(8)
Fresno	(197)	(484)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(682)
Glenn	548	(451)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	98
Humboldt	17	(83)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(66)
Imperial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kern	(250)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(250)
Kings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lake	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lassen	(81)	133	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	52
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madera	465	(483)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(18)
Marin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mendocino	(96)	(342)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(438)
Merced	497	(403)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	94
Modoc	(78)	78	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey	(289)	(58)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(347)
Napa	115	579	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	695
Nevada	(239)	98	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(141)
Orange	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer	124	(138)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(13)
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside	15	(20)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(5)
Sacramento	6	(24)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(18)
San Benito	69	(60)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9
San Bernardino	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9
San Diego	19	286	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	305
San Joaquin	515	(554)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(38)
San Luis Obispo	200	(699)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(499)
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	(10)	(222)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(232)
Santa Clara	-	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14
Santa Cruz	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shasta	5,701	(5,540)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	161
Sierra	-	(5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(5)
Siskiyou	12	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16
Solano	(61)	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(10)
Sonoma	387	(555)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(168)
Stanislaus	688	1,018	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,707
Sutter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tehama	(250)	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(238)
Trinity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tuolumne	-	228	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	228
Ventura	81	(78)	(11)	11	(3)	3	-	-	-	4
Yolo	(1,007)	1,083	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	76
Cities										
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals										
Counties	(9,047)	8,607	(11)	11	(3)	3	0	0	0	(441)
Cities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grand Totals	(9,047)	8,607	(11)	11	(3)	3	0	0	0	(441)

*Includes both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

Contracted Land not Receiving Tax Relief Benefits (Acres)*

Participating Local Jurisdictions	2006									
	Land Conservation Act		Farmland Security Zone				Agricultural Conservation Easement		Other Enforceable Restriction	TOTAL
	Prime	Nonprime	Urban		Non-Urban		Prime	Nonprime		
			Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime				
Counties										
Alameda	15	13,617	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13,633
Amador	340	683	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,023
Butte	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Calaveras	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colusa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contra Costa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
El Dorado	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fresno	44,132	1,762	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	45,894
Glenn	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Imperial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kern	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kings	46,028	4,137	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50,166
Lake	499	171	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	670
Lassen	-	258	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	258
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madera	55,561	2,803	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	58,364
Marin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mendocino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Merced	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Modoc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey	27,359	6,748	-	-	-	-	-	-	275	34,382
Napa	7,772	6,902	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14,674
Nevada	235	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	235
Orange	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer	4,471	918	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,390
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside	7,281	73	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,355
Sacramento	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Benito	3,620	118	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,738
San Bernardino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Diego	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Joaquin	3,140	10,245	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13,385
San Luis Obispo	3,834	6,825	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10,660
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	26,339	7,839	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	34,178
Santa Clara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Cruz	624	1,448	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,073
Shasta	211	78	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	289
Sierra	-	1,383	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,383
Siskiyou	-	523	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	523
Solano	1,747	13,310	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15,056
Sonoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stanislaus	39,633	8,123	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47,756
Sutter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tehama	7,284	7,173	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14,457
Trinity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare	177	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	200
Tuolumne	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ventura	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yolo	8,155	2,756	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10,912
Cities										
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	-	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals										
Counties	288,459	97,917	-	-	-	-	-	-	275	386,651
Cities	-	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20
Grand Totals	288,459	97,937	-	-	-	-	-	-	275	386,671

*Land assessed at a lower value for property taxes under Revenue and Taxation Code Section 110.1 (Proposition 13 provisions) than under Revenue and Taxation Code Sections 423, 423.3, or 423.5 (Williamson Act valuation provisions).

Contracted Land not Receiving Tax Relief Benefits (Acres)*

Participating Local Jurisdictions	2007									
	Land Conservation Act		Farmland Security Zone				Agricultural Conservation Easement		Other Enforceable Restriction	TOTAL
	Prime	Nonprime	Urban		Non-Urban		Prime	Nonprime		
			Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime				
Counties										
Alameda	80	44,862	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	44,942
Amador	134	720	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	855
Butte	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Calaveras	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colusa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contra Costa	2,469	1,634	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,103
El Dorado	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fresno	38,478	801	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39,279
Glenn	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Imperial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kern	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kings	54,688	3,790	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	58,478
Lake	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lassen	200	916	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,116
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madera	56,458	3,265	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	59,723
Marin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mendocino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Merced	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Modoc	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey	32,600	5,922	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	38,522
Napa	8,005	7,017	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15,022
Nevada	-	504	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	504
Orange	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer	5,313	1,148	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,461
Plumas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Riverside	7,433	71	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,504
Sacramento	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Benito	3,720	86	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,806
San Bernardino	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Diego	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Joaquin	3,125	10,593	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13,718
San Luis Obispo	4,259	6,840	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11,099
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	26,535	8,957	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35,492
Santa Clara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Cruz	1,391	624	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,016
Shasta	-	210	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	210
Sierra	-	1,383	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,383
Siskiyou	-	1,064	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,064
Solano	1,982	17,710	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19,693
Sonoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stanislaus	52,189	9,742	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	61,931
Sutter	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tehama	8,356	2,436	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10,792
Trinity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tuolumne	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ventura	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yolo	9,345	5,122	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14,466
Cities										
Camarillo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayward	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals										
Counties	316,760	135,417	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	452,177
Cities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grand Totals	316,760	135,417	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	452,177

*Land assessed at a lower value for property taxes under Revenue and Taxation Code Section 110.1 (Proposition 13 provisions) than under Revenue and Taxation Code Sections 423, 423.3, or 423.5 (Williamson Act valuation provisions).

Acres Eligible for Open Space Subvention Payment

Participating Local Jurisdictions	2006									
	Land Conservation Act		Farmland Security Zone				Agricultural Conservation Easement		Other Enforceable Restriction	TOTAL
	Prime	Nonprime	Urban		Non-Urban		Prime	Nonprime		
			Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime				
Counties										
Alameda	1,962	119,639	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	121,601
Amador	4,901	85,754	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	90,655
Butte	108,839	105,194	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	214,033
Calaveras	16,734	112,627	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	129,361
Colusa	63,247	193,577	15,881	699	39,645	2,372	-	-	-	315,422
Contra Costa	9,292	36,861	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	46,153
El Dorado	2,167	30,821	-	-	5	180	-	-	-	33,173
Fresno	940,056	480,681	-	-	20,586	3,458	-	-	-	1,444,781
Glenn	60,798	264,774	13,417	500	73,114	2,226	-	-	-	414,830
Humboldt	4,526	190,581	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	195,107
Imperial	120,432	3,759	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	124,191
Kern	610,457	892,644	22,884	-	127,337	-	-	-	-	1,653,322
Kings	241,073	107,453	28,868	227	239,823	9,393	-	-	-	626,837
Lake	5,012	42,348	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47,361
Lassen	15,992	285,772	546	34	11,840	7,137	-	-	-	321,320
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	40,031	40,031
Madera	138,355	267,417	12,650	362	40,662	2,078	328	-	-	461,849
Marin	1,597	83,951	-	-	290	16,772	-	-	-	102,611
Mariposa	-	204,654	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	204,654
Mendocino	34,550	452,914	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	487,464
Merced	244,685	193,511	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	438,196
Modoc	14,898	95,043	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109,941
Mono	13,310	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13,310
Monterey	31,537	658,028	12,308	1,695	11,194	5,339	-	-	2,338	722,439
Napa	9,542	43,456	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	52,998
Nevada	3,129	470	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,485	6,084
Orange	31	194	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	225
Placer	6,767	18,931	-	-	-	1,323	-	-	-	27,021
Plumas	5,570	66,523	-	-	1,160	3,435	-	-	-	76,689
Riverside	41,721	5,879	-	-	-	-	255	214	-	48,069
Sacramento	86,865	89,006	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	175,871
San Benito	48,206	524,968	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	573,174
San Bernardino	2,149	1,268	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,417
San Diego	4,790	57,291	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	62,081
San Joaquin	295,987	126,479	15,026	79	34,559	10,558	-	-	-	482,688
San Luis Obispo	80,171	685,823	444	70	55	64	-	-	-	766,627
San Mateo	2,787	43,974	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	46,761
Santa Barbara	43,648	431,781	-	-	133	-	105	511	-	476,178
Santa Clara	9,329	296,748	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	306,077
Santa Cruz	2,262	13,192	82	32	-	10	-	63	-	15,640
Shasta	16,644	160,173	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	176,817
Sierra	1,919	33,242	-	773	-	2,904	-	-	-	38,838
Siskiyou	90,622	317,036	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	407,659
Solano	116,671	130,886	-	-	-	-	1,456	1,976	-	250,988
Sonoma	42,239	229,236	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	271,475
Stanislaus	235,197	369,389	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	604,586
Sutter	45,769	11,376	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	57,145
Tehama	41,096	711,759	2,655	2,467	1,190	5,044	-	-	-	764,210
Trinity	-	22,035	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22,035
Tulare	567,394	512,480	11,102	50	-	-	-	-	686	1,091,711
Tuolumne	-	117,458	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	117,458
Ventura	45,637	77,195	1,558	650	429	244	-	-	-	125,713
Yolo	225,232	167,253	158	1	-	-	200	7	-	392,850
Cities										
Camarillo	75	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	76
Hayward	-	384	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	384
Menlo Park	-	255	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	255
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	149	284	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	433
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals										
Counties	4,755,794	10,173,503	137,578	7,640	602,022	72,539	2,343	2,771	45,540	15,799,729
Cities	224	924	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,148
Grand Totals	4,756,018	10,174,427	137,578	7,640	602,022	72,539	2,343	2,771	45,540	15,800,877

Acres Eligible for Open Space Subvention Payment

Participating Local Jurisdictions	2007									
	Land Conservation Act		Farmland Security Zone				Agricultural Conservation Easement		Other Enforceable Restriction	TOTAL
	Prime	Nonprime	Urban		Non-Urban		Prime	Nonprime		
			Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime				
Counties										
Alameda	2,379	87,205	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	89,584
Amador	5,089	85,372	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	90,461
Butte	108,560	96,762	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	205,322
Calaveras	566	127,971	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	128,537
Colusa	63,180	194,324	15,881	699	40,380	2,378	-	-	-	316,842
Contra Costa	6,645	33,930	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	40,575
El Dorado	2,209	30,625	-	-	5	180	-	-	-	33,019
Fresno	931,545	481,140	-	-	25,612	3,482	-	-	-	1,441,780
Glenn	60,252	264,288	13,417	500	73,114	2,226	-	-	-	413,798
Humboldt	4,644	192,578	-	-	236	31	-	-	-	197,489
Imperial	111,416	2,946	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	114,361
Kern	594,494	890,458	25,176	-	133,751	-	-	-	-	1,643,879
Kings	220,704	106,845	28,851	227	245,499	10,642	-	-	-	612,768
Lake	5,511	41,101	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	46,612
Lassen	15,836	285,663	546	34	11,189	7,734	-	-	-	321,002
Los Angeles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	40,031	40,031
Madera	134,565	256,441	12,818	362	41,593	2,091	328	-	-	448,197
Marin	1,597	83,951	-	-	290	16,772	-	-	-	102,611
Mariposa	-	183,869	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183,869
Mendocino	34,656	451,228	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	485,884
Merced	245,777	200,468	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	446,245
Modoc	16,070	100,658	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	116,728
Mono	13,310	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13,310
Monterey	25,328	655,917	12,620	1,695	11,486	5,477	-	-	2,338	714,861
Napa	9,613	44,220	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	53,833
Nevada	3,269	299	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,315	5,882
Orange	32	194	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	226
Placer	4,880	17,390	-	-	-	1,323	-	-	-	23,593
Plumas	5,570	66,523	-	-	1,160	3,435	-	-	-	76,689
Riverside	40,126	5,801	-	-	-	-	255	214	-	46,396
Sacramento	87,644	88,853	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	176,497
San Benito	47,591	524,809	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	572,400
San Bernardino	2,052	1,493	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,545
San Diego	4,678	56,574	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	61,252
San Joaquin	295,946	124,294	15,026	79	34,584	10,531	-	-	-	480,460
San Luis Obispo	79,922	684,546	462	67	55	64	-	-	-	765,116
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	42,721	420,782	-	-	133	-	170	1,996	-	465,802
Santa Clara	9,176	295,963	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	305,139
Santa Cruz	1,311	13,558	82	32	-	10	-	63	-	15,056
Shasta	23,166	163,803	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	186,969
Sierra	1,919	33,237	-	773	-	2,904	-	-	-	38,833
Siskiyou	90,841	316,449	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	407,290
Solano	116,370	126,527	-	-	-	-	1,601	1,979	-	246,477
Sonoma	42,321	227,385	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	269,706
Stanislaus	220,350	365,193	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	585,543
Sutter	49,828	13,162	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	62,990
Tehama	39,725	710,495	2,655	2,467	1,190	5,044	-	-	-	761,576
Trinity	-	21,805	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21,805
Tulare	565,454	494,005	11,132	50	-	-	-	-	686	1,071,327
Tuolumne	-	107,097	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	107,097
Ventura	46,125	77,141	1,547	661	426	248	-	-	-	126,147
Yolo	223,014	165,689	158	1	-	-	200	7	-	389,069
Cities										
Camarillo	75	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	76
Hayward	-	384	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	384
Menlo Park	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Newark	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palo Alto	149	304	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	453
Perris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Redlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Totals										
Counties	4,657,976	10,021,026	140,372	7,647	620,702	74,573	2,553	4,259	45,369	15,574,478
Cities	224	689	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	913
Grand Totals	4,658,200	10,021,714	140,372	7,647	620,702	74,573	2,553	4,259	45,369	15,575,391

Open Space Subvention Act Payment Claims

Participating Local Jurisdictions	2006										
	Land Conservation Act		Farmland Security Zone				Agricultural Conservation Easement		Other Enforceable Restriction	TOTAL	
	Prime	Nonprime	Urban		Non-Urban		Prime	Nonprime			
			Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime					
Counties											
Alameda	\$ 9,811	\$ 119,639	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 129,449
Amador	\$ 24,503	\$ 85,754	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 110,257
Butte	\$ 544,196	\$ 105,194	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 649,390
Calaveras	\$ 83,672	\$ 112,627	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 196,299
Colusa	\$ 316,237	\$ 193,577	\$ 127,049	\$ 5,594	\$ 198,225	\$ 2,372	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 843,054
Contra Costa	\$ 46,460	\$ 36,861	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 83,321
El Dorado	\$ 10,834	\$ 30,821	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25	\$ 180	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 41,860
Fresno	\$ 4,700,279	\$ 480,681	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 102,931	\$ 3,458	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,287,349
Glenn	\$ 303,992	\$ 264,774	\$ 107,337	\$ 4,003	\$ 365,570	\$ 2,226	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,047,903
Humboldt	\$ 22,631	\$ 190,581	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 213,212
Imperial	\$ 602,160	\$ 3,759	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 605,919
Kern	\$ 3,052,286	\$ 892,644	\$ 183,071	\$ -	\$ 636,686	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,764,687
Kings	\$ 1,205,364	\$ 107,453	\$ 230,942	\$ 1,817	\$ 1,199,116	\$ 9,393	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,754,086
Lake	\$ 25,062	\$ 42,348	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 67,410
Lassen	\$ 79,960	\$ 285,772	\$ 4,364	\$ 272	\$ 59,199	\$ 7,137	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 436,703
Los Angeles	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 40,031	\$ 40,031
Madera	\$ 691,773	\$ 267,417	\$ 101,199	\$ 2,893	\$ 203,308	\$ 2,078	\$ 1,639	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,270,305
Marin	\$ 7,987	\$ 83,951	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,450	\$ 16,772	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 110,160
Mariposa	\$ -	\$ 204,654	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 204,654
Mendocino	\$ 172,750	\$ 452,914	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 625,664
Merced	\$ 1,223,425	\$ 193,511	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,416,936
Modoc	\$ 74,489	\$ 95,043	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 169,532
Mono	\$ 66,548	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 66,548
Monterey	\$ 157,686	\$ 658,028	\$ 98,468	\$ 13,559	\$ 55,970	\$ 5,339	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,338	\$ 991,386
Napa	\$ 47,710	\$ 43,456	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 91,167
Nevada	\$ 15,647	\$ 470	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,485	\$ 18,602
Orange	\$ 157	\$ 194	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 351
Placer	\$ 33,837	\$ 18,931	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,323	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 54,091
Plumas	\$ 27,850	\$ 66,523	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,800	\$ 3,435	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 103,609
Riverside	\$ 208,607	\$ 5,879	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,275	\$ 214	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 215,974
Sacramento	\$ 434,325	\$ 89,006	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 523,331
San Benito	\$ 241,030	\$ 524,968	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 765,998
San Bernardino	\$ 10,745	\$ 1,268	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 12,013
San Diego	\$ 23,950	\$ 57,291	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 81,241
San Joaquin	\$ 1,479,933	\$ 126,479	\$ 120,208	\$ 632	\$ 172,795	\$ 10,558	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,910,605
San Luis Obispo	\$ 400,853	\$ 685,823	\$ 3,552	\$ 560	\$ 275	\$ 64	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,091,127
San Mateo	\$ 13,936	\$ 43,974	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 57,909
Santa Barbara	\$ 218,240	\$ 431,781	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 666	\$ -	\$ 527	\$ 511	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 651,724
Santa Clara	\$ 46,647	\$ 296,748	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 343,395
Santa Cruz	\$ 11,310	\$ 13,192	\$ 653	\$ 258	\$ -	\$ 10	\$ -	\$ 63	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,485
Shasta	\$ 83,222	\$ 160,173	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 243,395
Sierra	\$ 9,595	\$ 33,242	\$ -	\$ 6,186	\$ -	\$ 2,904	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 51,926
Siskiyou	\$ 453,111	\$ 317,036	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 770,148
Solano	\$ 583,353	\$ 130,886	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7,278	\$ 1,976	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 723,493
Sonoma	\$ 211,193	\$ 229,236	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 440,429
Stanislaus	\$ 1,175,984	\$ 369,389	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,545,374
Sutter	\$ 228,843	\$ 11,376	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 240,219
Tehama	\$ 205,479	\$ 711,759	\$ 21,242	\$ 19,735	\$ 5,950	\$ 5,044	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 969,209
Trinity	\$ -	\$ 22,035	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 22,035
Tulare	\$ 2,836,969	\$ 512,480	\$ 88,813	\$ 400	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 686	\$ 3,439,348
Tuolumne	\$ -	\$ 117,458	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 117,458
Ventura	\$ 228,183	\$ 77,195	\$ 12,461	\$ 5,201	\$ 2,144	\$ 244	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 325,428
Yolo	\$ 1,126,157	\$ 167,253	\$ 1,265	\$ 8	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 998	\$ 7	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,295,688
Cities											
Camarillo	\$ 375	\$ 1	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 376
Hayward	\$ -	\$ 384	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 384
Menlo Park	\$ -	\$ 255	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 255
Newark	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Palo Alto	\$ 745	\$ 284	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,029
Perris	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Redlands	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Totals											
Counties	\$ 23,778,968	\$ 10,173,503	\$ 1,100,623	\$ 61,117	\$ 3,010,109	\$ 72,539	\$ 11,716	\$ 2,771	\$ 45,540	\$ -	\$ 38,256,885
Cities	\$ 1,120	\$ 924	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,044
Grand Totals	\$ 23,780,088	\$ 10,174,427	\$ 1,100,623	\$ 61,117	\$ 3,010,109	\$ 72,539	\$ 11,716	\$ 2,771	\$ 45,540	\$ -	\$ 38,258,929

Open Space Subvention Act Payment Claims

Participating Local Jurisdictions	2007									
	Land Conservation Act		Farmland Security Zone				Agricultural Conservation Easement		Other Enforceable Restriction	TOTAL
	Prime	Nonprime	Urban		Non-Urban		Prime	Nonprime		
			Prime	Nonprime	Prime	Nonprime				
Counties										
Alameda	\$ 11,896	\$ 87,205	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 99,101
Amador	\$ 25,445	\$ 85,372	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 110,818	
Butte	\$ 542,799	\$ 96,762	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 639,561	
Calaveras	\$ 2,830	\$ 127,971	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 130,801	
Colusa	\$ 315,902	\$ 194,324	\$ 127,049	\$ 5,594	\$ 201,898	\$ 2,378	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 847,145	
Contra Costa	\$ 33,225	\$ 33,930	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 67,155	
El Dorado	\$ 11,043	\$ 30,625	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25	\$ 180	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 41,874	
Fresno	\$ 4,657,724	\$ 481,140	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 128,061	\$ 3,482	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,270,408	
Glenn	\$ 301,260	\$ 264,288	\$ 107,337	\$ 4,003	\$ 365,570	\$ 2,226	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,044,684	
Humboldt	\$ 23,221	\$ 192,578	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,178	\$ 31	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 217,007	
Imperial	\$ 557,078	\$ 2,946	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 560,024	
Kern	\$ 2,972,471	\$ 890,458	\$ 201,411	\$ -	\$ 668,755	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,733,094	
Kings	\$ 1,103,521	\$ 106,845	\$ 230,805	\$ 1,817	\$ 1,227,497	\$ 10,642	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,681,127	
Lake	\$ 27,555	\$ 41,101	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 68,657	
Lassen	\$ 79,179	\$ 285,663	\$ 4,364	\$ 272	\$ 55,945	\$ 7,734	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 433,157	
Los Angeles	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 40,031	
Madera	\$ 672,824	\$ 256,441	\$ 102,546	\$ 2,893	\$ 207,963	\$ 2,091	\$ 1,639	\$ -	\$ 1,246,397	
Marin	\$ 7,987	\$ 83,951	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,450	\$ 16,772	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 110,160	
Mariposa	\$ -	\$ 183,869	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 183,869	
Mendocino	\$ 173,280	\$ 451,228	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 624,508	
Merced	\$ 1,228,884	\$ 200,468	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,429,352	
Modoc	\$ 80,348	\$ 100,658	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 181,006	
Mono	\$ 66,548	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 66,548	
Monterey	\$ 126,641	\$ 655,917	\$ 100,964	\$ 13,559	\$ 57,430	\$ 5,477	\$ -	\$ 2,338	\$ 962,326	
Napa	\$ 48,065	\$ 44,220	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 92,285	
Nevada	\$ 16,344	\$ 299	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,315	\$ 18,958	
Orange	\$ 157	\$ 194	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 351	
Placer	\$ 24,399	\$ 17,390	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,323	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 43,112	
Plumas	\$ 27,850	\$ 66,523	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,800	\$ 3,435	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 103,609	
Riverside	\$ 200,631	\$ 5,801	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,275	\$ 214	\$ 207,921	
Sacramento	\$ 438,220	\$ 88,853	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 527,073	
San Benito	\$ 237,955	\$ 524,809	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 762,764	
San Bernardino	\$ 10,258	\$ 1,493	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11,752	
San Diego	\$ 23,390	\$ 56,574	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 79,964	
San Joaquin	\$ 1,479,728	\$ 124,294	\$ 120,208	\$ 632	\$ 172,920	\$ 10,531	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,908,313	
San Luis Obispo	\$ 399,609	\$ 684,546	\$ 3,696	\$ 536	\$ 275	\$ 64	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,088,726	
San Mateo	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Santa Barbara	\$ 213,603	\$ 420,782	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 666	\$ -	\$ 849	\$ 1,996	\$ 637,896	
Santa Clara	\$ 45,881	\$ 295,963	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 341,843	
Santa Cruz	\$ 6,556	\$ 13,558	\$ 653	\$ 258	\$ -	\$ 10	\$ -	\$ 63	\$ 21,097	
Shasta	\$ 115,830	\$ 163,803	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 279,633	
Sierra	\$ 9,595	\$ 33,237	\$ -	\$ 6,186	\$ -	\$ 2,904	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 51,922	
Siskiyou	\$ 454,206	\$ 316,449	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 770,655	
Solano	\$ 581,850	\$ 126,527	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 8,007	\$ 1,979	\$ 718,362	
Sonoma	\$ 211,606	\$ 227,385	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 438,990	
Stanislaus	\$ 1,101,751	\$ 365,193	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,466,943	
Sutter	\$ 249,142	\$ 13,162	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 262,304	
Tehama	\$ 198,625	\$ 710,495	\$ 21,242	\$ 19,735	\$ 5,950	\$ 5,044	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 961,091	
Trinity	\$ -	\$ 21,805	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 21,805	
Tulare	\$ 2,827,269	\$ 494,005	\$ 89,057	\$ 400	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 686	\$ 3,411,417	
Tuolumne	\$ -	\$ 107,097	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 107,097	
Ventura	\$ 230,627	\$ 77,141	\$ 12,376	\$ 5,286	\$ 2,128	\$ 248	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 327,805	
Yolo	\$ 1,115,072	\$ 165,689	\$ 1,265	\$ 8	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 998	\$ 7	\$ 1,283,038	
Cities										
Camarillo	\$ 375	\$ 1	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 376	
Hayward	\$ -	\$ 384	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 384	
Menlo Park	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Newark	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Palo Alto	\$ 745	\$ 304	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,049	
Perris	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Redlands	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Totals										
Counties	\$ 23,289,879	\$ 10,021,026	\$ 1,122,972	\$ 61,178	\$ 3,103,511	\$ 74,573	\$ 12,767	\$ 4,259	\$ 45,369	\$ 37,735,535
Cities	\$ 1,120	\$ 689	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,809
Grand Totals	\$ 23,290,999	\$ 10,021,714	\$ 1,122,972	\$ 61,178	\$ 3,103,511	\$ 74,573	\$ 12,767	\$ 4,259	\$ 45,369	\$ 37,737,344

County	Internet Mapping Service	Parcel Data	WA ID	Free Data	Link
Alameda	No	Yes	Yes	No	http://www.acgov.org/prop_assessment_app/index.jsp
Alpine	No	No	No	No	
Amador	No	Yes	No	Yes	http://www.co.amador.ca.us/ACGIS/gisdata.htm
Butte	No	No	No	No	
Calaveras	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	http://www.co.calaveras.ca.us/departments/gisproj.asp ftp://ccwgov.co.calaveras.ca.us/GIS/
Colusa	No	No	No	No	
Contra Costa	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	http://www.co.contra-costa.ca.us/
Del Norte	No	No	No	No	
El Dorado	No	Yes	No	No	http://main.co.el-dorado.ca.us/CGI/WWB012/WWM400/A http://www.co.el-dorado.ca.us/planning/ParcelData/Disclaimer.aspx http://www.co.fresno.ca.us/4510/4360/cds.htm
Fresno	No	No	No	No	
Glenn	No	No	No	No	
Humboldt	No	Yes	No	Yes	http://www.co.humboldt.ca.us/planning/maps/datainventory/gisdatalist.asp
Imperial	Yes	Yes	No	No	http://imperialcounty.net/Assessor/
Inyo	No	No	No	No	
Kern	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	http://www.co.kern.ca.us/gis/mapping_disclaimer.asp http://www.co.kern.ca.us/gis/downloads.asp http://www.countyofkings.com/planning/Plan/GIS.htm
Kings	No	No	No	No	
Lake	Yes	Yes	No	No	http://gis.co.lake.ca.us/
Lassen	No	No	No	No	
Los Angeles	Yes	Yes	No	No	http://www.lacountyassessor.com/extranet/datamaps/pais.aspx
Madera	No	No	No	No	
Marin	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	http://www.co.marin.ca.us/depts/IS/main/GIS/index.cfm
Mariposa	No	No	No	No	
Mendocino	No	No	No	No	http://www.co.mendocino.ca.us/econdev/gis/
Merced	Yes	Yes	No	No	http://web.co.merced.ca.us/planning/apnparcelsearchdirects.html
Modoc	No	No	No	No	
Mono	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	http://www.monocounty.ca.gov/services.html
Monterey	No	No	No	No	http://www.co.monterey.ca.us/gis/
Napa	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	http://gis.napa.ca.gov/
Nevada	Yes	Yes	No	No	http://new.mynevadacounty.com/gis/index.cfm?ccs=628 http://new.mynevadacounty.com/gis/index.cfm?ccs=630 http://www.ocgeomatics.com/default.asp http://www.placer.ca.gov/assessor/assessment-inquiry.htm
Orange	No	No	No	No	
Placer	No	Yes	No	No	
Plumas	No	No	No	No	
Riverside	Yes	Yes	No	No	http://www.rctlma.org/gis/gisdevelop.html
Sacramento	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	http://www.assessor.sacounty.net/accessibility/gis-accessibility-disclaimer.html
San Benito					
San Bernardino	No	Yes	Yes	No	https://nppublic.co.san-bernardino.ca.us/newpims/PimsInterface.aspx
San Diego	Yes	Yes	No	No	http://www.sangis.org/
San Francisco	Yes	Yes	Na	No	http://www.sfgov.org/site/gis_index.asp
San Joaquin	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	http://www.sjmap.org/mapapps.asp
San Luis Obispo	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	http://www.slocounty.ca.gov/planning/zoning.htm
San Mateo	No	Yes	No	No	http://www.sanmateocountytaxcollector.org/SMCWPS/pages/secureSearch.jsp http://www.co.sanmateo.ca.us/smc/departments/dpw/home/0,2151,5562541_9876737,00.html http://sbcountyplanning.org/forms/maps/index.cfm http://www.sccgov.org/portal/site/planning/ http://gis.co.santa-cruz.ca.us/ http://www.co.shasta.ca.us/Departments/AssessorRecorder/PubInqDisclaimer.shtml
Santa Barbara	No	No	No	No	
Santa Clara	Yes	Yes	No	No	
Santa Cruz	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	
Shasta	No	Yes	No	No	
Sierra	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	http://www.sierracounty.ws/
Siskiyou	No	No	No	No	
Solano	No	No	No	No	
Sonoma	No	No	No	No	
Stanislaus	Yes	Yes	No	No	http://www.co.stanislaus.ca.us/GIS/countyGIS.htm
Sutter	No	Yes	No	No	http://www.co.sutter.ca.us/doc/government/depts/assessor/assessor
Tehama	No	No	No	No	
Trinity	No	No	No	No	
Tulare	No	No	No	No	
Tuolumne	No	Yes	No	Yes	http://portal.co.tuolumne.ca.us/psp/ps/TUP_COMMUNITY_DEV/ENTP/h/?tab=DEFAULT
Ventura	No	Yes	No	Yes	http://gis.countyofventura.org/
Yolo	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	http://www.yolocounty.org/gis/default.htm
Yuba	Yes	Yes	Na	No	http://www.co.yuba.ca.us/content/departments/assessor/ http://www.co.yuba.ca.us/content/departments/adminserv/infotech/gis.asp

