# The California Land Conservation Act 2012 Status Report

## The Williamson Act

October 2013

Edmund G. Brown Jr., Governor

John Laird, Secretary, Natural Resources Agency

Mark Nechodom, Director, Department of Conservation

# California Department of Conservation
# Division of Land Resource Protection (DLRP)

### Our Mission:

The Department of Conservation balances today's needs with tomorrow's challenges and fosters intelligent, sustainable, and efficient use of California's energy, land, and mineral resources. DLRP's goal is to lead the change in the value proposition for the conservation of agricultural and open space land in California.

# The California Land Conservation Act

### The Program:

The California Land Conservation Act, known commonly as the Williamson Act, has helped preserve agricultural and open space lands since 1965. Local governments and landowners enter into voluntary contracts that restrict enrolled lands to agricultural uses for periods of 10 to 20 years. The program creates resource planning and economic benefits for the contracting participants, and the citizens of California.

For further information, please contact:

California Department of Conservation
Division of Land Resource Protection
Land Conservation Act Program
801 K Street, MS 18-01
Sacramento, CA 95814-3528
(916) 324-0850
FAX (916) 327-3430
TDD (916) 324-2555

email: dlrp@conservation.ca.gov
www.conservation.ca.gov/dlrp/lca

### Cover Graphics

Front: Broccoli and hillside grazing located on the Hibbits Ranch, Santa Barbara County.
Photo by Ken Murray.

Back: Sunset and the rising moon, San Benito County.
Photo by Mike Kisko.

### © 2013, California Department of Conservation

All rights reserved. Fair use of this report for a non-commercial, educational, research, or scientific purpose is not a violation of copyright. However, no part of the contents of this report may be reproduced, transmitted, or distributed in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, for any purpose without the express written permission of the Department of Conservation, Division of Land Resource Protection.

# The California Land Conservation Act 2012 Status Report

## The Williamson Act

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION

DIVISION OF LAND RESOURCE PROTECTION

WILLIAMSON ACT PROGRAM

OCTOBER 2013

# Acknowledgements


## Land Conservation Act Program Staff

Molly Penberth, Manager
Michael Krug, Staff Counsel
Heather Anderson
Meredith Meraz
Jacquelyn Ramsey

With the assistance of Kerri Kisko and Alexandra Borack

## Division of Land Resource Protection

John Lowrie, Acting Assistant Director
David Thesell, Deputy Chief, Financial Assistance
Monica Cea, Administrative Liaison

# DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION

*Managing California's Working Lands*

**DIRECTOR'S OFFICE**

801 K STREET • MS 24-01 • SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814

**PHONE** 916 / 322-1080 • **FAX** 916 / 445-0732 • **TDD** 916 / 324-2555 • **WEB SITE** conservation.ca.gov


October 2013

Dear Land Conservation Partner:

On behalf of the Department of Conservation (Department), I am pleased to present the California Land Conservation Act 2012 Status Report. The focus of this report is Land Conservation Act (Williamson Act) enrollment in 2010 and 2011, along with comparisons to the past decade. The vast majority of enrollment data were gathered from applications received for payment under the Open Space Subvention Act.

The Land Conservation Act has been the State's premier agricultural land protection program since its enactment in 1965, preserving agricultural and open-space lands through property tax incentives and voluntary restrictive-use contracts. Private landowners voluntarily restrict their land to agricultural and compatible open-space uses under minimum 10-year rolling term contracts with local governments. In return, restricted parcels are assessed for property tax purposes at a rate consistent with their agricultural or open space use(s), rather than potential market value. At the Department, we consider this financial arrangement to be an investment, collectively made through state and local fiscal mechanisms, on behalf of the entire state, in maintaining the viability of agricultural lands in California.

The 2012 report reflects the larger factors affecting land use in California and the serious challenges facing government as a whole. Recession-induced revenue constraints limited the ability of the State to provide subventions to local governments. Supporters of the Act worked together to provide options that limit the impacts of the loss of these funds, through decreased contract periods. And new legislation (SB 618, Wolk), enacted in 2011, provides an option to rescind Land Conservation Act contracts on land that has chemical, physical, or water-related limitations, replacing them with Solar-Use Easements.

On behalf of the Department of Conservation, I sincerely thank the local governments that work with us to support the program and to provide the data that underlie the production of this report.

Sincerely,

Mark Nechodom
Director

This page left intentionally blank.

# The California Land Conservation Act
# 2012 Status Report

## Table of Contents


**Land Conservation Act - Glossary of Terms** ..... i

**Executive Summary** ..... 1

**1 The California Land Conservation Act** ..... 3
- Land Conservation Act Contracts ..... 4
- Farmland Security Zones ..... 5
- The Open Space Subvention Act ..... 5
- New Challenges and Opportunities ..... 5
- The Land Conservation Act Status Report and Statistical Notes ..... 6

**2 2010 and 2011 Land Conservation Act Enrollment** ..... 7
- Statewide Enrollment ..... 8
- Net Increases and Decreases in Enrollment ..... 8
- New Enrollments ..... 9
- Farmland Security Zones – Transfers and Total Acreage ..... 9
- Nonrenewal Initiations ..... 10
- Nonrenewal Expirations ..... 11
- Total Acreage in Nonrenewal ..... 12
- Contract Cancellations ..... 12
- Public Acquisitions ..... 13
- City Annexations ..... 14
- Net Adjustments ..... 14

**3 Longer-Term Trends in the Land Conservation Act** ..... 17
- A Decade of Land Conservation Act Contract Termination Trends ..... 17

**4 Statewide Land Conservation Act Administration, Support, and Legislation** ..... 21
- Reported Eligible Acreage and Subvention Application Totals ..... 21
- Compliance Audits ..... 22
- Recent Legislation Affecting the Land Conservation Act ..... 24

**APPENDIX A 2010 and 2011 Reported Statistics by County** ..... 25

**APPENDIX B Open Space Subvention Act Inflation-Adjusted Expenditures** ..... 52

**FIGURES**


Figure 1 John Williamson, Author of the California Land Conservation Act ..... 3
Figure 2 Counties Participating in the Land Conservation Act ..... 4
Figure 3 Regions for Land Conservation Act Report Analysis ..... 6
Figure 4 Termination Trend Comparison, 2000-2011 ..... 17
Figure 5 Statewide Cumulative Nonrenewal Acreage: 2000-2011 ..... 18
Figure 6 Statewide Cumulative Nonrenewal Acreage: 2000-2011, Prime v. Nonprime ..... 18
Figure 7 Nonrenewal Initiations v. Nonrenewal Expirations: 2000-2011 ..... 18
Figure 8 Public Acquisitions: 2000-2011 ..... 19
Figure 9 Net Adjustments to Land Conservation Act Acreage Reported by Counties ..... 19
Figure 10 Cancellations: 2000-2011 ..... 20
Figure B-1 Annual Open Space Subvention Act Expenditures 1971-2010 ..... 54
Figure B-2 Cumulative Open Space Subvention Act Expenditures 1971-2010 ..... 54


**TABLES**


Table 1 Agricultural Land Conservation Programs Statewide Reported Acreage ..... 2
Table 2 Counties Participating in Land Conservation Act Alternative Funding Option ..... 5
Table 3 Land Conservation Act Statewide and County Reported Enrollment 2008-2011 ..... 7
Table 4 Counties with the Largest Enrollment Increase (Net) ..... 8
Table 5 Counties with the Largest Enrollment Decrease (Net) ..... 8
Table 6 Counties with the Greatest Amount of New Enrollments ..... 9
Table 7 Regional Ranking by the Amount of New Enrollments ..... 9
Table 8 Farmland Security Zone Acreage and Percentage of Total Enrollment by County in 2011 ..... 10
Table 9 Counties with the Greatest Amount of Nonrenewal Initiations ..... 10
Table 10 Regional Ranking by the Amount of Nonrenewal Initiations ..... 11
Table 11 Counties with the Greatest Amount of Nonrenewal Expirations ..... 11
Table 12 Regional Ranking by the Amount of Nonrenewal Expirations ..... 12
Table 13 Counties with the Largest Percentage of Enrollment Under Nonrenewal ..... 12
Table 14 Counties with Cancellations ..... 13
Table 15 Counties with the Greatest Amount of Public Acquisitions ..... 13
Table 16 Regional Ranking by the Amount of Public Acquisitions ..... 14
Table 17 Counties with Annexations of Contracted Land to Cities ..... 14
Table 18 Counties with the Greatest Amount of Adjustments (Net) ..... 15
Table 19 Regional Ranking by the Amount of Adjustments (Net) ..... 15
Table 20 Cumulative Acres Terminated by Category: 2000-2011 ..... 20
Table 21 Counties with the Largest Subvention Application Amounts ..... 21
Table 22 2010 Open Space Subvention Claims by Region ..... 22
Table 23 2011 Open Space Subvention Claims by Region ..... 22
Table 24 Recaptured Subvention Payments from Audits ..... 23

**Appendix A: Land Conservation Act Data Tables**


Table A-1 Total Reported Enrollment 2010 ..... 26
Table A-2 Total Reported Enrollment 2011 ..... 27
Table A-3 Farmland Security Zone Transfers 2010 ..... 28
Table A-4 Farmland Security Zone Transfers 2011 ..... 29
Table A-5 Cumulative Nonrenewal Acreage, Land Conservation Act 2010 & 2011 ..... 30
Table A-6 Cumulative Nonrenewal Acreage, Farmland Security Zone 2010 ..... 31
Table A-7 Cumulative Nonrenewal Acreage, Farmland Security Zone 2010 ..... 32
Table A-8 New Enrollments 2010 ..... 33
Table A-9 New Enrollments 2011 ..... 34
Table A-10 Nonrenewal Initiations ..... 35
Table A-11 Nonrenewal Expirations ..... 36
Table A-12 Nonrenewal Withdrawn Acreage, Land Conservation Act ..... 37
Table A-13 Cancellations 2010 ..... 38
Table A-14 Cancellations 2011 ..... 39
Table A-15 Public Acquisitions 2010 ..... 40
Table A-16 Public Acquisitions 2011 ..... 41
Table A-17 City Annexations 2010 ..... 42
Table A-18 City Annexations 2011 ..... 43
Table A-19 Net Adjustments 2010 ..... 44
Table A-20 Net Adjustments 2011 ..... 45
Table A-21 Contracted Land Not Receiving Tax Relief Benefits 2010 ..... 46
Table A-22 Contracted Land Not Receiving Tax Relief Benefits 2011 ..... 47
Table A-23 Acres Eligible for Open Space Subvention Payment 2010 ..... 48
Table A-24 Acres Eligible for Open Space Subvention Payment 2011 ..... 49
Table A-25 Open Space Subvention Act Payment Claims 2010 ..... 50
Table A-26 Open Space Subvention Act Payment Claims 2011 ..... 51


**Appendix B: Open Space Subvention Act Inflation-Adjusted Expenditures**


Table B-1 Open Space Subvention Act Inflation-Adjusted Expenditures 1971-2010 ..... 53

This page intentionally left blank.

| Land Conservation Act – Glossary of Terms | | |
|---|---|---|
| **Term** | **Definition** | **Code Citation or Reference** |
| ***These glossary definitions are generalizations of formal legal terms. They do not represent legal interpretations or how statute should be applied to specific situations. For complete definitions please refer to the code citations or references.*** | | |
| **Agricultural Commodity** | An *agricultural commodity* includes plant and animal products produced in the state for commercial purposes. Plant products used for producing biofuels are also considered to be agricultural commodities. | Government Code § 51201(a) |
| **Agricultural Preserve** | An *agricultural preserve* is an area established in accordance with the Land Conervation Act by a city or county that is devoted to either agricultural, recreational, or open-space use, or some combination of those uses. | Government Code §§ 51201(d) and 51205 |
| **Agricultural Use** | *Agricultural use* means the use of land, including but not limited to greenhouses, for the purposes of producing an agricultural commodity for commercial purposes. | Government Code § 51201(b) |
| **Animal Unit** | An *animal unit* is a measurement of forage needed to support livestock. | http://ag.arizona.edu/arec/pubs/rmg/1%20rangelandmanagement/1%20aum93.pdf. |
| **Cancellation** | *Cancellation* is an expedited method to terminate a Land Conservation Act or Farmland Security Zone contract. It requires a board of supervisors or city council approval based on rigorous findings, and payment of a cancellation fee of 12.5 percent or 25 percent of assessed property value, depending on the type of contract. Contract cancellation provisions are a means to address situations where ending the contract will either facilitate an alternative land use that is consistent with the purposes of the Act or facilitate a public interest that substantially outweighs the objectives of the Act. | Government Code § 51280 et seq. |
| **Compatible Use** | A *compatible use* is any use determined by a county or city that participates in the Land Conservation Act to be compatible with agricultural, recreational, or open-space uses and satisfy the principles of compatibility in §§51238, 51238.1, 51238.2 or 51238.3. Participating jurisdictions adopt rules that define which uses are allowable, prohibited, and permitted under specific circumstances. | Government Code § 51201(e), and §§ 51238, 51238.1, 51238.2, and 51238.3 |
| **Development** | *Development* means the construction of buildings or other uses of the property that are unrelated to agricultural or open-space uses, or that substantially impair agricultural or open-space uses of the property. Development does not include land uses that are considered compatible with agricultural or open-space uses, or the acquisition of land or an interest in land. | Government Code § 51201 (p) |
| **Easement Exchange** | An *easement exchange* is a process whereby a city or county enters into an agreement with a landowner to rescind a Land Conservation Act contract in order to simultaneously place other land within that city or the county where the contract is rescinded under a permanent agricultural conservation easement. | Government Code § 51256 |
| **Farmland Security Zone (FSZ)** | *Farmland Security Zones (FSZ)* are areas where contracts are of longer duration than regular Land Conservation Act contracts, initially at least 20 year terms. There is a greater tax benefit to enroll in an FSZ contract due to its longer duration. The land must meet specified qualitative thresholds in order to be eligible for FSZ enrollment. | Government Code §§51296, 51296.1, and 51296.8 |
| **Important Farmland Series Maps** | *Important Farmland Series Maps* document soil quality and current land use status and are compiled on a biennial basis by the California Department of Conservation. The maps are used in the planning process to determine the impacts of proposed development projects on farmland resources in California. Land must be designated in specified Important Farmland Map categories to be eligible for enrollment in Farmland Security Zone contracts. | Government Code § 65570 |
| **Land Capability Classification** | The *Land capability classification* is a system of grouping soils primarily on the basis of their capability to produce common cultivated crops and pasture. | http://soils.usda.gov/technical/handbook/contents/part622.html |
| **Land Conservation Act Contract** | A Land Conservation Act contract, also known as a Williamson Act contract, is a contract between a landowner and a city or county wherein land devoted to agricultural use is restricted to agricultural, open-space, and/or recreational uses. Local governments and landowners may voluntarily enter into these contracts whereby the landowner foregoes the possibility of development during the term of the contract, in return for lower property taxes. The local government foregoes a portion of its property taxes in return for the planning advantages and values implicit in retaining land in agriculture or open space. They are self-renewing contracts that last for a period of ten years unless they are not renewed. | Government Code § 51200 et seq., and §51240 et seq. |
| **Managed Wetland Area** | A *managed wetland area* is an area which may be diked off from the ocean or other water body and that is occasionally flooded. For purposes of the Land Conservation Act, the land must be used and maintained as a waterfowl hunting preserve, game refuge, or for agricultural purposes for at least three years prior to being placed within an agricultural preserve. | Government Code § 51201 (l) |
| **Non-Prime Agricultural Land** | *Non-Prime agricultural land* is land enrolled under a California Land Conservation Act contract but not defined as "prime agricultural land" under Government Code Section 51201 (c) or as land that is not classified as "agricultural land" under Public Resources Code Section 21060.1 (a). Non-prime agricultural land is also defined as open space uses of statewide significance under Government Code Section 16143(b), and may be identified as such in other documents. | Government Code §51238.1, 16143, and Public Resources Code §21060.1 |
| **Non-Renewal** | Land Conservation Act contracts automatically renew each year unless *non-renewal* of the contract is requested by either the landowner or the participating city or county. Non-renewal involves a written notice to the other party to the contract in advance of the contract's annual renewal date. Specific timelines apply for providing a notice of non-renewal. Once non-renewal is filed, the existing contract remains in effect for the balance of the period remaining on the contract, and valuation for property tax purposes gradually increase to fair market value. Non-renewal is the preferred and orderly method for terminating a Land Conservation Act contract. | Government Code §§ 51245 and 51246 |

| Land Conservation Act – Glossary of Terms | | |
|---|---|---|
| **Term** | **Definition** | **Code Citation or Reference** |
| ***These glossary definitions are generalizations of formal legal terms. They do not represent legal interpretations or how statute should be applied to specific situations. For complete definitions please refer to the code citations or references.*** | | |
| **Open Space Subvention Act** | *The Open Space Subvention Act* was enacted in 1972 to provide partial replacement of local property tax revenues foregone as a result of participation in the Land Conservation Act. The subventions to local governments are calculated based of the number of acres, quality (soil type and agricultural productivity), and for Farmland Security Zone (FSZ) contracts the proximity of the FSZ enrolled land to the city. | Government Code § 16141 et seq. |
| **Open space uses of statewide significance** | *Open space uses of statewide significance* is land that (a) could be developed as prime agricultural land, or (b) is open-space land as defined in Section 65560 which constitutes a resource whose preservation is of more than local importance for ecological, economic, educational, or other purposes. The Secretary of the Resources Agency shall be the final judge of whether the land is in fact devoted to open-space use of statewide significance. | Government Code §16143 |
| **Open space use** | *Open space use* is the use or maintenance of land in a manner that preserves its natural characteristics, beauty, or openness for the benefit and enjoyment of the public, to provide habitat for wildlife, or for the solar evaporation of seawater in the course of salt production for commercial purposes. The land must be within a scenic highway corridor, wildlife habitat area, saltpond, managed wetland area, submerged area, or enrolled in the United States Department of Agriculture Conservation Reserve Program or Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program. | Government Code § 51201 (0) |
| **Prime Agricultural Land** | *Prime agricultural land* is land that meets specified soil quality, forage production, or income criteria under Government Code Section 51201. Under the Land Conservation Act, the Prime agricultural land designation impacts what uses are considered compatible, eligible parcel sizes, and other factors, including the amount of open space subventions payable to the participating city or county. | Government Code § 51201 (c) |
| **Prime Farmland** | *Prime Farmland* is land designated on the Important Farmland series maps as having the best combination of physical and chemical features able to sustain long-term agricultural production. The designation is based on soil data from the USDA and current land use status. In California, land must be irrigated or have been used for irrigated agricultural production within a specified historic timeframe to qualify for Prime Farmland. | Government Code § 65570 |
| **Public Acquisition** | A *public acquisition* is the purchase of property by a public agency--such as a county, city, school district, other special district, or state and federal agency--for the purpose of a public improvement. A "public improvement" means any interest in facilities or real property, including easements, rights-of-way, and fee title ownership. Agencies considering the purchase of property that is located in an agricultural preserve, or enrolled in a Land Conservation Act or Farmland Security Zone contract, are required to follow specific site selection and notification processes. | Government Code §§ 51290.5 and 51291 |
| **Recreational Use** | As it pertains to the Land Conservation Act, *recreational use* is the use of land in its agricultural or natural state by the public for any of the following: walking, hiking, picnicking, camping, swimming, boating, fishing, hunting, or other outdoor games or sports. Any fees charged for the recreational use of the land shall be reasonable so as to not limit its access to the general public. | Government Code § 51201 (n) |
| **Scenic Highway Corridor** | A *scenic highway corridor* is an area adjacent to and in view of the right-of-way of state or county scenic highways. The scenic highway must meet specified planning requirements to be eligible for consideration as this type of corridor. | Government Code § 51201 (i) |
| **Storie Index** | *Storie Index* is a classification system to rate soils based on the land's potential productive capacity. | http://anrcatalog.ucdavis.edu/pdf/8335.pdf. |
| **Submerged Area** | A *submerged area* is any land determined by the participating city or county to be submerged or subject to tidal action and found by the jurisdiction's board or council to be of great value to the state as open space. | Government Code § 51201 (m) |
| **Subvention Funds** | *Subvention Funds* are *funds paid by the state's General Fund to participating cities and counties as partial replacement of the property tax revenues foregone as a result of participation in the Land Conservation Act.* Subvention amounts are calculated based of the number of acres and other criteria, subject to certification by the Department of Conservation. | Government Code § 16141 |
| **Wildlife Habitat Area** | A *wildlife habitat area* is a land or water area designated by a board or council, after consulting with the Department of Fish and Wildlife, as an area of importance for the protection or enhancement of the wildlife resources of the state. | Government Code § 51201 (j) |

# Executive Summary

## Fiscal Challenges Impact Participant Reporting

The 2012 Land Conservation Act Status Report represents data submitted to the Department of Conservation (Department) regarding program participation during 2010 and 2011, as part of the annual Open Space Subvention payment application process. As of the 2011 snapshot, approximately 15.0 million acres were reported as being enrolled statewide. This is an 8.5 percent drop from the 16.4 million acres accounted for in the 2010 Status Report. The decrease is in large measure associated with 10 counties not reporting enrollment to the State, as opposed to a decrease in Land Conservation Act participation. Nonreporting by counties is likely due to the decreases to, and eventual loss of, Open Space Subvention payments.

## 2011 Enrollment Snapshot

Of California's 58 counties, 52 have executed contracts under the Land Conservation Act Program. Private landowners voluntarily restrict their land to agricultural and compatible open-space uses under minimum 10-year rolling term contracts with local governments. In return, restricted parcels are assessed for property tax purposes at a rate consistent with their actual use, rather than potential market value. For more information about the Program, please refer to Chapter 1.

For the 2010 and 2011 reporting period, 43 counties and 2 city governments submitted information to the Department. The pattern of nonreporting expanded from 7 to 10 counties between 2010 and 2011, likely reflecting the loss of subvention funds paid to local government from the State General Fund. A map depicting participating counties is located on page 4.

Counties reported approximately 15.0 million acres enrolled in Land Conservation Act contracts statewide (Table 1, next page). This represents approximately 50 percent of California's farmland total of about 30 million acres, or about 31 percent of the State's privately owned land. The Farmland Security Zone (FSZ) program, based on 20-year contracts, has been adopted by 25 counties, although not all of these counties have executed contracts. Twenty-one counties reported a total of 875,604 acres of land under FSZ contract, which constituted approximately 6 percent of the statewide Land Conservation Act enrollment. Using prior enrollment data to adjust for the lower reporting rate in 2011, it is estimated that 16.3 million acres are currently enrolled in the Land Conservation Act statewide.

Local governments claimed a total of $71.71 million in Open Space Subvention Act payments for the partial replacement of property tax revenue losses associated with contract enrollment during 2010 and 2011. However, pursuant to Government Code section 16148, subvention payments were effectively eliminated beginning in Fiscal Year 2010-11. Open Space Subvention payments have totaled more than $863 million between 1971 and 2010. Adjusted for inflation, the State's investment in subventions to counties totals nearly $1.5 billion.

Despite the fiscal challenges, contracts between landowners and local governments continue. Legislation to lessen the impact of subvention payment losses to local governments was passed in 2010[1], offering a decrease of the term of the rolling contracts to 9 years for regular LCA contracts or 18 years for Farmland Security Zone contracts. To date, 12 counties have opted for this compromise. One county, Imperial, chose to exit the Program, simultaneously nonrenewing contracts covering 117,246 acres in a ten-year wind down. In contrast, 29 counties accepted new contracts during the reporting period.

[1] SB 863 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review, Chapter 722, Statutes of 2010) and AB 1265 (Nielsen, Chapter 90, Statutes of 2011).

In total, 630,246 acres of contracted land were reported to be at some stage of the nonrenewal process. The cumulative nonrenewal acreage constituted 4.2 percent of statewide Land Conservation Act enrollment.

The other major trend affecting enrolled land during this reporting period is the interest in solar power generation, incentivized by the State's requirement that 33 percent of investor-owned electric utility retail sales be derived from renewable sources by 2020. Legislation signed in 2011[2] provides an option to rescind Land Conservation Act contracts on land that has been compromised due to chemical, physical, or water-related limitations--replacing them with Solar-Use Easements. Regulations to implement this legislation are currently under review as required under the California Administrative Procedure Act.[3]

## Land Conservation Act Statewide Enrollment Summary

- Contracts occur in 52 counties.
- Enrollment statistics are submitted annually as part of the Open Space Subvention application process.
- Top participating counties: Kern, Fresno, and Tulare (1.7, 1.5 and 1.1 million acres, respectively).
- Longer term enrollments: Farmland Security Zones (FSZ). 18 counties participate in the 20-year FSZ contract option. FSZ's cover more than 875,600 acres or 6 percent of statewide LCA total enrollment.

**Table 1**
**Agricultural Land Conservation Programs**
**Statewide Reported Acres**

| Category | 2010 | 2011^ |
|---|---|---|
| **Land Conservation Act** | | |
| Prime | 5,301,075 | 4,726,715 |
| Non-Prime | 10,142,994 | 9,358,572 |
| **Farmland Security Zones** | | |
| Prime | 653,928 | 792,934 |
| Non-Prime | 216,276 | 82,670 |
| **Other Restrictions*** | 55,335 | 55,938 |
| Total | 16,369,608 | 15,016,829 |

^Ten counties, including the two high participation counties of Stanislaus and Mendocino, did not submit data in 2011. Assuming 2010 data for these counties, the statewide total would be 16.3 million acres. See Chapter 2 for more information.

*Other Restrictions include agricultural conservation and open space easements reported by participating jurisdictions.

[2] SB 618 (Wolk, Chapter 596, Statutes of 2011)
[3] http://www.oal.ca.gov/Regular_Rulemaking_Process.htm

# Chapter 1: The California Land Conservation Act

## A partnership between landowners, local governments, and the State since 1965

The California Land Conservation Act has been the State's premier agricultural land protection program since its enactment in 1965. More than 16 million of the State's 30 million acres of farm and ranch land have participated in the Program, which is authorized under California Government Code beginning with Section 51200. Of California's 58 counties, 52 have executed Land Conservation Act contracts with landowners.[4]

Following World War II, California experienced tremendous population and economic growth. This growth, in tandem with the State's property tax system, led to increased pressures to convert agricultural land to urban use. Rapidly escalating property taxes often presented a prohibitive burden for farmers who wanted to maintain their agricultural operations. In response, the California Legislature passed the Land Conservation Act in 1965 to preserve agricultural and open space lands by discouraging "premature and unnecessary conversion to urban uses."[5] The legislation was authored by Assemblyman John Williamson of Yolo County (Figure 1); and the law is commonly referred to as the Williamson Act. The Land Conservation Act was then, and remains today, a policy that protects agricultural land through an interrelated set of property tax, land use, and conservation measures.



Figure 1
John Williamson, Author of the California Land Conservation Act

The Land Conservation Act is a California State statute administered by local governments. Local governments are not mandated to participate, and those that do have some latitude to tailor the program to suit local goals and objectives. A three-way relationship between private landowners, local governments, and the State is central to the success of the Program. Local governments and landowners voluntarily enter into a contract in which each accepts certain costs in return for other benefits. The landowner foregoes the possibility of development, or converting his or her property into nonagricultural or non-open space use during the term of the contract, in return for lower property taxes. The local government foregoes a portion of its property taxes in return for the planning advantages and values implicit in retaining land in agriculture or open space.

The State is also a key player in the program. Between fiscal years 1971 and 2009, the State paid an average of $22.7 million per year to offset the differential tax rates ($38.6 million on an inflation adjusted basis). The State continues to support local governments and landowners in the form of technical and implementation assistance, interpretation of the Act, issue and policy research, contract enforcement, and preparation of the Land Conservation Act Status Report.[6]

[4] Alpine County has adopted the program, but has yet to execute a contract. Los Angeles County's open space enforceable restrictions on Catalina Island include no executed contracts.
[5] Government Code Section 51220 (c).
[6] The biennial status report is required under Government Code Section 51207.

Land Conservation Act contracts have an initial term of ten years, with renewal occurring automatically each year (local governments can establish initial contract terms for longer periods of time). The contracts run with the land and are binding on all successors in interest of the landowner. Only land located within an agricultural preserve is eligible to participate. An agricultural preserve defines the boundary of an area within which a city or county will enter into contracts with landowners. The boundary is designated by resolution of the board of supervisors or city council having jurisdiction. Preserves are regulated by rules and restrictions designated in the resolution to ensure that the land within the preserve is maintained for agricultural or open space use. The rules of each agricultural preserve specify the uses allowed. Generally, any commercial agricultural use will be permitted within an agricultural preserve. In addition, local governments may identify compatible uses that are allowed with a use permit. (Landowners interested in enrolling land in a contract should contact their local planning department for application forms and instructions).

## Land Conservation Act Contracts

**Government Code § 51200 et seq. and 51240 et seq.**

The Land Conservation Act consists of two primary enrollment categories—Prime and Non-Prime.

Prime Agricultural Land is defined as meeting one or more of the following criteria set forth under California Government Code Section 51201:

1. Land which qualifies for rating as class I or class II in the Natural Resources Conservation Service land capability classification system.[7]
2. Land which qualifies for rating 80 to 100 in the Storie Index Rating system.[8]
3. Land which supports livestock used for the production of food and fiber and which has an annual carrying capacity equivalent to at least one animal unit[9] per acre as defined by the United States Department of Agriculture.
4. Land planted with fruit or nut-bearing trees, vines, bushes or crops which have a nonbearing period of less than five years and which will normally return during the commercial bearing period on an annual basis from the production of unprocessed agricultural plant production not less than $200 per acre.
5. Land which has returned from the production of unprocessed agricultural plant production with an annual gross value of not less than $200 per acre for three of the previous five years.




**Figure 2**
**Counties Participating in the Land Conservation Act**

[7] Land capability classification is a system of grouping soils primarily on the basis of their capability to produce common cultivated crops and pasture, http://soils.usda.gov/technical/handbook/contents/part622.html.
[8] Storie Index is a classification system to rate soils based on the land's potential productive capacity, http://anrcatalog.ucdavis.edu/pdf/8335.pdf.
[9] An animal unit is a measurement of forage needed to support livestock, http://ag.arizona.edu/arec/pubs/rmg/1%20rangelandmanagement/1%20aum93.pdf.

Non-Prime Land is enrolled under a California Land Conservation Act contract that does not meet any of the criteria for classification as Prime Agricultural Land. It is considered to be devoted to open space use of statewide significance under the California Open Space Subvention Act (California Government Code Section 16143), and may be identified as such in other documents.

Most Non-Prime Land is in agricultural uses such as grazing or non-irrigated crops. However, Non-Prime Land may also include other open space uses that are compatible with agriculture and consistent with local general plans.

## Farmland Security Zones

**Government Code §§ 51296 et seq.**

In 1998, the Farmland Security zone (FSZ) provisions of the Land Conservation Act were added. An FSZ contract offers landowners greater property tax reduction in return for an initial contract term of 20 years, with renewal occurring automatically each year. Land restricted by an FSZ contract is valued for property assessment purposes at 65 percent of its Land Conservation Act valuation, or 65 percent of its Proposition 13 valuation, whichever is lower. As enacted in 1998, the FSZ provisions allowed for the creation of a FSZ contract only through the rescission of an existing Land Conservation Act contract. That requirement was changed on January 1, 2000, thus allowing non-contracted land to go directly into an FSZ contract. Cities and special districts that provide non-agricultural services are generally prohibited from annexing land enrolled under an FSZ contract. Similarly, school districts are prohibited from taking FSZ lands for school facilities.

## The Open Space Subvention Act

**Government Code § 16141 et seq.**

The Open Space Subvention Act was enacted in 1971 to provide partial replacement of local property tax revenues foregone as a result of participation in the Program. The first Open Space Subvention payments were made in Fiscal Year (FY) 1972. From inception until FY 2010, over $863 million was distributed by the State to counties and cities in support of the Program, averaging $55 per acre over the lifetime of the subventions, or $1.42 per acre per year. Adjusted for inflation, the value of the State's investment in subventions to participating jurisdictions totals $1.5 billion (Appendix B). In recent years, revenue constraints have limited the ability of the State to provide subventions to local governments to backfill for the foregone property tax revenue associated with contracted land.

## New Challenges and Opportunities

The recent economic recession has had severe impacts on both State and local government budgets. As the State's General Fund suffered, the State's ability to provide subventions to local governments was threatened during multiple fiscal years. Payments were reduced, and pursuant to Government Code section 16148, subvention payments were effectively eliminated beginning in FY 2010. Local governments' goal of supporting agricultural and open space land conservation without subventions was discussed widely during this transition; the loss of tax revenue caused some jurisdictions to consider whether to continue offering the Program in the future. Enrollments were frozen in a number of counties. In 2011, Imperial County decided that all Land Conservation Act contracts would be placed in nonrenewal status.

Compromise legislation that allows local governments to re-capture a portion of the foregone property tax revenue by decreasing the duration of Land Conservation Act and FSZ contracts by one- and two-years, respectively, was passed in conjunction with the FY 2010 budget. This alternative funding option is available until January 1, 2016. Counties currently participating in this alterative are listed in Table 2.

**Table 2**

| Counties Participating in Land Conservation Act Alternative Funding Option* | |
|---|---|
| Butte | Merced |
| Fresno | Shasta |
| Kings | Stanislaus |
| Lassen | Sutter |
| Madera | Tulare |
| Mendocino | Yolo |
| *As of November 2012 | |

The other trend affecting contracted land this reporting period is the interest in solar power generation. Investor-owned electric utilities in California are required to have 33 percent of their retail sales derived from renewable sources by 2020.[10] This Renewable Portfolio Standard can be met with a number of energy technologies; agricultural land is of interest to photovoltaic solar developers due to its level terrain, existing land disturbance, decreased likelihood of hosting species of concern, and proximity to transmission lines or substations.

The goals of maintaining a vibrant agricultural economy and resource base while meeting the State's Renewable Portfolio Standard are of concern to many participating jurisdictions and decision makers. Many stakeholders see the opportunity to locate solar facilities on land that has been compromised due to chemical, physical, or water-related limitations. As a result, legislation was passed in 2011 that would allow for such properties to have their Land Conservation Act contracts rescinded, to be replaced by Solar-Use Easements. The Department will use data provided by applicants to determine whether the land meets the criteria as established in Government Code Section 51191. Regulations to implement this legislation are in development as of the date of this report.

## The Land Conservation Act Status Report and Statistical Notes

This biennial Land Conservation Act Status Report is a compilation of enrollment statistics for the Program, with the focus of this report being enrollment as of January 1, 2010, and January 1, 2011. Nearly all of the enrollment data were gathered from applications for payment under the Open Space Subvention Act.[11] The applications are submitted annually to the Department by participating counties and cities. Enrollment data from prior years are included to provide context in certain discussions.

Appendix A contains the data tables used to generate charts and graphics featured in this report. Figure 3 depicts participating counties and how data are summarized by region. Due to rounding, minor discrepancies may occur between Appendix A tables and Chapter 2 regional summaries.

A small amount of non-Land Conservation Act, enforceably restricted land, is included in this report. Except for Appendix A, this "Other Enforceable Restriction" is mingled with the Land Conservation Act totals and accounts for less than 1 percent of the total reported acreage.

Figure 3
Regions for Land Conservation Act Report Analysis

[10] Public Resources Code, starting with Section 25740.
[11] Submission of enrollment information is required under Government Code Sections 16144 and 16154.

# Chapter 2:
# 2010 and 2011 Land Conservation Act Enrollment

**Summaries and trends in enrollment by county, region, and statewide**

Due to State revenue issues and the elimination of subvention payments to local governments during this reporting period, a number of counties that normally would have provided enrollment data did not submit information. Table 3 depicts statewide enrollment for each year between 2008 and 2011, as well as enrollment statistics for the ten counties that did not report data in 2011. Most of the counties continued to have stable enrollment patterns during these years. The 2011 gaps are particularly prevalent in the North Coast and Mountain Region, with five of the ten nonparticipating counties.

Due to the relative stability of enrollment in these counties prior to 2011, it can be inferred that the 15.0 million acre statewide total enrollment summary derived from 2011 county submissions is approximately 1.3 million acres less than actual enrollment. This places a conservative estimate of Program enrollment at 16.3 million acres.

Additionally, the underreporting likely influences this chapter's summaries and rankings relative to actual occurrences. No corrections or adjustments have been made to the data in this chapter or in the appendices. The data have been reported and analyzed as they have been reported by local governments.

**Table 3**

**Land Conservation Act Statewide and County Reported Enrollment**

**2008-2011**

| Location | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | Explanatory Notes |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Statewide Total Reported Acres | 16,583,467 | 14,996,564 | 16,369,124 | 15,014,073 | ND = No Data Submitted |
| Comparison to 2008 Baseline | 100.0% | 90.4% | 98.7% | 90.5% | |
| Lake | 50,079 | 49,658 | ND | ND | |
| Mendocino | 498,495 | ND | 486,665 | ND | |
| Modoc | 127,170 | ND | 127,629 | ND | |
| Nevada | 6,722 | 6,722 | ND | ND | |
| Orange | 8,044 | 692 | ND | ND | As of 2010 status report, 225 acres remained eligible for subvention. |
| Plumas | 82,996 | 82,996 | ND | ND | |
| Riverside | 59,307 | ND | ND | ND | |
| San Mateo | ND | ND | ND | ND | Subject of 2007 audit; County has not refiled for subventions and is revamping program in 2012. |
| Stanislaus | 690,067 | ND | 690,110 | ND | |
| Trinity | 22,035 | ND | ND | ND | |
| Acres Reported | 1,544,915 | 140,068 | 1,304,404 | 0 | |

## Statewide Enrollment

The approximately 15.0 million acres reported in Land Conservation Act contracts in 2011 (Table 1) consists of approximately 62 percent Non-Prime land, 32 percent Prime land, and 6 percent Farmland Security Zone (FSZ) land. The FSZ program, based on 20-year contracts, has been adopted by 25 counties, although not all of these counties have executed contracts. Twenty-one counties reported a total of 875,604 acres of land under FSZ contract.

Three counties had enrollments exceeding one million acres in 2011: Kern, Fresno, and Tulare; at 1.7, 1.5, and 1.1 million acres, respectively. Counties with the lowest enrollment included San Bernardino (just over 4,500 acres), and Orange (225 acres eligible for subventions as of the 2010 Status Report). Appendix tables A-1 and A-2 outline enrollment statistics for all counties for the two-year reporting period.

## Net Increases and Decreases in Enrollment

Butte, Glenn, Humboldt, and Monterey counties were among the leading counties in new enrollments for both reporting years (Table 4). In total 35,579 acres entered the program statewide in 2010 and 30,432 acres in 2011 (Tables A-8 and A-9).

Santa Barbara and Yolo counties had the largest enrollment decreases in 2010 and 2011, respectively (Table 5). The large drop in Yolo County enrollment was the result of a technical adjustment (see page 15). Five counties (San Benito, San Joaquin, Santa Barbara, Tulare, and Yolo) were present on the list of top counties for enrollment decreases in both years.

Statewide, the amount of land enrolled in the Land Conservation Act Program has been declining since 2005.

**Table 4**

**Counties* with the Largest Enrollment Increase (Net)**

| 2010 | | | | 2011 | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Ranking | | County | Acres | Ranking | | County | Acres |
| 2009 | 2010 | | | 2010 | 2011 | | |
| 1 | 1 | Lassen | 9,142 | 2 | 1 | Monterey | 15,806 |
| 4 | 2 | Monterey | 4,226 | 6 | 2 | Glenn | 2,755 |
| 10 | 3 | Butte | 2,828 | 14 | 3 | Calaveras | 2,325 |
| n/a | 4 | San Luis Obispo | 1,877 | n/a | 4 | Alameda | 1,742 |
| 6 | 5 | Siskiyou | 1,807 | 7 | 5 | Humboldt | 982 |
| 7 | 6 | Glenn | 1,367 | n/a | 6 | Placer | 512 |
| 8 | 7 | Humboldt | 1,216 | 16 | 7 | Napa | 369 |
| n/a | 8 | Fresno | 621 | n/a | 8 | Santa Cruz | 308 |
| 16 | 9 | Solano | 545 | 3 | 9 | Butte | 196 |
| 11 | 10 | Mariposa | 512 | 18 | 10 | Madera | 178 |

*Based on reporting from 42 out of 52 counties with Land Conservation Act contracts.

**Table 5**

**Counties* with the Largest Enrollment Decrease (Net)**

| 2010 | | | | 2011 | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Ranking | | County | Acres | Ranking | | County | Acres |
| 2009 | 2010 | | | 2010 | 2011 | | |
| n/a | 1 | Santa Barbara | -3,178 | 5 | 1 | Yolo | -61,809 |
| n/a | 2 | Kern | -2,399 | 7 | 2 | San Benito | -2,410 |
| 21 | 3 | Kings | -1,619 | 4 | 3 | San Joaquin | -1,983 |
| 13 | 4 | San Joaquin | -1,485 | n/a | 4 | Ventura | 1,184 |
| n/a | 5 | Yolo | -1,426 | 11 | 5 | Contra Costa | -1,165 |
| 23 | 6 | Alameda | -1,339 | 1 | 6 | Santa Barbara | -1,068 |
| 20 | 7 | San Benito | -721 | 9 | 7 | Tulare | -911 |
| 11 | 8 | Orange | -692 | 12 | 8 | Santa Clara | -673 |
| n/a | 9 | Tulare | -670 | n/a | 9 | Colusa | -388 |
| n/a | 10 | Tehama | -366 | n/a | 10 | San Luis Obispo | -323 |

*Based on reporting from 42 out of 52 counties with Land Conservation Act contracts.

## New Enrollments

New enrollments[12] are filed with the anticipation of maintaining the contracted land in agriculture for at least ten years. As such, new enrollments may be seen as an indicator of stability in the agricultural economy in a particular location. Changing economic circumstances, such as the recession and its impact on the potential development value of property, may make new enrollments less attractive than in periods of rapidly rising property values. Additionally, some counties froze enrollment, at least temporarily, in response to the loss of Open Space Subvention payments from the State.

**Table 6**

**Counties* with the Greatest Amount of New Enrollments**

| 2010 | | | | 2011 | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Ranking | | County | Acres | Ranking | | County | Acres |
| 2009 | 2010 | | | 2010 | 2011 | | |
| 14 | 1 | Lassen | 9,935 | 2 | 1 | Monterey | 16,017 |
| 5 | 2 | Monterey | 4,615 | 19 | 2 | Calaveras | 3,000 |
| 10 | 3 | Butte | 2,739 | 8 | 3 | Glenn | 2,755 |
| 4 | 4 | Kern | 2,400 | 4 | 4 | Kern | 2,113 |
| 8 | 5 | San Luis Obispo | 2,369 | n/a | 5 | Alameda | 1,621 |
| 2 | 6 | Siskiyou | 1,901 | 5 | 6 | San Luis Obispo | 1,080 |
| 24 | 7 | Humboldt | 1,401 | 7 | 7 | Humboldt | 1,040 |
| 7 | 8 | Glenn | 1,367 | n/a | 8 | Amador | 711 |
| 15 | 9 | Tehama | 1,264 | 11 | 9 | Santa Barbara | 478 |
| n/a | 10 | Stanislaus | 1,128 | 9 | 10 | Tehama | 445 |

*Based on reporting from 42 out of 52 counties with Land Conservation Act contracts.

**Table 7**

**Regional Ranking by the Amount of New Enrollments***

| 2010 | | 2011 | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Region | Acres | Region | Acres |
| North Coast & Mountain | 13,335 | Bay & Central Coast | 19,068 |
| Bay & Central Coast | 7,931 | Foothill & Sierra | 4,065 |
| Sacramento Valley | 6,271 | Sacramento Valley | 3,473 |
| San Joaquin Valley | 5,854 | San Joaquin Valley | 2,267 |
| South Coast & Desert | 1,400 | North Coast & Mountain | 1,040 |
| Foothill & Sierra | 787 | South Coast & Desert | 518 |
| Total | 35,579 | Total | 30,432 |

*Based on reporting from 42 out of 52 counties with Land Conservation Act contracts.

While new enrollments for the past decade averaged 88,762 acres annually, they have decreased in recent years. Particularly between 2010 and 2011, when new enrollments decreased from 35,579 acres to 30,432 acres, a decrease of 14.5 percent (Tables A-8 and A-9). Coastal and mountain counties have been among the most active in new enrollments, as seen in Tables 6 and 7.

Since 1991, the greatest amount of new enrollment acreage occurred in 2001 (497,503 acres), and the least occurred in 2011 (30,432 acres).

## Farmland Security Zones – Transfers and Total Acreage

A Farmland Security Zone (FSZ) transfer[13] is the rescission of an existing Land Conservation Act contract with the concurrent creation of an FSZ contract on the same land. FSZ transfers do not result in a net change to the amount of contracted acreage within a county. These transfers signify a 20-year agricultural commitment. This

[12] New enrollments include new Land Conservation Act contracts as well as new Farmland Security Zone contracts on land not previously under a Land Conservation Act contract, and other lands that would qualify for Open Space Subvention payments.
[13] As enacted in 1998, the FSZ provisions allowed for the creation of a FSZ contract only through the rescission of an existing contract. That requirement was changed on January 1, 2000 thereafter allowing non-contracted land to go directly into an FSZ contract. Since January 2000, new FSZ contracts are either reported under new enrollments or as a transfer from a Land Conservation Act contract.

commitment is made possible only upon a deliberate action by the county in adopting the FSZ program and subsequently, by the landowner in petitioning for such a transfer.

During the 2010 and 2011 reporting period, Monterey County dominated the FSZ transfers with 6,278 and 2,371 acres, respectively; comprising more than 96 percent of the transferred acres. Glenn and Ventura counties were the only other locations with FSZ transfers during this period (Tables A-3 and A-4).

In both years, the amounts of FSZ transfers were well below the annual average of 26,201 acres for the past decade. Since 1991, FSZ transfers ranged from a high of 209,480 acres in 1999, to a low of 1,007 acres in 2006.

As of January 1, 2011, 21 counties reported some percentage of their Land Conservation Act land under FSZ contract (Table 8 and Table A-2). The proportion of contracted land devoted to FSZ enrollment among individual counties ranged from a high of 42.49 percent (Kings County) to 0.02 percent (Santa Barbara County), averaging 8.15 percent. Regionally, the Bay Area and Central Coast, the Sacramento Valley, and the San Joaquin Valley have greater than 2 percent of their total amount of enrolled land under FSZ contract (2.4 percent, 3.8 percent and 5.5 percent, respectively).

**Table 8**

**Farmland Security Zone Acreage and Percentage of Total Enrollment By County in 2011**

| County | FSZ Acres | Enrollment Total | Percent of Total |
|---|---|---|---|
| Kings | 287,810 | 677,320 | 42 |
| Glenn | 90,438 | 424,243 | 21 |
| Colusa | 59,388 | 320,060 | 19 |
| Marin | 17,507 | 103,382 | 17 |
| Sierra | 5,730 | 40,548 | 14 |
| Madera | 62,742 | 539,140 | 12 |
| San Joaquin | 59,998 | 530,985 | 11 |
| Kern | 158,927 | 1,699,132 | 9 |
| Monterey | 54,749 | 789,616 | 7 |
| Lassen | 19,503 | 334,534 | 6 |
| Placer | 1,696 | 43,519 | 4 |
| Ventura | 3,083 | 127,917 | 2 |
| Fresno | 29,281 | 1,494,558 | 2 |
| Tehama | 11,528 | 800,868 | 1 |
| Tulare | 11,152 | 1,098,168 | 1 |
| Santa Cruz | 123 | 16,083 | 1 |
| El Dorado | 185 | 34,206 | 1 |
| Humboldt | 697 | 203,143 | 0 |
| San Luis Obispo | 773 | 792,577 | 0 |
| Yolo | 159 | 355,658 | 0 |
| Santa Barbara | 133 | 545,501 | 0 |

Since 1999, the FSZ program has steadily increased the total enrolled acreage from 210,990 acres to 875,604 acres in 2011.

## Nonrenewal Initiations

**Government Code § 51245**

The nonrenewal of a Land Conservation Act contract may be initiated by either the landowner or the local government. This is the preferred method for ending the contract in an orderly fashion, as the contract winds down during the remaining years of the term. Nonrenewal often occurs with the anticipation of converting farmland to other uses.

**Table 9**

**Counties* with the Greatest Amount of Nonrenewal Initiations**

| 2010 | | | | 2011 | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Ranking | | County | Acres | Ranking | | County | Acres |
| 2009 | 2010 | | | 2010 | 2011 | | |
| 5 | 1 | Kern | 8,134 | n/a | 1 | Imperial | 117,246 |
| n/a | 2 | Monterey | 1,649 | 11 | 2 | Santa Clara | 36,977 |
| 7 | 3 | Tulare | 1,598 | 1 | 3 | Kern | 4,205 |
| 2 | 4 | Sacramento | 1,403 | 3 | 4 | Tulare | 3,845 |
| 6 | 5 | Fresno | 1,144 | n/a | 5 | Mariposa | 2,945 |
| 23 | 6 | Lassen | 1,018 | 2 | 6 | Monterey | 1,386 |
| n/a | 7 | Tuolumne | 982 | 4 | 7 | Sacramento | 863 |
| 8 | 8 | Madera | 855 | 21 | 8 | San Joaquin | 474 |
| n/a | 9 | Stanislaus | 755 | 22 | 9 | San Luis Obispo | 388 |
| n/a | 10 | Humboldt | 368 | n/a | 10 | Merced | 362 |

*Based on reporting from 42 out of 52 counties with Land Conservation Act contracts.

The impact of the economy on nonrenewal trends was evident in statewide statistics over the past decade, during which nonrenewal initiations increased each year from 2001 (16,148 acres) to 2007 (157,805 acres). Over the next three years, as the recession slowed the demand for urban expansion, nonrenewal initiation acreages fell sharply, to 19,967 acres in 2010.

**Table 10**

**Regional Ranking by the Amount of Nonrenewal Initiations***

| 2010 | | 2011 | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Region | Acres | Region | Acres |
| San Joaquin Valley | 12,590 | South Coast & Desert | 117,434 |
| Bay & Central Coast | 2,642 | Bay & Central Coast | 39,101 |
| Foothill & Sierra | 1,695 | San Joaquin Valley | 8,966 |
| Sacramento Valley | 1,655 | Foothill & Sierra | 3,584 |
| North Coast & Mountain | 1,385 | Sacramento Valley | 1,258 |
| South Coast & Desert | 0 | North Coast & Mountain | 263 |
| Total | 19,967 | Total | 170,605 |

*Based on reporting from 42 out of 52 counties with Land Conservation Act contracts.

In 2011, other factors led to a sharp increase in nonrenewal initiations. The elimination of State Open Space Subvention payments led Imperial County to initiate nonrenewal[14] on all 117,246 acres remaining under contract (Tables 9 and 10). Imperial County had entered the Land Conservation Act Program in 2000. While in Santa Clara County, nearly 37,000 acres entered nonrenewal in 2011, as the County sought to remove parcels that no longer met enrollment criteria from contract. These two factors drove the statewide nonrenewal initiation acreage to its highest level since the inception of the Land Conservation Act, at 170,605 acres. The annual average for nonrenewal initiations was 74,305 acres for the past decade.

## Nonrenewal Expirations

**Government Code**
**§ 51246**

During the years when a property is in the nonrenewal process, its property tax assessment gradually increases, returning to full market value at the end of the contract's term. Upon conclusion of the contract, also known as nonrenewal expiration, land use restrictions are removed from the property.

**Table 11**

**Counties* with the Greatest Amount of Nonrenewal Expirations**

| 2010 | | | | 2011 | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Ranking | | County | Acres | Ranking | | County | Acres |
| 2009 | 2010 | | | 2010 | 2011 | | |
| 10 | 1 | Santa Barbara | 5,145 | 3 | 1 | San Benito | 2,418 |
| 5 | 2 | San Joaquin | 2,211 | 2 | 2 | San Joaquin | 2,110 |
| 14 | 3 | San Benito | 1,816 | 10 | 3 | Kern | 1,969 |
| 8 | 4 | Kings | 1,619 | 1 | 4 | Santa Barbara | 1,627 |
| n/a | 5 | Santa Cruz | 1,340 | 27 | 5 | Ventura | 1,203 |
| 4 | 6 | Placer | 1,314 | 25 | 6 | Contra Costa | 1,043 |
| 2 | 7 | San Luis Obispo | 846 | 8 | 7 | Tulare | 911 |
| 13 | 8 | Tulare | 779 | 9 | 8 | Tehama | 744 |
| 15 | 9 | Tehama | 747 | 22 | 9 | Santa Clara | 699 |
| 7 | 10 | Kern | 686 | n/a | 10 | Amador | 685 |

*Based on reporting from 42 out of 52 counties with Land Conservation Act contracts.

From 2010 to 2011, nonrenewal expirations decreased by approximately 14 percent, to 15,617 acres, which is below the annual average of 20,571 acres of the past decade (Table 11). A complete list of 2010 and 2011 Nonrenewal Expirations is located in Appendix A, Table A-11.

[14] Imperial County Board of Supervisors Official Proceedings, February 23, 2010; reaffirmed October12, 2010. See also articles at Imperial Valley Press Online, http://articles.ivpressonline.com/keyword/williamson-act.

The San Joaquin Valley region experienced the largest amount of nonrenewal expirations in both reporting years (Table 12).

Since 1991, the greatest amount of nonrenewal expirations occurred in 1999 (118,391 acres), and the least in 2006 (11,934 acres).

**Table 12**

**Regional Ranking by the Amount of Nonrenewal Expirations***

| 2010 | | 2011 | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Region | Acres | Region | Acres |
| San Joaquin Valley | 6,314 | San Joaquin Valley | 5,212 |
| South Coast & Desert | 5,172 | Bay & Central Coast | 4,929 |
| Bay & Central Coast | 4,666 | South Coast & Desert | 2,830 |
| Sacramento Valley | 1,550 | Foothill & Sierra | 1,265 |
| Foothill & Sierra | 1,488 | Sacramento Valley | 1,238 |
| North Coast & Mountain | 184 | North Coast & Mountain | 144 |
| Total | 19,375 | Total | 15,617 |

*Based on reporting from 42 out of 52 counties with Land Conservation Act contracts.

## Total Acreage in Nonrenewal

During any calendar year, there is a cumulative total of land that is somewhere within the phased nonrenewal process. In 2010, there were 502,859 acres of 10-year contracts and 17,663 acres of FSZ land under nonrenewal (Tables A-5 and A-6). The San Joaquin Valley held the most land in this status, led by 92,564 acres in Kern County. Stanislaus, San Joaquin, and Madera counties each had in excess of 35,000 acres in cumulative nonrenewal during 2010.

During 2011, cumulative nonrenewals totaled 612,583 acres in 10-year contracts and 18,843 acres of FSZ land (Tables A-5 and A-7). Imperial County's decision to exit the Land Conservation Act Program accounted for 19 percent of land currently in nonrenewal statewide. Kern County had the second highest acreage in nonrenewal (94,801 acres), followed by Santa Clara County (51,589 acres).

On a percentage basis, San Bernardino and Imperial counties led in the amount of land under nonrenewal in 2010 and 2011, respectively (Table 13). Placer County also had 20 percent or more of its total enrolled land in nonrenewal during both years.

**Table 13**

**Counties* with the Largest Percentage of Enrollment Under Nonrenewal**

| 2010 | | | | 2011 | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Ranking | | County | % | Ranking | | County | % |
| 2009 | 2010 | | | 2010 | 2011 | | |
| 2 | 1 | San Bernardino | 25 | 4 | 1 | Imperial | 100 |
| 3 | 2 | Placer | 21 | 2 | 2 | San Bernardino | 25 |
| 4 | 3 | Imperial | 16 | 3 | 3 | Placer | 20 |
| n/a | 4 | Tuolumne | 14 | 13 | 4 | Santa Clara | 17 |
| 5 | 5 | Mariposa | 10 | 5 | 5 | Tuolumne | 13 |
| 6 | 6 | Santa Barbara | 8 | 6 | 6 | Mariposa | 12 |
| 8 | 7 | San Joaquin | 7 | 7 | 7 | Santa Barbara | 7 |
| n/a | 8 | Stanislaus | 6 | 8 | 8 | San Joaquin | 7 |
| 9 | 9 | Madera | 6 | 11 | 9 | Sacramento | 6 |
| n/a | 10 | Sacramento | 6 | 10 | 10 | Madera | 6 |

*Based on reporting from 42 out of 52 counties with Land Conservation Act contracts.

## Contract Cancellations

**Government Code § 51280 et seq.**

A cancellation is the immediate termination of a contract by a landowner, which requires payment of a cancellation fee and board of supervisors or city council approval based on rigorous findings.

State law limits the use of cancellation to narrow conditions. Due to the specific findings required for approval of a contract cancellation, only a small fraction of yearly contract terminations occur as a result of cancellation. Over the past decade, cancellations averaged 817 acres per year.

In 2010, cancellations totaled 64 acres, all located in the San Joaquin Valley; while in 2011 Calaveras County was responsible for all but one acre of the 676 acres reported as cancelled (Table 14). More than 99 percent of the land cancelled in Calaveras County was classified as Non-Prime.

**Table 14**

**Counties* with Cancellations**

| 2010 | | | | 2011 | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Ranking | | County | Acres | Ranking | | County | Acres |
| 2009 | 2010 | | | 2010 | 2011 | | |
| n/a | 1 | Fresno | 61 | n/a | 1 | Calaveras | 675 |
| n/a | 2 | Stanislaus | 3 | n/a | 2 | Kern | 1 |

*Based on reporting from 42 out of 52 counties with Land Conservation Act contracts.

Since 1991, the greatest amount of cancellations occurred in 1995 (5,694 acres) and the least in 2009 (7 acres).

## Public Acquisitions

**Government Code § 51290 et seq.**

Public agencies may acquire Land Conservation Act contracted land for a wide range of public improvements. Common reasons for governmental acquisitions of contracted land include: transportation improvements, schools, wildlife habitat, water resource infrastructure, and public open space. Before acquiring contracted lands, a public agency must make findings that no other non-contracted land reasonably feasible for the purpose is available, and that the lower cost of contracted land is not a primary factor in its decision. A public acquisition will result in the immediate termination of a Land Conservation Act contract if the public agency meets the appropriate notification requirements as outlined in Government Code § 51290 et seq. and acquires the land via eminent domain[15] or in lieu of eminent domain.

**Table 15**

**Counties* with the Greatest Amount of Public Acquisitions**

| 2010 | | | | 2011 | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Ranking | | County | Acres | Ranking | | County | Acres |
| 2009 | 2010 | | | 2010 | 2011 | | |
| 2 | 1 | Kern | 4,102 | 1 | 1 | Kern | 378 |
| 8 | 2 | Alameda | 1,155 | 4 | 2 | Santa Clara | 260 |
| n/a | 3 | Tehama | 879 | 5 | 3 | Monterey | 183 |
| n/a | 4 | Santa Clara | 500 | 6 | 4 | Contra Costa | 121 |
| n/a | 5 | Monterey | 389 | 11 | 5 | Sacramento | 121 |
| 5 | 6 | Contra Costa | 372 | 9 | 6 | San Diego | 84 |
| 1 | 7 | Fresno | 258 | 10 | 7 | San Benito | 2 |
| n/a | 8 | Stanislaus | 131 | 16 | 8 | Ventura | 2 |
| n/a | 9 | San Diego | 128 | 12 | 9 | Placer | 1 |
| n/a | 10 | San Benito | 43 | - | - | - | - |

*Based on reporting from 42 out of 52 counties with Land Conservation Act contracts.

From 2009 to 2010, public acquisitions increased by approximately 36 percent, from 5,156 to 8,048 acres. Kern County led in this category during 2010 (Table 15), with nearly 1,900 acres acquired by the Semitropic Water Storage District and more than 2,100 acres acquired by the North River Sanitation District. Alameda County ranked second in public acquisitions during 2010, with more than 1,150 acres purchased by the East Bay Regional Park District. Third-ranked Tehama County was primarily affected by a federal government purchase of more than 640 acres.

In 2011, the number of publicly acquired acres decreased by approximately 86 percent to 1,152 acres – well below the annual average of 26,664 acres for the past decade. Kern County had the highest amount of publicly acquired acreage in 2010 and 2011 (Table 15). Regionally, the San Joaquin Valley, Bay Area, and Central Coast

[15] Eminent Domain Law, Code of Civil Procedure §1230.10, et seq.

had the highest amount of publicly acquired acreage in 2010 and 2011, respectively (Table 16). Complete lists of 2010 and 2011 Public Acquisitions are located in Tables A-15 and A-16.

Since 1991, the greatest amount of publicly acquired acres occurred in 2005 (70,334) and the least in 2011 (1,152).

**Table 16**

**Regional Ranking by the Amount of Public Acquisitions***

| 2010 | | 2011 | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Region | Acres | Region | Acres |
| San Joaquin Valley | 4,513 | Bay & Central Coast | 566 |
| Bay & Central Coast | 2,461 | San Joaquin Valley | 378 |
| Sacramento Valley | 915 | Sacramento Valley | 121 |
| South Coast & Desert | 132 | South Coast & Desert | 86 |
| Foothill & Sierra | 27 | Foothill & Sierra | 1 |
| North Coast & Mountain | 0 | North Coast & Mountain | 0 |
| Total | 8,048 | Total | 1,152 |

*Based on reporting from 42 out of 52 counties with Land Conservation Act contracts.

## City Annexations

**Government Code § 51243.5**

A Land Conservation Act contract may be immediately terminated when the land is annexed to a city. For this termination to occur, the Local Agency Formation Commission for the area must determine that the city protested placement of the original Land Conservation Act contract in a valid manner.

Certain contracts executed prior to 1991 may be terminated through city annexation only if the city filed a valid protest upon county notification at the time of contract formation. At present, the total amount of contracted acreage covered by protested contracts statewide is unknown.

From 2009 to 2010, the amount of contracted land annexed by cities increased by approximately 34 percent from 539 to 818 acres (Table 17). In 2011, no contracted land was annexed by cities. The decrease in annexations may be associated with the recession, as annexations averaged 1,438 acres per year for the past decade.

**Table 17**

**Counties* with Annexations of Contracted Land to Cities**

| 2010 | | | | 2011 | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Ranking | | County | Acres | Ranking | | County | Acres |
| 2009 | 2010 | | | 2010 | 2011 | | |
| n/a | 1 | Stanislaus | 675 | - | - | - | - |
| 3 | 2 | San Joaquin | 125 | - | - | - | - |
| n/a | 3 | Madera | 19 | - | - | - | - |

*Based on reporting from 42 out of 52 counties with Land Conservation Act contracts.

Since 1991, the greatest amount of annexed acreage occurred in 2000 (9,961 acres) and the least in 2011 (zero acres).

## Net Adjustments

Local governments have the difficult task of monitoring all of the changes that affect the approximately 15.0 million reported acres contracted under the Land Conservation Act.[16] Adjustments are the reconciliation of errors in records or previous reports, re-mappings or re-surveys, lot line adjustments, or parcel divisions. These adjustments are partly a result of the elimination of local government program administration errors, and those related to enrollment data input and data analysis.

As seen in Tables 18 and 19, adjustments can represent an increase or a decrease in enrolled acreage. From 2009 to 2010, net adjustments made by reporting counties decreased by approximately 91 percent from a net gain of 12,487 acres to a net gain of 1,077 acres.

[16] Adjusting for the ten counties that did not supply data in 2011, statewide total enrollment is estimated to be 16.3 million acres. See Chapter 1, page 7.

From 2010 to 2011, the amount of net adjustments increased by approximately 98 percent resulting in a statewide net decrease of 61,696 acres. The 2011 figure represented approximately 77 percent of the total contract terminations statewide.[17]

In 2011, Yolo County ranked first in the amount of adjustments. This large decrease in contracted acres is attributed to discrepancies between the Assessor's database and actual contracted acreage, resulting in an over reporting of contracted acreage for several years. Net adjustments for all counties are outlined in Tables A-19 and A-20.

Since 1994, the largest number of adjusted acres occurred in 2011 (61,696 acre decrease) and the smallest number occurred in 2007 (441 acre decrease).

**Table 18**

**Counties* with the Greatest Amount of Adjustments (Net)**

| 2010 | | | | 2011 | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Ranking | | County | Acres | Ranking | | County | Acres |
| 2009 | 2010 | | | 2010 | 2011 | | |
| 5 | 1 | Fresno | 1,166 | 2 | 1 | Yolo | -61,859 |
| n/a | 2 | Yolo | -1,071 | 6 | 2 | San Luis Obispo | -917 |
| 4 | 3 | Placer | 1,047 | 3 | 3 | Placer | 775 |
| 10 | 4 | Lassen | -793 | 13 | 4 | Amador | -339 |
| 8 | 5 | Merced | -714 | 16 | 5 | Napa | 329 |
| 2 | 6 | San Luis Obispo | 500 | 14 | 6 | San Joaquin | 127 |
| n/a | 7 | Stanislaus | 479 | 22 | 7 | Alameda | 120 |
| n/a | 8 | Mendocino | -445 | 23 | 8 | Mariposa | -96 |
| 6 | 9 | Sonoma | 384 | n/a | 9 | Monterey | 85 |
| 26 | 10 | Butte | 338 | 12 | 10 | Santa Barbara | 81 |

*Based on reporting from 42 out of 52 counties with Land Conservation Act contracts.

**Table 19**

**Regional Ranking by the Amount of Adjustments (Net)***

| 2010 | | 2011 | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Region | Acres | Region | Acres |
| North Coast & Mountain | -1,332 | Sacramento Valley | -61,868 |
| Sacramento Valley | -594 | Bay & Central Coast | -433 |
| South Coast & Desert | 174 | North Coast & Mountain | -37 |
| Foothill & Sierra | 860 | South Coast & Desert | 140 |
| San Joaquin Valley | 905 | San Joaquin Valley | 185 |
| Bay & Central Coast | 1,063 | Foothill & Sierra | 317 |
| Total | 1,077 | Total | -61,696 |

*Based on reporting from 42 out of 52 counties with Land Conservation Act contracts.

[17] Decreases in the total number of contracted acreage have been included with terminations for the purposes of evaluating the overall loss in contract acreage. A "Net Adjustment" is not a true method of contract termination. It represents corrections and statistical adjustments by counties in reporting annual data to the Department of Conservation. These adjustments can be positive or negative.

This page left intentionally blank.

# Chapter 3:
# Longer-Term Trends in the Land Conservation Act

**How the most recent years compare with data from the past decade**

Longer-term trends in statewide Land Conservation Act enrollment are based on large amounts of data. For ease of understanding, this report relies on trend charts and brief interpretations. The nonreporting status of various counties (see Table 3, Chapter 1) has an unknown impact on how well these charts reflect actual statewide summaries in the most recent reporting years.

## A Decade of Land Conservation Act Contract Termination Trends

Statutorily, there are five ways to terminate a Land Conservation Act contract: nonrenewal, cancellation, public acquisition, city annexation, and easement exchange. For reporting purposes, acres may also be removed on paper via "Net Adjustments." Contract terminations that have occurred over the past decade are depicted in Figure 4. They included, in order of magnitude: non-renewal; public acquisition; net adjustment; city annexations; easement exchanges; and cancellations. These termination methods are discussed in more detail below.

Figure 4




Nonrenewal: The nonrenewal process is the most common (and recommended) mechanism for the termination of Land Conservation Act contracted land. Since 2000, more contracted acreage has been terminated through nonrenewal expiration than all other methods of termination combined. From 2000 to 2011, nonrenewal expirations have averaged 33,900 acres per year statewide.

The cumulative acreage of land in the ten year nonrenewal process was relatively low in the early part of the past decade, but increased significantly beginning in 2006 (Figure 5). Approximately 630,000 acres were in nonrenewal (including FSZ nonrenewals) in 2011, about 4.2 percent of total reported enrollment. This includes the entire enrollment of Imperial County. Despite the impact of Imperial County's acreage, land in nonrenewal remains lower than the peak year of 1993, when nearly 700,000 acres were being phased out of the program.

Figure 5




Figure 6




Figure 6 depicts the acreage of cumulative nonrenewals enrolled as Prime land versus that of Non-Prime land. Non-renewals initiations (Figure 7) steadily grew to a peak in 2007, when the economy was rapidly growing, which in turn caused peak in the cumulative non-renewals the same year. While nonrenewal of Prime land has historically been lower than that of Non-Prime land, in recent years the gap has disappeared. By 2011, the amount of land in nonrenewal was relatively equal between the two enrollment classes.

Finally, in Figure 7, the amount of land being initiated in the nonrenewal process is depicted along with that exiting the ten year nonrenewal process. The peaks in nonrenewal initiation in mid-decade and in 2011 represent two distinct land use and fiscal factors. Nonrenewals steadily grew to a peak in 2007, when the economy was rapidly growing, but plummeted as the recession took hold. The spike in 2011 is comprised primarily of the countywide nonrenewal by Imperial County, which was filed in response to the elimination of Open Space Subvention payments.

Figure 7



Public Acquisition: Public acquisitions have been the second leading source of contract termination acreage over the past decade. When public entities acquire land via eminent domain, or in lieu of eminent domain, Land Conservation Act contracts are terminated. However, if acquired through a willing seller agreement or donation, the contract continues to be in place. Public acquisition resulting in contract termination was particularly common between 2002 and 2006 (Figure 8). This may have a relationship with projects funded out of various water, parks, school facilities, and transportation infrastructure bonds that were passed by California voters during that period.[18] In recent years these acquisitions have declined, reaching their lowest level in 2011 (1,152 acres). From 2000 to 2011, public acquisitions have averaged 29,380 acres per year statewide.

Figure 8




Net Adjustments: A "Net Adjustment" does not reflect actual contract termination. It represents corrections and statistical adjustments by counties in reporting annual data to the Department of Conservation. These adjustments can be positive or negative. The fluctuation in Net Adjustments decreased in the latter part of the past decade, but an adjustment of nearly 62,000 acres in Yolo County resulted in a large negative net adjustment statewide (Figure 9). From 2000 to 2011, county reported adjustments led to an average shift of 13,389 acres per year in enrollment totals statewide.

Figure 9




[18] A complete list of general obligation bonds is contained in this report from the State Treasurer's Office: http://www.treasurer.ca.gov/publications/2011dar.pdf.

City Annexations: The actual amount of contracted land terminated through annexation is overstated since this analysis assumes that affected contracts are terminated upon annexation.[19] Annexation acreage has fluctuated over the past decade. From 2000 to 2011, city annexations averaged 2,309 acres per year statewide.

Easement Exchanges: This method of contract termination became available in 1998. The process to complete an exchange is detailed and exhaustive; thus as of 2011, a total of only six Land Conservation Act easement exchanges have taken place. During that time, Land Conservation Act contracts were rescinded on 835 acres in exchange for the placement of permanent agricultural conservation easements on 1,747 acres. The past decade had all but one of these transactions, comprising 802 acres of Land Conservation Act enrolled land being exchanged for 1,657 acres of permanent conservation easement land. Counties with successful easement exchanges included Alameda, Contra Costa, Riverside, San Joaquin, Santa Barbara, and Sonoma. A number of other projects have been proposed for Easement Exchange but have not proceeded to completion.

Cancellations: Early cancellation of Land Conservation Act contracts is the least common method of exiting the program. The cancellation process requires detailed findings by local governments to justify an early termination of the contract. Over the past decade, cancellation acreage totaled 9,424 acres, fluctuating with economic factors including the recession. Cancellations were highest in 2004 (2,933 acres) and lowest in 2009 and 2010 (7 and 64 acres, respectively, Figure 10).

Figure 10

Cancellations: 2000-2011




## Land Conservation Act Termination Trends Summary

Between 2000 and 2011, the five methods of Land Conservation Act contract termination totaled 878,986 acres, with contract nonrenewals and acquisitions by public agencies being the primary vehicles used to exit the program (Table 20).

Table 20

Cumulative Acres Terminated by Category: 2000-2011

| Method of Termination | Acres | Percent of Total |
|---|---|---|
| Nonrenewal Expirations | 372,903 | 42.42 |
| Public Acquisitions | 323,176 | 36.77 |
| City Annexations | 25,401 | 2.89 |
| Easement Exchanges | 802 | 0.09 |
| Cancellations | 9,424 | 1.07 |
| Net Adjustments | 147,280 | 16.76 |
| | 878,986 | 100.00 |

[19] Termination upon annexation can only occur when a city is able to make the specified findings and determinations listed in Government Code §51243.5. If all applicable findings and determinations are made the city may opt not to succeed to the contract (i.e., the city can elected not to enforce the contract) and file a certificate of contract termination.

# Chapter 4:
# Statewide Land Conservation Act Administration, Support, and Legislation

**Maintaining conservation values while addressing fiscal limitations**

The 2012 Land Conservation Act Status Report documents some of the largest challenges yet faced by the Program, at both county and State levels. Decreased tax revenues linked to the recession decreased the capacity of the State to support counties in their administration of the Program, most notably through the elimination of Open Space Subventions. Other land use concerns began to take greater precedence, and legislation was enacted to address each of these subjects during the course of the reporting period.

## Reported Eligible Acreage and Subvention Application Totals

The Open Space Subvention Act provides for the partial replacement of local property tax revenues foregone as a result of participation in the Land Conservation Act and other enforceable restriction programs.

Since the first Open Space Subvention payments in FY 1972, the State has distributed over $863 million ($1.5 billion in inflation adjusted dollars, see Appendix B) to counties and cities in support of the Land Conservation Act's goals. In 2011, 13,581,194 acres were reported as eligible, equating to $34,391,771 in claimed subventions. In 2010, 15,330,421 acres were reported as eligible, while $37,319,384 was claimed in subventions

(Tables A-23 and A-24). Actual subvention payments, which had been increasing since 1996, declined each year from 2004 to 2011.

The top ten counties have remained fairly stable over the years in terms of subvention claims. The eight San Joaquin Valley counties comprised eight of the top positions in 2010, rounded out with Yolo and San Luis Obispo counties. In 2011 seven San Joaquin Valley counties comprised the top positions (Table 21), while Stanislaus County did not report.

**Table 21**

**Counties* with the Largest Subvention Application Amounts**

| 2010 | | | | 2011 | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Ranking | | County | Dollars | Ranking | | County | Dollars |
| 2009 | 2010 | | | 2010 | 2011 | | |
| 1 | 1 | Fresno | 5,322,505 | 1 | 1 | Fresno | 5,322,505 |
| 2 | 2 | Kern | 4,651,520 | 2 | 2 | Kern | 4,645,715 |
| 3 | 3 | Tulare | 3,437,149 | 3 | 3 | Tulare | 3,430,347 |
| 4 | 4 | Kings | 2,595,128 | 4 | 4 | Kings | 2,647,750 |
| 5 | 5 | San Joaquin | 1,880,145 | 5 | 5 | San Joaquin | 1,884,317 |
| 6 | 6 | Merced | 1,473,345 | 6 | 6 | Merced | 1,473,131 |
| 7 | 7 | Madera | 1,394,289 | 7 | 7 | Madera | 1,384,406 |
| n/a | 8 | Stanislaus | 1,354,180 | 10 | 8 | San Luis Obispo | 1,128,599 |
| 8 | 9 | Yolo | 1,140,246 | 11 | 9 | Glenn | 1,068,383 |
| 9 | 10 | San Luis Obispo | 1,099,135 | 12 | 10 | Monterey | 1,043,459 |

*Based on reporting from 42 out of 52 counties with Land Conservation Act contracts.

During this reporting period (2010 and 2011) the San Joaquin Valley accounted for approximately 43 percent of the total statewide Land Conservation Act enrollment and approximately 60 percent of total subvention payment claims (Table 22 & Table 23).

**Table 22**
**2010 Open Space Subvention Act Payment Claims By Region* ($)**

| | Land Conservation Act | | Farmland Security Zone | | | | Agricultural Conservation Easement | | Other Eligible Open Space | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Urban | | Non-Urban | | | | | |
| Region | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | | |
| Bay & Central Coast | 1,058,851 | 2,615,379 | 233,667 | 30,205 | 57,503 | 22,400 | 5,449 | 69 | 2,613 | 4,026,136 |
| Foothill & Sierra | 152,723 | 590,475 | 410 | 14,005 | 25 | 5,805 | 0 | 360 | 129 | 763,932 |
| North Coast & Mountain | 953,610 | 1,533,995 | 4,364 | 272 | 58,712 | 7,877 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,558,830 |
| Sacramento Valley | 3,622,397 | 1,638,197 | 263,567 | 26,718 | 577,715 | 9,179 | 10,692 | 2,932 | 0 | 6,151,396 |
| San Joaquin Valley | 15,979,499 | 2,899,958 | 753,303 | 5,742 | 2,442,596 | 26,332 | 1,639 | 0 | 686 | 22,109,754 |
| South Coast & Desert | 1,085,783 | 556,836 | 12,794 | 5,758 | 2,807 | 238 | 889 | 5,358 | 40,031 | 1,710,494 |
| **Totals** | 22,852,863 | 9,834,840 | 1,268,105 | 82,700 | 3,139,357 | 71,832 | 18,668 | 8,718 | 43,459 | 37,320,542 |

*As a result of Government Code section 16148, the above OSSA payments were effectively eliminated.

**Table 23**
**2011 Open Space Subvention Act Payment Claims By Region* ($)**

| | Land Conservation Act | | Farmland Security Zone | | | | Agricultural Conservation Easement | | Other Eligible Open Space | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Urban | | Non-Urban | | | | | |
| Region | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | | |
| Bay & Central Coast | 1,067,698 | 2,603,091 | 283,814 | 30,205 | 57,503 | 22,400 | 5,449 | 69 | 2,613 | 4,072,842 |
| Foothill & Sierra | 170,283 | 587,982 | 410 | 14,005 | 25 | 5,805 | 0 | 360 | 129 | 779,000 |
| North Coast & Mountain | 696,946 | 972,111 | 4,364 | 272 | 58,712 | 7,877 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,740,282 |
| Sacramento Valley | 3,310,728 | 1,634,951 | 263,606 | 30,720 | 577,715 | 9,179 | 10,692 | 2,932 | 0 | 5,840,522 |
| San Joaquin Valley | 15,002,427 | 2,555,463 | 753,287 | 5,742 | 2,442,596 | 26,332 | 1,639 | 0 | 686 | 20,788,171 |
| South Coast & Desert | 555,869 | 546,430 | 13,575 | 5,758 | 2,807 | 238 | 889 | 5,358 | 40,031 | 1,170,954 |
| **Totals** | 20,803,951 | 8,900,027 | 1,319,057 | 86,702 | 3,139,357 | 71,832 | 18,668 | 8,718 | 43,459 | 34,391,771 |

*As a result of Government Code section 16148, the above OSSA payments were effectively eliminated.

While prime agricultural land constitutes about one-third of the statewide enrollment, it accounted for roughly 74 percent of total subvention claims in 2011. Other enforceably restricted lands, including Open Space Easement lands that qualify for subvention payments, accounted for 0.1 percent of total subvention claims in 2011.

Not all Land Conservation Act contracted lands are eligible for subvention payments. For example, local governments generally cannot claim subventions on contracted land that is under nonrenewal or valued lower for property tax purposes under Revenue and Taxation Code Section 110.1 (Proposition 13).

In both 2010 and 2011, approximately 3 percent of the reported statewide enrollment was not eligible for subvention payments.

## Compliance Audits

In 1988, audits of jurisdictions participating in the Land Conservation Act and Open Space Subvention Act program were initiated. Audits were designed to evaluate two primary issue areas:

- Payments of subvention claims for ineligible land. This has been the most frequent cause of subvention overpayments, either because the land was in the contract nonrenewal process, or it was valued lower under Proposition 13 than for valuation under Land Conservation Act contracts.
- Lack of payment of cancellation fees. These fees are paid by landowners who have cancelled contracts with local governments, which in turn must transmit the funds to the State Controller's Office within the statutorily required timeframe.

The initial audit of several counties was conducted on behalf of the Department by the state Department of General Services (DGS). It resulted in the recapture of approximately $550,000. In FY 1996, the Department began an annual compliance audit program through contracts with the Department of Finance. This program resulted in a return to the General Fund of more than $2 million from the recapture of subvention overpayments and unpaid contract cancellation fees. More recent audits were conducted by the Department in-house (Table 23, next page), but these have been suspended since FY 2009 due to a lack of funding. Another major benefit of the audit process has been to ensure that local jurisdictions are using the appropriate procedures to administer the Program as required by statute. Counties have significant latitude in the uniform rules they adopt regarding the Program, but ultimately must meet statutory requirements to ensure responsible fiscal and land use decision making. A number of the audits listed in Table 24, as well as spot reviews undertaken in other fiscal years, have helped to correct procedures relating to lot line adjustments, incompatible uses, acreage reporting, and Land Conservation Act contract language.

**Table 24**

**Recaptured Subvention Payments From Audits**

| Fiscal Year | Counties Audited | Recaptured Subvention |
|---|---|---|
| 1998-99 (1) | San Luis Obispo, Riverside, Monterey, Tehama | 958,497 |
| 1999-00 | Colusa, San Diego, Yolo | 50,406 |
| 2000-01 | Contra Costa, Glenn, San Benito, Santa Barbara, | 5,000 |
| 2001-02 | Marin, Mendocino, Placer, San Bernardino, Santa Clara (2) | 57,980 |
| 2002-03 | Sacramento, Ventura, Solano, Kern, Mariposa, Siskiyou | 11,125 |
| 2003-04 | none | 0 |
| 2004-05 | Butte, Humbolt, Sonoma | 289,773 |
| | **Subsequent review of:** Marin, Mendocino, Placer, San Bernardino, Santa Clara (2) | 407,885 |
| 2005-06 | Sonoma | 29,457 |
| 2006-07 | Alameda | 94,395 |
| 2007-08 | Calaveras | 135,689 |
| 2008-09 | San Mateo (3) | 0 |
| 2009-10 | none | 0 |
| 2010-11 | none | 0 |
| Total | | 2,040,207 |

(1) $911,298 of the total was for cancellation fees collected by Riverside County that were not forwarded to the State Controller's Office.

(2) The 2004 review was a continuation of the audit initiated in 2001.

(3) Audit led to county review of uniform rules; no recovered funds.

## Recent Legislation Affecting the Land Conservation Act

New legislation during the reporting period focused on decreases in Open Space Subventions as a result of revenue issues affecting the State's General Fund; and on locating solar power facilities on land that is marginally productive or that has become contaminated by natural or other causes.

<u>Effective January 1, 2011</u>

- Senate Bill 863 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review, Chapter 722, Statutes of 2010): SB 863 was a budget trailer bill that replaced Assembly Bill 2530 (Nielsen, Chapter 391, Statutes of 2010). The goal of SB 863 was to provide counties with an incentive to continue their participation in the Land Conservation Act by allowing them to recapture a portion of their foregone property tax revenues due to their

participation in the Program. The recaptured funding would be derived by decreasing the duration of Land Conservation Act and FSZ contracts by one- and two-years, respectively. Additionally, SB 863 provided a one-time, $10 million subvention to counties that participated in the Program.

- Senate Bill 80 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review, Chapter 11, Statutes of 2011): While SB 863 appropriated $10 million from the General Fund to make subvention payments to counties participating in the Land Conservation Act in FY 2010, SB 80 reduced this appropriation to zero.
- Assembly Bill 1265 (Nielsen, Chapter 90, Statutes of 2011): AB 1265 reinstated the relevant Land Conservation Act, Revenue & Tax Code, and Open Space Subvention Act provisions found in SB 863. Similar to SB 863, AB 1265 allowed eligible counties to recapture a portion of the property tax benefits provided to their owners of Land Conservation Act lands. However, AB 1265 did not reinstate the $10 million subvention to participating counties.

<u>Effective January 1, 2012</u>

- Senate Bill 618 (Wolk, Chapter 596, Statutes of 2011): SB 618 authorized the parties to a Land Conservation Act contract (city or county and landowners), after approval by the Department of Conservation, and in consultation with the Department of Food and Agriculture, to mutually agree to rescind the contract in order to simultaneously enter into a solar-use easement. The solar-use easement would require that the land be used for solar photovoltaic facilities for a term of no less than 20 years, except as specified. One of the key circumstances is the siting of solar photovoltaic facilities on agricultural lands that are marginally productive or that have become contaminated by natural or other causes.

# Appendix A
# 2010 and 2011
# Reported Statistics by County

## Total Reported Enrollment (Acres)

| Participating Local Jurisdictions | 2010 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Land Conservation Act* | | Farmland Security Zone* | | | | Agricultural Conservation Easement | | Other Enforceable Restriction | TOTAL |
| | | | Urban | | Non-Urban | | | | | |
| | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | | |
| **Counties** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alameda | 2,526 | 131,167 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 133,693 |
| Amador | 5,213 | 87,878 | - | - | - | - | - | 360 | - | 93,451 |
| Butte | 113,686 | 106,293 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 219,979 |
| Calaveras | 448 | 141,245 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 141,693 |
| Colusa | 66,952 | 193,720 | 15,989 | 737 | 41,015 | 2,035 | - | - | - | 320,448 |
| Contra Costa | 9,538 | 36,336 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 45,874 |
| El Dorado | 2,334 | 31,795 | - | - | 5 | 180 | - | - | - | 34,315 |
| Fresno | 982,032 | 483,245 | - | - | 25,799 | 3,482 | - | - | - | 1,494,558 |
| Glenn | 63,618 | 267,432 | 14,112 | 500 | 73,600 | 2,226 | - | - | - | 421,488 |
| Humboldt | 5,088 | 196,808 | - | - | 236 | 31 | - | - | - | 202,162 |
| Imperial | 133,928 | 4,632 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 138,561 |
| Kern | 628,186 | 912,223 | 25,176 | 133,751 | - | - | - | - | - | 1,699,336 |
| Kings | 279,062 | 110,671 | 28,851 | 227 | 248,090 | 10,642 | - | - | - | 677,543 |
| Lake | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lassen | 15,063 | 299,968 | 546 | 34 | 11,239 | 7,684 | - | - | | 334,534 |
| Los Angeles | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 40,031 | 40,031 |
| Madera | 201,160 | 274,732 | 13,936 | 362 | 46,334 | 2,111 | 328 | - | - | 538,963 |
| Marin | 1,646 | 84,229 | - | - | 290 | 17,217 | - | - | - | 103,382 |
| Mariposa | 185,501 | 21,676 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 207,177 |
| Mendocino | 35,019 | 451,646 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 486,665 |
| Merced | 258,883 | 209,080 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 467,963 |
| Modoc | 17,764 | 109,865 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 127,629 |
| Mono | 13,310 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 13,310 |
| Monterey | 51,999 | 669,137 | 28,551 | 2,767 | 12,728 | 5,484 | 524 | 6 | 2,613 | 773,809 |
| Napa | 18,814 | 51,781 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 70,595 |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Orange | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Placer | 15,576 | 25,734 | 51 | - | - | 1,645 | - | - | - | 43,007 |
| Plumas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Riverside | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sacramento | 87,722 | 93,369 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 181,091 |
| San Benito | 51,759 | 530,783 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 582,542 |
| San Bernardino | 2,170 | 2,371 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4,542 |
| San Diego | 4,821 | 56,906 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 61,727 |
| San Joaquin | 323,478 | 149,489 | 15,215 | 79 | 34,156 | 10,550 | - | - | - | 532,968 |
| San Luis Obispo | 90,630 | 701,496 | 576 | 79 | 55 | 64 | - | - | - | 792,900 |
| San Mateo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Barbara | 74,819 | 466,081 | - | - | 133 | - | 177 | 5,359 | - | 546,569 |
| Santa Clara | 10,132 | 296,105 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 306,237 |
| Santa Cruz | 2,724 | 12,865 | 82 | 32 | - | 10 | - | 63 | - | 15,776 |
| Shasta | 23,702 | 163,482 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 187,184 |
| Sierra | 1,918 | 32,901 | - | 1,751 | - | 3,980 | - | - | - | 40,549 |
| Siskiyou | 96,056 | 325,506 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 421,562 |
| Solano | 120,053 | 145,582 | - | - | - | - | 1,939 | 2,888 | - | 270,461 |
| Sonoma | 43,331 | 228,742 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 272,072 |
| Stanislaus | 293,495 | 396,459 | - | - | - | - | 156 | - | - | 690,110 |
| Sutter | 51,408 | 13,165 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 64,573 |
| Tehama | 53,616 | 736,028 | 2,687 | 2,607 | 1,190 | 5,044 | - | - | - | 801,172 |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tulare | 573,296 | 513,946 | 11,102 | 50 | - | - | - | - | 686 | 1,099,079 |
| Tuolumne | - | 120,467 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 120,467 |
| Ventura | 47,465 | 78,693 | 1,599 | 676 | 428 | 238 | - | - | - | 129,101 |
| Yolo | 240,988 | 176,114 | 158 | 1 | - | - | 200 | 7 | - | 417,467 |
| **Cities** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Camarillo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hayward | - | 812 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 812 |
| Menlo Park | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Newark | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Palo Alto | 148 | 338 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 486 |
| Perris | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Redlands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Totals** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Counties | 5,300,928 | 10,141,845 | 158,630 | 143,652 | 495,298 | 72,624 | 3,323 | 8,682 | 43,330 | 16,368,312 |
| Cities | 148 | 1,150 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,297 |
| **Grand Totals** | 5,301,075 | 10,142,995 | 158,630 | 143,652 | 495,298 | 72,624 | 3,323 | 8,682 | 43,330 | 16,369,609 |

*Totals include both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

## Total Reported Enrollment (Acres)

| Participating Local Jurisdictions | 2011 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Land Conservation Act* | | Farmland Security Zone* | | | | Agricultural Conservation Easement | | Other Enforceable Restriction | TOTAL |
| | | | Urban | | Non-Urban | | | | | |
| | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | | |
| Alameda | 2,487 | 132,947 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 135,434 |
| Amador | 5,510 | 87,267 | - | - | - | - | - | 360 | - | 93,137 |
| Butte | 113,808 | 106,367 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 220,175 |
| Calaveras | 450 | 143,568 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 144,018 |
| Colusa | 66,952 | 193,720 | 15,989 | 737 | 40,628 | 2,035 | - | - | - | 320,060 |
| Contra Costa | 9,466 | 35,243 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 44,709 |
| El Dorado | 2,334 | 31,686 | - | - | 5 | 180 | - | - | - | 34,206 |
| Fresno | 982,032 | 483,245 | - | - | 25,799 | 3,482 | - | - | - | 1,494,558 |
| Glenn | 63,781 | 270,024 | 14,112 | 500 | 73,600 | 2,226 | - | - | - | 424,243 |
| Humboldt | 5,300 | 197,147 | - | - | 504 | 193 | - | - | - | 203,143 |
| Imperial | 133,928 | 4,632 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 138,561 |
| Kern | 628,640 | 911,564 | 25,176 | - | 133,751 | - | - | - | - | 1,699,132 |
| Kings | 278,839 | 110,671 | 28,851 | 227 | 248,090 | 10,642 | - | - | - | 677,320 |
| Lake | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lassen | 16,464 | 298,567 | 546 | 34 | 11,239 | 7,684 | - | - | - | 334,534 |
| Los Angeles | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 40,031 | 40,031 |
| Madera | 201,457 | 274,613 | 13,936 | 362 | 46,335 | 2,110 | 328 | - | - | 539,140 |
| Marin | 1,646 | 84,229 | - | - | 290 | 17,217 | - | - | - | 103,382 |
| Mariposa | - | 207,321 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 207,321 |
| Mendocino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Merced | 259,199 | 208,768 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 467,967 |
| Modoc | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mono | 13,310 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 129 | 13,439 |
| Monterey | 50,889 | 680,834 | 34,820 | 3,665 | 11,156 | 5,109 | 524 | 6 | 2,613 | 789,616 |
| Napa | 18,802 | 52,163 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 70,965 |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Orange | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Placer | 15,943 | 25,879 | 51 | - | - | 1,645 | - | - | - | 43,519 |
| Plumas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Riverside | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sacramento | 87,527 | 93,263 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 180,790 |
| San Benito | 51,721 | 528,411 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 580,132 |
| San Bernardino | 2,170 | 2,371 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4,542 |
| San Diego | 4,856 | 56,865 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 61,721 |
| San Joaquin | 322,528 | 148,460 | 15,213 | 79 | 34,608 | 10,098 | - | - | - | 530,985 |
| San Luis Obispo | 90,431 | 701,372 | 576 | 79 | 55 | 64 | - | - | - | 792,577 |
| San Mateo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Barbara | 75,167 | 464,665 | - | - | 133 | - | 178 | 5,358 | - | 545,501 |
| Santa Clara | 9,731 | 295,546 | - | - | - | - | 286 | - | - | 305,563 |
| Santa Cruz | 2,725 | 12,865 | 82 | 32 | - | 10 | 307 | 63 | - | 16,083 |
| Shasta | 23,702 | 163,477 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 187,179 |
| Sierra | 1,918 | 32,900 | - | 1,751 | - | 3,980 | - | - | - | 40,548 |
| Siskiyou | 96,130 | 325,314 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 421,444 |
| Solano | 119,936 | 145,371 | - | - | - | - | 1,939 | 2,924 | - | 270,170 |
| Sonoma | 43,525 | 228,626 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 272,151 |
| Stanislaus | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sutter | 51,408 | 13,165 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 64,573 |
| Tehama | 53,439 | 735,902 | 2,692 | 2,602 | 1,315 | 4,918 | - | - | - | 800,868 |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tulare | 572,435 | 513,896 | 11,102 | 50 | - | - | - | - | 686 | 1,098,168 |
| Tuolumne | | 120,351 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 120,351 |
| Ventura | 47,337 | 77,497 | 1,697 | 720 | 428 | 238 | - | - | - | 127,917 |
| Yolo | 198,642 | 156,651 | 158 | 1 | - | - | 200 | 7 | - | 355,658 |
| **Cities** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Camarillo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hayward | - | 812 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 812 |
| Menlo Park | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Newark | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Palo Alto | 148 | 338 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 486 |
| Perris | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Redlands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Totals** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Counties | 4,726,567 | 9,357,422 | 164,999 | 10,838 | 627,935 | 71,832 | 3,761 | 8,718 | 43,459 | 15,015,531 |
| Cities | 148 | 1,150 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,298 |
| **Grand Totals** | 4,726,715 | 9,358,572 | 164,999 | 10,838 | 627,935 | 71,832 | 3,761 | 8,718 | 43,459 | 15,016,828 |

*Totals include both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

## Farmland Security Zone Transfers (Acres)

| Participating Local Jurisdictions | 2010 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Land Conservation Act* | | Farmland Security Zone* | | | | Agricultural Conservation | | Other Enforceable Restriction | TOTAL |
| | | | Urban | | Non-Urban | | | | | |
| | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | | |
| **Counties** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alameda | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Amador | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Butte | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Calaveras | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Colusa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Contra Costa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| El Dorado | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fresno | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Glenn | 212 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 212 |
| Humboldt | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Imperial | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kern | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kings | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lake | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lassen | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Los Angeles | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Madera | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Marin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mariposa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mendocino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Merced | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Modoc | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mono | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Monterey | 5,937 | 341 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6,278 |
| Napa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Orange | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Placer | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Plumas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Riverside | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sacramento | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Benito | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Bernardino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Diego | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Joaquin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Luis Obispo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Mateo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Barbara | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Clara | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Cruz | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Shasta | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sierra | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Siskiyou | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Solano | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sonoma | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stanislaus | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sutter | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tehama | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tulare | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tuolumne | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ventura | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yolo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Cities** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Camarillo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hayward | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Menlo Park | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Newark | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Palo Alto | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Perris | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Redlands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Totals** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Counties | 6,149 | 341 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6,490 |
| Cities | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Grand Totals** | 6,149 | 341 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6,490 |

*Totals include both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

TABLE A-3 Farmland Security Zone Transfers 2010

## Farmland Security Zone Transfers (Acres)

| Participating Local Jurisdictions | 2011 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Land Conservation Act* | | Farmland Security Zone* | | | | Agricultural Conservation | | Other Enforceable Restriction | TOTAL |
| | | | Urban | | Non-Urban | | | | | |
| | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | | |
| **Counties** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alameda | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Amador | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Butte | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Calaveras | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Colusa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Contra Costa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| El Dorado | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fresno | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Glenn | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Humboldt | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Imperial | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kern | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kings | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lake | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lassen | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Los Angeles | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Madera | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Marin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mariposa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mendocino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Merced | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Modoc | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mono | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Monterey | 1,988 | 383 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2,371 |
| Napa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Orange | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Placer | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Plumas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Riverside | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sacramento | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Benito | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Bernardino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Diego | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Joaquin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Luis Obispo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Mateo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Barbara | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Clara | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Cruz | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Shasta | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sierra | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Siskiyou | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Solano | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sonoma | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stanislaus | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sutter | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tehama | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tulare | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tuolumne | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ventura | 98 | 43 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 141 |
| Yolo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Cities** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Camarillo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hayward | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Menlo Park | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Newark | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Palo Alto | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Perris | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Redlands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Totals** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Counties | 2,086 | 427 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2,512 |
| Cities | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Grand Totals** | 2,086 | 427 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2,512 |

*Totals include both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

## Cumulative Nonrenewal Acreage (Land Conservation Act)

| Participating Local Jurisdictions | 2010 | | | 2011 | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Land Conservation Act | | TOTAL | Land Conservation Act | | TOTAL |
| | Prime | Nonprime | | Prime | Nonprime | |
| **Counties** | | | | | | |
| Alameda | 8 | 753 | 760 | 8 | 981 | 989 |
| Amador | 20 | 3,047 | 3,067 | 20 | 2,261 | 2,281 |
| Butte | 1,470 | 11,058 | 12,528 | 1,606 | 11,048 | 12,654 |
| Calaveras | 5 | 6,914 | 6,919 | 5 | 7,212 | 7,217 |
| Colusa | 2,677 | 32 | 2,709 | 2,677 | 32 | 2,709 |
| Contra Costa | 425 | 1,784 | 2,209 | 353 | 813 | 1,166 |
| El Dorado | 67 | 1,224 | 1,291 | 67 | 1,116 | 1,182 |
| Fresno | 19,521 | 3,951 | 23,472 | 19,521 | 3,951 | 23,472 |
| Glenn | 1,242 | 1,460 | 2,702 | 1,242 | 1,460 | 2,702 |
| Humboldt | 17 | 3,100 | 3,117 | 14 | 3,230 | 3,244 |
| Imperial | 20,465 | 1,529 | 21,994 | 127,740 | 11,500 | 139,240 |
| Kern | 48,252 | 30,535 | 78,787 | 49,548 | 31,476 | 81,024 |
| Kings | 8,363 | 153 | 8,516 | 8,097 | 153 | 8,250 |
| Lake | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lassen | 8 | 1,749 | 1,756 | 38 | 1,893 | 1,931 |
| Los Angeles | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Madera | 15,362 | 18,420 | 33,782 | 15,362 | 18,420 | 33,782 |
| Marin | 39 | 365 | 404 | 39 | 365 | 404 |
| Mariposa | - | 21,676 | 21,676 | - | 24,621 | 24,621 |
| Mendocino | - | 867 | 867 | - | - | - |
| Merced | 5,987 | 215 | 6,202 | 6,281 | 230 | 6,510 |
| Modoc | - | 264 | 264 | - | - | - |
| Mono | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Monterey | 2,690 | 7,853 | 10,544 | 2,586 | 9,189 | 11,775 |
| Napa | 668 | 353 | 1,021 | 603 | 369 | 972 |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Orange | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Placer | 4,142 | 4,909 | 9,051 | 4,212 | 4,558 | 8,770 |
| Plumas | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Riverside | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sacramento | 1,106 | 9,920 | 11,026 | 1,807 | 9,897 | 11,704 |
| San Benito | 1,008 | 5,497 | 6,505 | 976 | 3,112 | 4,088 |
| San Bernardino | 256 | 878 | 1,134 | 256 | 878 | 1,134 |
| San Diego | 151 | 694 | 845 | 180 | 558 | 738 |
| San Joaquin | 31,327 | 7,340 | 38,668 | 29,694 | 7,340 | 37,035 |
| San Luis Obispo | 3,715 | 13,399 | 17,114 | 3,438 | 13,311 | 16,749 |
| San Mateo | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Barbara | 2,627 | 38,498 | 41,125 | 2,267 | 37,233 | 39,500 |
| Santa Clara | 1,436 | 14,136 | 15,572 | 1,198 | 50,390 | 51,589 |
| Santa Cruz | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | - | 2 |
| Shasta | - | 76 | 76 | - | 69 | 69 |
| Sierra | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Siskiyou | - | - | - | 335 | 2,100 | 2,435 |
| Solano | 1,215 | 1,957 | 3,173 | 1,141 | 1,854 | 2,995 |
| Sonoma | 417 | 4,009 | 4,426 | 420 | 3,929 | 4,349 |
| Stanislaus | 18,767 | 25,310 | 44,077 | - | - | - |
| Sutter | 152 | | 152 | 152 | | 152 |
| Tehama | 6,694 | 22,770 | 29,465 | 6,469 | 21,826 | 28,295 |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tulare | 6,331 | 1,518 | 7,849 | 6,209 | 4,574 | 10,784 |
| Tuolumne | - | 16,523 | 16,523 | - | 15,607 | 15,607 |
| Ventura | 574 | 2,070 | 2,644 | 460 | 1,168 | 1,628 |
| Yolo | 6,537 | 2,999 | 9,536 | 6,717 | 3,038 | 9,755 |
| **Cities** | | | | | | |
| Camarillo | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hayward | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Menlo Park | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Newark | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Palo Alto | - | 70 | 70 | - | 70 | 70 |
| Perris | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Redlands | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Totals** | | | | | | |
| Counties | 213,735 | 289,054 | 502,789 | 301,730 | 310,783 | 612,513 |
| Cities | - | 70 | 70 | - | 70 | 70 |
| **Grand Totals** | 213,735 | 289,123 | 502,859 | 301,730 | 310,853 | 612,583 |

## Cumulative Nonrenewal Acreage (Farmland Security Zone)

| Participating Local Jurisdictions | 2010 | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Farmland Security Zone Contracts | | | | | | | | TOTAL |
| | First 10-years | | | | Last 10-years | | | | |
| | Urban | | Non-Urban | | Urban | | Non-Urban | | |
| | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | |
| **Counties** | | | | | | | | | |
| Alameda | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Amador | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Butte | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Calaveras | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Colusa | - | - | 474 | 160 | - | - | - | - | 634 |
| Contra Costa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| El Dorado | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fresno | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Glenn | - | - | 16 | - | - | - | - | - | 16 |
| Humboldt | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Imperial | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kern | 13,776 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 13,777 |
| Kings | 156 | - | 467 | - | - | - | - | - | 623 |
| Lake | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lassen | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Los Angeles | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Madera | 1,393 | 49 | 707 | 11 | - | - | - | - | 2,160 |
| Marin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mariposa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mendocino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Merced | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Modoc | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mono | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Monterey | 42 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 42 |
| Napa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Orange | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Placer | 51 | - | - | 23 | - | - | - | - | 74 |
| Plumas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Riverside | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sacramento | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Benito | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Bernardino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Diego | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Joaquin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Luis Obispo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Mateo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Barbara | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Clara | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Cruz | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Shasta | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sierra | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Siskiyou | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Solano | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sonoma | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stanislaus | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sutter | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tehama | - | - | 321 | 15 | - | - | - | - | 336 |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tulare | - | - | - | - | - | | - | - | - |
| Tuolumne | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ventura | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yolo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Cities** | | | | | | | | | |
| Camarillo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hayward | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Menlo Park | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Newark | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Palo Alto | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Perris | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Redlands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Totals** | | | | | | | | | |
| Counties | 15,419 | 49 | 1,987 | 208 | - | - | - | - | 17,663 |
| Cities | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Grand Totals** | 15,419 | 49 | 1,987 | 208 | - | - | - | - | 17,663 |

## Cumulative Nonrenewal Acreage (Farmland Security Zone)

| Participating Local Jurisdictions | 2011 | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Farmland Security Zone Contracts | | | | | | | | TOTAL |
| | First 10-years | | | | Last 10-years | | | | |
| | Urban | | Non-Urban | | Urban | | Non-Urban | | |
| | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | |
| **Counties** | | | | | | | | | |
| Alameda | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Amador | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Butte | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Calaveras | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Colusa | - | - | 474 | 160 | - | - | - | - | 634 |
| Contra Costa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| El Dorado | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fresno | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Glenn | - | - | 16 | - | - | - | - | - | 16 |
| Humboldt | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Imperial | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kern | 13,776 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 13,777 |
| Kings | 156 | - | 404 | - | - | - | 63 | - | 623 |
| Lake | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lassen | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Los Angeles | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Madera | 1,393 | 49 | 707 | 11 | - | - | - | - | 2,160 |
| Marin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mariposa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mendocino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Merced | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Modoc | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mono | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Monterey | 42 | - | - | 20 | - | - | - | - | 62 |
| Napa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Orange | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Placer | 51 | - | - | 23 | - | - | - | - | 74 |
| Plumas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Riverside | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sacramento | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Benito | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Bernardino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Diego | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Joaquin | 53 | - | 771 | 177 | - | - | - | - | 1,001 |
| San Luis Obispo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Mateo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Barbara | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Clara | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Cruz | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Shasta | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sierra | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Siskiyou | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Solano | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sonoma | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stanislaus | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sutter | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tehama | - | - | 321 | 15 | - | - | - | - | 336 |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tulare | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tuolumne | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ventura | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yolo | 158 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 159 |
| **Cities** | | | | | | | | | |
| Camarillo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hayward | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Menlo Park | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Newark | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Palo Alto | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Perris | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Redlands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Totals** | | | | | | | | | |
| Counties | 15,630 | 50 | 2,695 | 405 | - | - | 63 | - | 18,843 |
| Cities | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Grand Totals** | 15,630 | 50 | 2,695 | 405 | - | - | 63 | - | 18,843 |

## New Enrollments (Acres)

| Participating Local Jurisdictions | 2010 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Land Conservation Act | | Farmland Security Zone | | | | Agricultural Conservation | | Other Enforceable Restriction | TOTAL |
| | | | Urban | | Non-Urban | | | | | |
| | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | | |
| **Counties** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alameda | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Amador | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Butte | 2,739 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2,739 |
| Calaveras | 5 | 229 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 234 |
| Colusa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Contra Costa | 44 | 38 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 82 |
| El Dorado | 5 | 17 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 22 |
| Fresno | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Glenn | 632 | 384 | - | - | 351 | - | - | - | - | 1,367 |
| Humboldt | 20 | 1,381 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,401 |
| Imperial | 219 | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 228 |
| Kern | 1,640 | 760 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2,400 |
| Kings | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lake | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lassen | - | 9,935 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9,935 |
| Los Angeles | - | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Madera | 78 | 222 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 299 |
| Marin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mariposa | - | 527 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 527 |
| Mendocino | 95 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 98 |
| Merced | 969 | 29 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 998 |
| Modoc | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mono | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Monterey | - | 144 | 4,128 | 329 | - | - | 14 | - | - | 4,615 |
| Napa | 375 | 95 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 470 |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Orange | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Placer | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| Plumas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Riverside | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sacramento | - | 834 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 834 |
| San Benito | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Bernardino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Diego | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Joaquin | 577 | 452 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,029 |
| San Luis Obispo | 931 | 1,438 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2,369 |
| San Mateo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Barbara | 45 | 1,059 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,104 |
| Santa Clara | | 243 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 243 |
| Santa Cruz | 22 | 22 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 44 |
| Shasta | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sierra | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Siskiyou | 1,849 | 53 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,901 |
| Solano | 67 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 67 |
| Sonoma | 12 | 96 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 108 |
| Stanislaus | 849 | 123 | - | - | - | - | 156 | - | - | 1,128 |
| Sutter | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tehama | 643 | 621 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,264 |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tulare | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tuolumne | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ventura | 26 | 2 | 25 | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | 68 |
| Yolo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Cities** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Camarillo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hayward | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Menlo Park | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Newark | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Palo Alto | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Perris | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Redlands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Totals** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Counties | 11,841 | 18,720 | 4,153 | 344 | 351 | - | 170 | - | - | 35,579 |
| Cities | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Grand Totals** | 11,841 | 18,720 | 4,153 | 344 | 351 | - | 170 | - | - | 35,579 |

## New Enrollments (Acres)

| Participating Local Jurisdictions | 2011 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Land Conservation Act | | Farmland Security Zone | | | | Agricultural Conservation | | Other Enforceable Restriction | TOTAL |
| | | | Urban | | Non-Urban | | | | | |
| | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | | |
| **Counties** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alameda | - | 1,621 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,621 |
| Amador | 387 | 324 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 711 |
| Butte | 20 | 160 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 180 |
| Calaveras | 8 | 2,993 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3,000 |
| Colusa | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Contra Costa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| El Dorado | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fresno | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Glenn | 163 | 2,592 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2,755 |
| Humboldt | 259 | 351 | - | - | 268 | 163 | - | - | - | 1,040 |
| Imperial | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kern | 2,113 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2,113 |
| Kings | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lake | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lassen | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Los Angeles | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Madera | 154 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 154 |
| Marin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mariposa | - | 240 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 240 |
| Mendocino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Merced | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Modoc | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mono | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Monterey | 235 | 12,938 | 2,577 | 267 | - | - | - | - | - | 16,017 |
| Napa | 72 | 139 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 212 |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Orange | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Placer | - | 114 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 114 |
| Plumas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Riverside | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sacramento | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Benito | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Bernardino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Diego | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Joaquin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Luis Obispo | 278 | 802 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,080 |
| San Mateo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Barbara | 308 | 171 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 478 |
| Santa Clara | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Cruz | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Shasta | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sierra | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Siskiyou | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Solano | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | 37 | - | 42 |
| Sonoma | 42 | 97 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 139 |
| Stanislaus | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sutter | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tehama | 28 | 417 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 445 |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tulare | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tuolumne | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ventura | 10 | 29 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 39 |
| Yolo | 48 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 51 |
| **Cities** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Camarillo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hayward | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Menlo Park | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Newark | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Palo Alto | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Perris | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Redlands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Totals** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Counties | 4,125 | 22,995 | 2,577 | 267 | 268 | 163 | - | 37 | - | 30,431 |
| Cities | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Grand Totals** | 4,125 | 22,995 | 2,577 | 267 | 268 | 163 | - | 37 | - | 30,431 |

## Nonrenewal Initiations (Acres)

| Participating Local Jurisdictions | 2010 | | | | | | | 2011 | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Land Conservation Act | | Farmland Security Zone | | | | TOTAL | Land Conservation Act | | Farmland Security Zone | | | | TOTAL |
| | | | Urban | | Non-Urban | | | | | Urban | | Non-Urban | | |
| | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | |
| **Counties** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alameda | 6 | 127 | - | - | - | - | 133 | - | 228 | - | - | - | - | 228 |
| Amador | 14 | 318 | - | - | - | - | 332 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Butte | - | - | 145 | - | - | - | 145 | 23 | - | - | - | - | - | 23 |
| Calaveras | 5 | 138 | - | - | - | - | 143 | - | 298 | - | - | - | - | 298 |
| Colusa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Contra Costa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| El Dorado | - | 40 | - | - | - | - | 40 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fresno | 754 | 390 | - | - | - | - | 1,144 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Glenn | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Humboldt | - | 368 | - | - | - | - | 368 | 10 | 70 | - | - | - | - | 80 |
| Imperial | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 107,276 | 9,971 | - | - | - | - | 117,246 |
| Kern | 4,364 | 3,771 | - | - | - | - | 8,134 | 2,626 | 1,579 | - | - | - | - | 4,205 |
| Kings | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 80 | - | - | - | - | - | 80 |
| Lake | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lassen | - | 1,018 | - | - | - | - | 1,018 | 30 | 145 | - | - | - | - | 175 |
| Los Angeles | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Madera | 479 | 376 | - | - | - | - | 855 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Marin | - | 123 | - | - | - | - | 123 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mariposa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2,945 | - | - | - | - | 2,945 |
| Mendocino | - | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Merced | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 347 | 15 | - | - | - | - | 362 |
| Modoc | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mono | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Monterey | - | 1,649 | - | - | - | - | 1,649 | - | 1,366 | - | - | - | 20 | 1,386 |
| Napa | 124 | 12 | - | - | - | - | 136 | 97 | 25 | - | - | - | - | 122 |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Orange | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Placer | - | 175 | - | - | - | 23 | 198 | 70 | 26 | - | - | - | - | 95 |
| Plumas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Riverside | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sacramento | 572 | 831 | - | - | - | - | 1,403 | 840 | 23 | - | - | - | - | 863 |
| San Benito | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Bernardino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Diego | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Joaquin | 103 | - | - | - | - | - | 103 | 467 | 7 | - | - | - | - | 474 |
| San Luis Obispo | - | 76 | - | - | - | - | 76 | 65 | 323 | - | - | - | - | 388 |
| San Mateo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Barbara | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Clara | 1 | 339 | - | - | - | - | 340 | 163 | 36,814 | - | - | - | - | 36,977 |
| Santa Cruz | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Shasta | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | 8 |
| Sierra | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Siskiyou | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Solano | - | 107 | - | - | - | - | 107 | - | 132 | - | - | - | - | 132 |
| Sonoma | 22 | 160 | - | - | - | - | 182 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stanislaus | 409 | 346 | - | - | - | - | 755 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sutter | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tehama | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 20 | - | - | - | - | 20 |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tulare | 1,045 | 554 | - | - | - | - | 1,598 | 739 | 3,106 | - | - | - | - | 3,845 |
| Tuolumne | - | 982 | - | - | - | - | 982 | - | 246 | - | - | - | - | 246 |
| Ventura | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 41 | 147 | - | - | - | - | 188 |
| Yolo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 180 | 39 | - | - | - | - | 219 |
| **Cities** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Camarillo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hayward | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Menlo Park | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Newark | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Palo Alto | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Perris | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Redlands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Totals** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Counties | 7,900 | 11,899 | 145 | - | - | 23 | 19,966 | 113,054 | 57,531 | - | - | - | 20 | 170,605 |
| Cities | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Grand Totals** | 7,900 | 11,899 | 145 | - | - | 23 | 19,966 | 113,054 | 57,531 | - | - | - | 20 | 170,605 |

## Nonrenewal Expirations (Acres)

| Participating Local Jurisdictions | 2010 | | | | | | | 2011 | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Land Conservation Act | | Farmland Security Zone | | | | TOTAL | Land Conservation Act | | Farmland Security Zone | | | | TOTAL |
| | | | Urban | | Non-Urban | | | | | Urban | | Non-Urban | | |
| | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | |
| **Counties** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alameda | - | 167 | - | - | - | - | 167 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Amador | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 685 | - | - | - | - | 685 |
| Butte | - | 249 | - | - | - | - | 249 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Calaveras | 5 | 124 | - | - | - | - | 129 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Colusa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Contra Costa | - | 31 | - | - | - | - | 31 | 72 | 971 | - | - | - | - | 1,043 |
| El Dorado | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 109 | - | - | - | - | 109 |
| Fresno | 159 | 66 | - | - | - | - | 225 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Glenn | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Humboldt | - | 184 | - | - | - | - | 184 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Imperial | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kern | 569 | 116 | - | - | - | - | 686 | 1,331 | 638 | - | - | - | - | 1,969 |
| Kings | 669 | 950 | - | - | - | - | 1,619 | 222 | - | - | - | - | - | 222 |
| Lake | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lassen | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Los Angeles | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Madera | 219 | - | - | - | - | - | 219 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Marin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mariposa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mendocino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Merced | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Modoc | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mono | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Monterey | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 102 | 10 | - | - | - | - | 112 |
| Napa | 39 | 306 | - | - | - | - | 345 | 162 | 9 | - | - | - | - | 171 |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Orange | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Placer | 127 | 1,187 | - | - | - | - | 1,314 | - | 376 | - | - | - | - | 376 |
| Plumas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Riverside | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sacramento | 144 | - | - | - | - | - | 144 | 139 | 46 | - | - | - | - | 185 |
| San Benito | 343 | 1,473 | - | - | - | - | 1,816 | 33 | 2,385 | - | - | - | - | 2,418 |
| San Bernardino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Diego | 11 | 7 | - | - | - | - | 18 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Joaquin | 2,132 | 79 | - | - | - | - | 2,211 | 2,107 | 4 | - | - | - | - | 2,110 |
| San Luis Obispo | 227 | 618 | - | - | - | - | 846 | 170 | 316 | - | - | - | - | 486 |
| San Mateo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Barbara | 453 | 4,692 | - | - | - | - | 5,145 | 374 | 1,253 | - | - | - | - | 1,627 |
| Santa Clara | 58 | - | - | - | - | - | 58 | 400 | 299 | - | - | - | - | 699 |
| Santa Cruz | - | 1,340 | - | - | - | - | 1,340 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Shasta | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| Sierra | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Siskiyou | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 56 | 83 | - | - | - | - | 139 |
| Solano | 33 | 21 | - | - | - | - | 54 | 75 | 234 | - | - | - | - | 309 |
| Sonoma | 38 | 26 | - | - | - | - | 63 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stanislaus | 539 | 37 | - | - | - | - | 576 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sutter | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tehama | 44 | 703 | - | - | - | - | 747 | 194 | 550 | - | - | - | - | 744 |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tulare | 757 | 22 | - | - | - | - | 779 | 861 | 50 | - | - | - | - | 911 |
| Tuolumne | | 45 | - | - | - | - | 45 | - | 95 | - | - | - | - | 95 |
| Ventura | 1 | 9 | - | - | - | - | 10 | 155 | 1,048 | - | - | - | - | 1,203 |
| Yolo | 218 | 137 | - | - | - | - | 355 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Cities** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Camarillo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hayward | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Menlo Park | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Newark | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Palo Alto | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Perris | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Redlands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Totals** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Counties | 6,785 | 12,590 | - | - | - | - | 19,375 | 6,452 | 9,165 | - | - | - | - | 15,617 |
| Cities | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Grand Totals** | 6,785 | 12,590 | - | - | - | - | 19,375 | 6,452 | 9,165 | - | - | - | - | 15,617 |

## Nonrenewals Withdrawn Acreage (Land Conservation Act)

| Participating Local Jurisdictions | 2010 | | | 2011 | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Land Conservation Act | | TOTAL | Land Conservation Act | | TOTAL |
| | Prime | Nonprime | | Prime | Nonprime | |
| **Counties** | | | | | | |
| Alameda | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Amador | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Butte | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Calaveras | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Colusa | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Contra Costa | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| El Dorado | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fresno | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Glenn | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Humboldt | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Imperial | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kern | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kings | - | - | - | 124 | - | 124 |
| Lake | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lassen | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Los Angeles | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Madera | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Marin | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mariposa | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mendocino | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Merced | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Modoc | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mono | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Monterey | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Napa | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Orange | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Placer | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Plumas | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Riverside | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sacramento | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Benito | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Bernardino | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Diego | - | - | - | 11 | 174 | 185 |
| San Joaquin | 651 | - | 651 | - | - | - |
| San Luis Obispo | 175 | - | 175 | - | - | - |
| San Mateo | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Barbara | 86 | 105 | 190 | - | - | - |
| Santa Clara | - | 93 | 93 | - | - | - |
| Santa Cruz | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Shasta | - | - | - | - | 10 | 10 |
| Sierra | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Siskiyou | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Solano | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sonoma | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stanislaus | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sutter | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tehama | - | - | - | 28 | 417 | 445 |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tulare | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tuolumne | - | 503 | 503 | - | 1,068 | 1,068 |
| Ventura | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yolo | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Cities** | | | | | | |
| Camarillo | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hayward | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Menlo Park | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Newark | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Palo Alto | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Perris | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Redlands | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Totals** | | | | | | |
| Counties | 911 | 700 | 1,612 | 163 | 1,669 | 1,832 |
| Cities | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Grand Totals** | 911 | 700 | 1,612 | 163 | 1,669 | 1,832 |

## Cancellations (Acres)

| Participating Local Jurisdictions | 2010 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Land Conservation Act* | | Farmland Security Zone* | | | | Agricultural Conservation | | Other Enforceable Restriction | TOTAL |
| | | | Urban | | Non-Urban | | | | | |
| | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | | |
| **Counties** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alameda | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Amador | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Butte | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Calaveras | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Colusa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Contra Costa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| El Dorado | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fresno | 19 | 42 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 61 |
| Glenn | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Humboldt | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Imperial | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kern | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kings | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lake | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lassen | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Los Angeles | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Madera | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Marin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mariposa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mendocino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Merced | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Modoc | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mono | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Monterey | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Napa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Orange | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Placer | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Plumas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Riverside | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sacramento | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Benito | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Bernardino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Diego | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Joaquin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Luis Obispo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Mateo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Barbara | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Clara | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Cruz | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Shasta | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sierra | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Siskiyou | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Solano | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sonoma | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stanislaus | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| Sutter | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tehama | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tulare | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tuolumne | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ventura | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yolo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Cities** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Camarillo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hayward | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Menlo Park | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Newark | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Palo Alto | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Perris | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Redlands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Totals** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Counties | 19 | 45 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 64 |
| Cities | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Grand Totals** | 19 | 45 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 64 |

*Includes both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

## Cancellations (Acres)

| Participating Local Jurisdictions | 2011 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Land Conservation Act* | | Farmland Security Zone* | | | | Agricultural Conservation | | Other Enforceable Restriction | TOTAL |
| | | | Urban | | Non-Urban | | | | | |
| | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | | |
| **Counties** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alameda | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Amador | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Butte | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Calaveras | 5 | 670 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 675 |
| Colusa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Contra Costa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| El Dorado | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fresno | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Glenn | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Humboldt | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Imperial | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kern | 1 | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Kings | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lake | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lassen | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Los Angeles | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Madera | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Marin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mariposa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mendocino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Merced | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Modoc | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mono | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Monterey | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Napa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Orange | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Placer | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Plumas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Riverside | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sacramento | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Benito | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Bernardino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Diego | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Joaquin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Luis Obispo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Mateo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Barbara | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Clara | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Cruz | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Shasta | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sierra | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Siskiyou | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Solano | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sonoma | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stanislaus | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sutter | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tehama | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tulare | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tuolumne | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ventura | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yolo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Cities** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Camarillo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hayward | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Menlo Park | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Newark | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Palo Alto | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Perris | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Redlands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Totals** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Counties | 6 | 670 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 676 |
| Cities | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Grand Totals** | 6 | 670 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 676 |

*Includes both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

## Public Acquisitions (Acres)

| Participating Local Jurisdictions | 2010 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Land Conservation Act* | | Farmland Security Zone* | | | | Agricultural Conservation | | Other Enforceable Restriction | TOTAL |
| | | | Urban | | Non-Urban | | | | | |
| | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | | |
| **Counties** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alameda | - | 1,155 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,155 |
| Amador | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Butte | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Calaveras | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Colusa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Contra Costa | - | 372 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 372 |
| El Dorado | - | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 |
| Fresno | 186 | 72 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 258 |
| Glenn | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Humboldt | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Imperial | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kern | 1,193 | 2,910 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4,102 |
| Kings | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lake | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lassen | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Los Angeles | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Madera | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Marin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mariposa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mendocino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Merced | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Modoc | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mono | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Monterey | - | 389 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 389 |
| Napa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Orange | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Placer | 2 | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 16 |
| Plumas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Riverside | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sacramento | 33 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 36 |
| San Benito | - | 43 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 43 |
| San Bernardino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Diego | 8 | 120 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 128 |
| San Joaquin | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 13 |
| San Luis Obispo | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| San Mateo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Barbara | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Clara | - | 500 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 500 |
| Santa Cruz | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Shasta | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sierra | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Siskiyou | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Solano | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sonoma | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stanislaus | 122 | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 131 |
| Sutter | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tehama | - | 879 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 879 |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tulare | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 |
| Tuolumne | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ventura | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 |
| Yolo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Cities** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Camarillo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hayward | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Menlo Park | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Newark | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Palo Alto | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Perris | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Redlands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Totals** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Counties | 1,573 | 6,475 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8,048 |
| Cities | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Grand Totals** | 1,573 | 6,475 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8,048 |

*Includes both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

## Public Acquisitions (Acres)

| Participating Local Jurisdictions | 2011 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Land Conservation Act* | | Farmland Security Zone* | | | | Agricultural Conservation | | Other Enforceable Restriction | TOTAL |
| | | | Urban | | Non-Urban | | | | | |
| | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | | |
| **Counties** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alameda | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Amador | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Butte | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Calaveras | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Colusa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Contra Costa | - | 121 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 121 |
| El Dorado | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fresno | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Glenn | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Humboldt | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Imperial | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kern | 357 | 21 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 378 |
| Kings | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lake | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lassen | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Los Angeles | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Madera | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Marin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mariposa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mendocino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Merced | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Modoc | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mono | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Monterey | 2 | 181 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 183 |
| Napa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Orange | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Placer | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Plumas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Riverside | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sacramento | 48 | 73 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 121 |
| San Benito | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| San Bernardino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Diego | 5 | 79 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 84 |
| San Joaquin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Luis Obispo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Mateo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Barbara | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Clara | - | 260 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 260 |
| Santa Cruz | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Shasta | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sierra | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Siskiyou | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Solano | - | - | - | - | - | | - | - | - | - |
| Sonoma | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stanislaus | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sutter | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tehama | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tulare | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tuolumne | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ventura | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Yolo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Cities** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Camarillo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hayward | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Menlo Park | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Newark | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Palo Alto | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Perris | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Redlands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Totals** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Counties | 414 | 738 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,152 |
| Cities | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Grand Totals** | 414 | 738 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,152 |

*Includes both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

TABLE A-16 Public Acquisitions 2011

## City Annexations (Acres)

| Participating Local Jurisdictions | 2010 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Land Conservation Act* | | Farmland Security Zone* | | | | Agricultural Conservation | | Other Enforceable Restriction | TOTAL |
| | | | Urban | | Non-Urban | | | | | |
| | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | | |
| **Counties** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alameda | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Amador | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Butte | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Calaveras | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Colusa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Contra Costa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| El Dorado | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fresno | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Glenn | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Humboldt | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Imperial | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kern | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kings | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lake | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lassen | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Los Angeles | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Madera | 19 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 19 |
| Marin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mariposa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mendocino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Merced | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Modoc | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mono | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Monterey | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Napa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Orange | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Placer | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Plumas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Riverside | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sacramento | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Benito | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Bernardino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Diego | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Joaquin | 125 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 125 |
| San Luis Obispo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Mateo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Barbara | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Clara | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Cruz | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Shasta | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sierra | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Siskiyou | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Solano | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sonoma | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stanislaus | 665 | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 675 |
| Sutter | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tehama | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tulare | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tuolumne | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ventura | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yolo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Cities** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Camarillo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hayward | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Menlo Park | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Newark | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Palo Alto | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Perris | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Redlands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Totals** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Counties | 809 | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 818 |
| Cities | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Grand Totals** | 809 | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 818 |

*Includes both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

TABLE A-17 City Annexations 2010

## City Annexations (Acres)

| Participating Local Jurisdictions | 2011 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Land Conservation Act* | | Farmland Security Zone* | | | | Agricultural Conservation | | Other Enforceable Restriction | TOTAL |
| | | | Urban | | Non-Urban | | | | | |
| | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | | |
| **Counties** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alameda | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Amador | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Butte | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Calaveras | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Colusa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Contra Costa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| El Dorado | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fresno | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Glenn | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Humboldt | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Imperial | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kern | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kings | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lake | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lassen | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Los Angeles | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Madera | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Marin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mariposa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mendocino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Merced | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Modoc | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mono | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Monterey | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Napa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Orange | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Placer | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Plumas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Riverside | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sacramento | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Benito | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Bernardino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Diego | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Joaquin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Luis Obispo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Mateo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Barbara | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Clara | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Cruz | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Shasta | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sierra | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Siskiyou | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Solano | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sonoma | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stanislaus | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sutter | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tehama | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tulare | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tuolumne | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ventura | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yolo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Cities** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Camarillo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hayward | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Menlo Park | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Newark | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Palo Alto | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Perris | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Redlands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Totals** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Counties | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cities | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Grand Totals** | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

*Includes both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

## Net Adjustments (Acres)

| Participating Local Jurisdictions | 2010 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Land Conservation Act* | | Farmland Security Zone* | | | | Agricultural Conservation | | Other Enforceable Restriction | TOTAL |
| | | | Urban | | Non-Urban | | | | | |
| | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | | |
| **Counties** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alameda | 33 | (51) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (17) |
| Amador | (6) | (166) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (172) |
| Butte | 258 | 81 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 338 |
| Calaveras | - | (8) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (8) |
| Colusa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Contra Costa | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| El Dorado | 14 | (11) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| Fresno | 915 | 403 | - | - | (152) | - | - | - | - | 1,166 |
| Glenn | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Humboldt | - | (1) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (1) |
| Imperial | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kern | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kings | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lake | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lassen | 473 | (1,266) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (793) |
| Los Angeles | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Madera | 142 | (119) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 23 |
| Marin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mariposa | (5) | (9) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (14) |
| Mendocino | (35) | (410) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (445) |
| Merced | 1,715 | (2,428) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (714) |
| Modoc | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mono | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Monterey | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Napa | (19) | (89) | - | | - | - | - | - | - | (108) |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Orange | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Placer | 235 | 761 | 51 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,047 |
| Plumas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Riverside | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sacramento | 282 | (182) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100 |
| San Benito | (60) | 364 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 304 |
| San Bernardino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Diego | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Joaquin | 563 | (671) | (2) | - | 28 | (84) | - | - | - | (166) |
| San Luis Obispo | 428 | 71 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 500 |
| San Mateo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Barbara | 307 | (95) | - | - | - | - | (0) | (0) | - | 211 |
| Santa Clara | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Cruz | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Shasta | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sierra | (1) | 4 | - | - | - | (0) | - | - | - | 3 |
| Siskiyou | 351 | (445) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (94) |
| Solano | (68) | 110 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 43 |
| Sonoma | 416 | (32) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 384 |
| Stanislaus | 2,503 | (2,024) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 479 |
| Sutter | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tehama | 1,523 | (1,527) | (123) | 123 | - | - | - | - | - | (4) |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tulare | 58 | 59 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 117 |
| Tuolumne | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Ventura | (1) | (58) | 25 | (3) | - | - | - | - | - | (37) |
| Yolo | (2,844) | 1,774 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (1,071) |
| **Cities** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Camarillo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hayward | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Menlo Park | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Newark | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Palo Alto | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Perris | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Redlands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Totals** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Counties | 7,180 | (5,966) | (48) | 119 | (124) | (85) | (0) | (0) | - | 1,077 |
| Cities | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Grand Totals** | 7,180 | (5,966) | (48) | 119 | (124) | (85) | (0) | (0) | - | 1,077 |

(#) is for negative adjustments

## Net Adjustments (Acres)

| Participating Local Jurisdictions | 2011 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Land Conservation Act* | | Farmland Security Zone* | | | | Agricultural Conservation | | Other Enforceable Restriction | TOTAL |
| | | | Urban | | Non-Urban | | | | | |
| | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | | |
| **Counties** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alameda | (39) | 159 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 120 |
| Amador | (91) | (249) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (339) |
| Butte | 101 | (86) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 16 |
| Calaveras | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Colusa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Contra Costa | - | (1) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (1) |
| El Dorado | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fresno | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Glenn | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Humboldt | (47) | (11) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (58) |
| Imperial | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kern | 31 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 31 |
| Kings | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lake | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lassen | 1,401 | (1,401) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Los Angeles | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Madera | 142 | (119) | - | - | 1 | (1) | - | - | - | 23 |
| Marin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mariposa | - | (96) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (96) |
| Mendocino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Merced | 317 | (312) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 |
| Modoc | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mono | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Monterey | 748 | (667) | 1,704 | 248 | (1,573) | (375) | - | - | - | 85 |
| Napa | 77 | 251 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 329 |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Orange | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Placer | 367 | 408 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 775 |
| Plumas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Riverside | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sacramento | (8) | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| San Benito | (5) | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 |
| San Bernardino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Diego | 40 | 38 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 78 |
| San Joaquin | 1,156 | (1,026) | (2) | - | 452 | (453) | - | - | - | 127 |
| San Luis Obispo | (307) | (610) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (917) |
| San Mateo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Barbara | 415 | (334) | - | - | - | - | 1 | (1) | - | 81 |
| Santa Clara | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Cruz | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Shasta | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sierra | - | (1) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (1) |
| Siskiyou | 131 | (109) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 22 |
| Solano | (42) | 18 | - | - | - | - | - | (0) | - | (24) |
| Sonoma | 153 | (213) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (60) |
| Stanislaus | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sutter | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tehama | (11) | 6 | 5 | (5) | 125 | (125) | - | - | - | (5) |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tulare | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tuolumne | - | (22) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (22) |
| Ventura | 116 | (135) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (18) |
| Yolo | (42,394) | (19,465) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (61,859) |
| **Cities** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Camarillo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hayward | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Menlo Park | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Newark | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Palo Alto | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Perris | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Redlands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Totals** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Counties | (37,748) | (23,948) | 1,707 | 243 | (995) | (954) | 1 | (1) | - | (61,696) |
| Cities | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Grand Totals** | (37,748) | (23,948) | 1,707 | 243 | (995) | (954) | 1 | (1) | - | (61,696) |

(#) is for negative adjustments

*Includes both continuing term and nonrenewal contracts.

## Contracted Land not Receiving Tax Relief Benefits (Acres)*

| Participating Local Jurisdictions | 2010 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Land Conservation Act | | Farmland Security Zone | | | | Agricultural Conservation | | Other Enforceable Restriction | TOTAL |
| | | | Urban | | Non-Urban | | | | | |
| | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | | |
| **Counties** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alameda | 120 | 22,736 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 22,855 |
| Amador | 185 | 504 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 689 |
| Butte | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Calaveras | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Colusa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Contra Costa | 2,432 | 1,119 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3,551 |
| El Dorado | 158 | 131 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 289 |
| Fresno | 20,364 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 20,364 |
| Glenn | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Humboldt | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Imperial | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kern | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kings | 67,971 | 12,740 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 80,711 |
| Lake | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lassen | 1,544 | 4,520 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6,064 |
| Los Angeles | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Madera | 27,141 | 3,978 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 31,119 |
| Marin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mariposa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mendocino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Merced | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Modoc | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mono | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Monterey | 41,415 | 34,092 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 75,506 |
| Napa | 7,873 | 10,317 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18,190 |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Orange | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Placer | 3,581 | 730 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4,311 |
| Plumas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Riverside | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sacramento | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Benito | 3,679 | 3,999 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7,678 |
| San Bernardino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Diego | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Joaquin | 3,153 | 10,677 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 13,830 |
| San Luis Obispo | 4,347 | 7,375 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11,722 |
| San Mateo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Barbara | 21,975 | 8,179 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 30,153 |
| Santa Clara | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Cruz | 1,211 | 1,307 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2,517 |
| Shasta | - | 53 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 53 |
| Sierra | 97 | 1,064 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,161 |
| Siskiyou | 12 | 467 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 479 |
| Solano | 3,283 | 22,803 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 26,085 |
| Sonoma | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stanislaus | 52,189 | 9,742 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 61,931 |
| Sutter | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tehama | 14,935 | 6,102 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 21,037 |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tulare | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tuolumne | - | 16,523 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 16,523 |
| Ventura | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yolo | 38,228 | 16,259 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 54,487 |
| **Cities** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Camarillo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hayward | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Menlo Park | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Newark | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Palo Alto | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Perris | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Redlands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Totals** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Counties | 315,891 | 195,415 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 511,306 |
| Cities | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Grand Totals** | 315,891 | 195,415 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 511,306 |

*Land assessed at a lower value for property taxes under Revenue and Taxation Code Section 110.1 (Proposition 13 provisions) than under Revenue and Taxation Code Sections 423, 423.3, or 423.5 (Williamson Act valuation provisions).

## Contracted Land not Receiving Tax Relief Benefits (Acres)*

| Participating Local Jurisdictions | 2011 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Land Conservation Act | | Farmland Security Zone | | | | Agricultural Conservation | | Other Enforceable Restriction | TOTAL |
| | | | Urban | | Non-Urban | | | | | |
| | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | | |
| **Counties** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alameda | 170 | 20,263 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 20,433 |
| Amador | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Butte | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Calaveras | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Colusa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Contra Costa | 2,432 | 799 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3,231 |
| El Dorado | 208 | 212 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 420 |
| Fresno | 20,364 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 20,364 |
| Glenn | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Humboldt | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Imperial | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kern | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kings | 57,148 | 14,136 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 71,285 |
| Lake | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lassen | 1,800 | 4,503 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6,303 |
| Los Angeles | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Madera | 29,227 | 4,797 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 34,024 |
| Marin | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mariposa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mendocino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Merced | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Modoc | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mono | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Monterey | 41,661 | 35,403 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 77,064 |
| Napa | 9,240 | 9,003 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18,243 |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Orange | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Placer | 665 | 3,280 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3,945 |
| Plumas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Riverside | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sacramento | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Benito | 3,798 | 1,937 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5,735 |
| San Bernardino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Diego | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Joaquin | 3,153 | 10,677 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 13,830 |
| San Luis Obispo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Mateo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Barbara | 21,382 | 8,262 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 29,644 |
| Santa Clara | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Cruz | 1,109 | 360 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,470 |
| Shasta | | 131 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 131 |
| Sierra | 232 | 2,124 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2,356 |
| Siskiyou | 21 | 467 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 488 |
| Solano | 4,244 | 22,752 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 26,996 |
| Sonoma | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stanislaus | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sutter | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tehama | 13,751 | 5,355 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 19,106 |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tulare | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tuolumne | - | 15,607 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15,607 |
| Ventura | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yolo | 57,234 | 4,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 61,234 |
| **Cities** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Camarillo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hayward | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Menlo Park | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Newark | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Palo Alto | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Perris | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Redlands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Totals** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Counties | 267,839 | 164,070 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 431,909 |
| Cities | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Grand Totals** | 267,839 | 164,070 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 431,909 |

*Land assessed at a lower value for property taxes under Revenue and Taxation Code Section 110.1 (Proposition 13 provisions) than under Revenue and Taxation Code Sections 423, 423.3, or 423.5 (Williamson Act valuation provisions).

## Acres Eligible for Open Space Subvention Payment

| Participating Local Jurisdictions | 2010 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Land Conservation Act | | Farmland Security Zone | | | | Agricultural Conservation | | Other Enforceable Restriction | TOTAL |
| | | | Urban | | Non-Urban | | | | | |
| | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | | |
| **Counties** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alameda | 2,406 | 108,431 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 110,837 |
| Amador | 5,008 | 84,327 | - | - | - | - | - | 360 | - | 89,695 |
| Butte | 112,216 | 95,235 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 207,452 |
| Calaveras | 442 | 134,331 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 134,773 |
| Colusa | 64,275 | 193,689 | 15,989 | 737 | 41,015 | 2,035 | - | - | - | 317,739 |
| Contra Costa | 6,681 | 33,433 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 40,114 |
| El Dorado | 2,110 | 30,440 | - | - | 5 | 180 | - | - | - | 32,735 |
| Fresno | 942,147 | 479,294 | - | - | 25,799 | 3,482 | - | - | - | 1,450,722 |
| Glenn | 62,375 | 265,972 | 14,112 | 500 | 73,600 | 2,226 | - | - | - | 418,786 |
| Humboldt | 5,072 | 193,708 | - | - | 236 | 31 | - | - | - | 199,045 |
| Imperial | 113,463 | 3,103 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 116,567 |
| Kern | 579,933 | 881,688 | 25,176 | - | 133,751 | - | - | - | - | 1,620,549 |
| Kings | 202,727 | 97,778 | 28,851 | 227 | 248,090 | 10,642 | - | - | - | 588,315 |
| Lake | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lassen | 13,512 | 293,699 | 546 | 34 | 11,229 | 7,694 | - | - | - | 326,714 |
| Los Angeles | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 40,031 | 40,031 |
| Madera | 158,618 | 252,334 | 13,819 | 362 | 46,334 | 2,111 | 328 | - | - | 473,905 |
| Marin | 1,607 | 83,864 | - | - | 290 | 17,217 | - | - | - | 102,979 |
| Mariposa | - | 185,501 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 185,501 |
| Mendocino | 35,019 | 450,779 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 485,798 |
| Merced | 252,896 | 208,866 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 461,761 |
| Modoc | 17,764 | 109,601 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 127,365 |
| Mono | 13,310 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 13,310 |
| Monterey | 7,894 | 627,192 | 28,551 | 2,767 | 12,728 | 5,484 | 524 | 6 | 2,613 | 687,759 |
| Napa | 10,273 | 41,111 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 51,385 |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Orange | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Placer | 7,853 | 20,095 | 51 | - | - | 1,645 | - | - | - | 29,645 |
| Plumas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Riverside | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sacramento | 86,616 | 83,449 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 170,065 |
| San Benito | 47,072 | 521,287 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 568,359 |
| San Bernardino | 1,915 | 1,493 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3,408 |
| San Diego | 4,670 | 56,212 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 60,882 |
| San Joaquin | 288,998 | 131,472 | 15,215 | 79 | 34,156 | 10,550 | - | - | - | 480,470 |
| San Luis Obispo | 82,568 | 680,722 | 576 | 79 | 55 | 64 | - | - | - | 764,064 |
| San Mateo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Barbara | 50,217 | 419,404 | - | - | 133 | - | 177 | 5,359 | - | 475,291 |
| Santa Clara | 8,696 | 281,969 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 290,665 |
| Santa Cruz | 1,511 | 11,558 | 82 | 32 | - | 10 | - | 63 | - | 13,256 |
| Shasta | 23,702 | 163,353 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 187,054 |
| Sierra | 1,821 | 31,837 | - | 1,751 | - | 3,980 | - | - | - | 39,388 |
| Siskiyou | 95,653 | 322,855 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 418,508 |
| Solano | 115,555 | 120,822 | - | - | - | - | 1,939 | 2,888 | - | 241,203 |
| Sonoma | 42,914 | 224,732 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 267,646 |
| Stanislaus | 203,616 | 336,098 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 539,714 |
| Sutter | 51,256 | 13,165 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 64,420 |
| Tehama | 36,007 | 709,010 | 2,687 | 2,607 | 1,133 | 4,799 | - | - | - | 756,243 |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tulare | 566,964 | 512,428 | 11,102 | 50 | - | - | - | - | 686 | 1,091,230 |
| Tuolumne | - | 103,944 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 103,944 |
| Ventura | 46,891 | 76,624 | 1,599 | 676 | 428 | 238 | - | - | - | 126,457 |
| Yolo | 196,223 | 156,855 | 158 | 1 | - | - | 200 | 7 | - | 353,444 |
| **Cities** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Camarillo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hayward | - | 812 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 812 |
| Menlo Park | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Newark | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Palo Alto | 148 | 268 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 416 |
| Perris | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Redlands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Totals** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Counties | 4,570,468 | 9,833,760 | 158,513 | 9,901 | 628,982 | 72,390 | 3,168 | 8,682 | 43,330 | 15,329,193 |
| Cities | 148 | 1,080 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,228 |
| **Grand Totals** | 4,570,616 | 9,834,840 | 158,513 | 9,901 | 628,982 | 72,390 | 3,168 | 8,682 | 43,330 | 15,330,421 |

## Acres Eligible for Open Space Subvention Payment

| Participating Local Jurisdictions | 2011 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Land Conservation Act | | Farmland Security Zone | | | | Agricultural Conservation | | Other Enforceable Restriction | TOTAL |
| | | | Urban | | Non-Urban | | | | | |
| | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | | |
| **Counties** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alameda | 2,309 | 111,703 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 114,012 |
| Amador | 5,490 | 85,007 | - | - | - | - | - | 360 | - | 90,857 |
| Butte | 112,201 | 95,319 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 207,521 |
| Calaveras | 445 | 136,356 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 136,801 |
| Colusa | 64,275 | 193,689 | 15,989 | 737 | 40,628 | 2,035 | - | - | - | 317,352 |
| Contra Costa | 6,681 | 33,631 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 40,312 |
| El Dorado | 2,060 | 30,359 | - | - | 5 | 180 | - | - | - | 32,604 |
| Fresno | 942,147 | 479,294 | - | - | 25,799 | 3,482 | - | - | - | 1,450,722 |
| Glenn | 62,539 | 268,564 | 14,112 | 500 | 73,600 | 2,226 | - | - | - | 421,541 |
| Humboldt | 5,287 | 193,917 | - | - | 504 | 193 | - | - | - | 199,900 |
| Imperial | 6,188 | (6,868) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (680) |
| Kern | 579,092 | 880,088 | 25,176 | - | 133,751 | - | - | - | - | 1,618,108 |
| Kings | 213,594 | 96,382 | 28,851 | 227 | 248 | 10,642 | - | - | - | 349,944 |
| Lake | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lassen | 14,626 | 292,171 | 546 | 34 | 11,239 | 7,684 | - | - | - | 326,300 |
| Los Angeles | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 40,031 | 40,031 |
| Madera | 156,828 | 251,396 | 13,819 | 362 | 46,335 | 2,110 | 328 | - | - | 471,177 |
| Marin | 1,607 | 83,864 | - | - | 290 | 17,217 | - | - | - | 102,979 |
| Mariposa | - | 182,700 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 182,700 |
| Mendocino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Merced | 252,919 | 208,538 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 461,457 |
| Modoc | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mono | 13,310 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 129 | 13,439 |
| Monterey | 6,643 | 636,242 | 34,820 | 3,665 | 11,156 | 5,109 | 524 | 6 | 2,613 | 700,777 |
| Napa | 8,960 | 42,790 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 51,750 |
| Nevada | - | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Orange | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Placer | 11,066 | 18,041 | 51 | - | - | 1,645 | - | - | - | 30,804 |
| Plumas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Riverside | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sacramento | 85,720 | 83,366 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 169,086 |
| San Benito | 46,947 | 523,362 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 570,309 |
| San Bernardino | 1,914 | 1,493 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3,407 |
| San Diego | 4,676 | 56,307 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 60,983 |
| San Joaquin | 289,680 | 130,443 | 15,213 | 79 | 34,608 | 10,098 | - | - | - | 480,121 |
| San Luis Obispo | 86,993 | 688,062 | 576 | 79 | 55 | 64 | - | - | - | 775,828 |
| San Mateo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Barbara | 51,518 | 419,169 | - | - | 133 | - | 178 | 5,358 | - | 476,356 |
| Santa Clara | 8,533 | 245,155 | - | - | - | - | 286 | - | - | 253,975 |
| Santa Cruz | 1,614 | 12,505 | 82 | 32 | - | 10 | 279 | 63 | - | 14,584 |
| Shasta | 23,702 | 163.277.15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 23,702 |
| Sierra | 1,686 | 30,775 | - | 1,751 | - | 3,980 | - | - | - | 38,192 |
| Siskiyou | 95,775 | 322,746 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 418,521 |
| Solano | 114,551 | 120,764 | - | - | - | - | 1,939 | 2,924 | - | 240,178 |
| Sonoma | 43,105 | 224,697 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 267,803 |
| Stanislaus | - | - | - | - | - | - | | - | - | - |
| Sutter | 51,256 | 13,165 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 64,420 |
| Tehama | 36,912 | 710,472 | 2,692 | 2,602 | 1,315 | 4,918 | - | - | - | 758,912 |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tulare | 566,325 | 509,322 | 11,102 | 50 | - | - | - | - | 686 | 1,087,484 |
| Tuolumne | | 104,744 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 104,744 |
| Ventura | 46,877 | 76,329 | 1,697 | 720 | 428 | 238 | - | - | - | 126,289 |
| Yolo | 134,691 | 149,613 | 158 | 1 | - | - | 200 | 7 | - | 284,669 |
| **Cities** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Camarillo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hayward | - | 812 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 812 |
| Menlo Park | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Newark | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Palo Alto | 148 | 268 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 416 |
| Perris | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Redlands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Totals** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Counties | 4,160,742 | 8,735,669 | 164,882 | 10,838 | 380,093 | 71,832 | 3,734 | 8,718 | 43,459 | 13,579,967 |
| Cities | 148 | 1,080 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,228 |
| **Grand Totals** | 4,160,890 | 8,736,750 | 164,882 | 10,838 | 380,093 | 71,832 | 3,734 | 8,718 | 43,459 | 13,581,194 |

**TABLE A-24 Acres Eligible for Open Space Subvention Payments 2011**

## Open Space Subvention Act Payment Claims ($)

| Participating Local Jurisdictions | 2010 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Land Conservation Act | | Farmland Security Zone | | | | Agricultural Conservation Easement | | Other Enforceable Restriction | TOTAL |
| | | | Urban | | Non-Urban | | | | | |
| | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | | |
| **Counties** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alameda | 12,032 | 108,431 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 120,463 |
| Amador | 25,040 | 84,327 | - | - | - | - | - | 360 | - | 109,727 |
| Butte | 561,082 | 95,235 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 656,317 |
| Calaveras | 2,213 | 134,331 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 136,544 |
| Colusa | 321,375 | 193,689 | 127,911 | 5,893 | 205,077 | 2,035 | - | - | - | 855,979 |
| Contra Costa | 33,405 | 33,433 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 66,838 |
| El Dorado | 10,550 | 30,440 | - | - | 25 | 180 | - | - | - | 41,195 |
| Fresno | 4,710,735 | 479,294 | - | - | 128,993 | 3,482 | - | - | - | 5,322,505 |
| Glenn | 311,877 | 265,972 | 112,898 | 4,003 | 367,998 | 2,226 | - | - | - | 1,064,974 |
| Humboldt | 25,358 | 193,708 | - | - | 1,178 | 31 | - | - | - | 220,273 |
| Imperial | 567,317 | 3,103 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 570,420 |
| Kern | 2,899,666 | 881,688 | 201,411 | - | 668,755 | - | - | - | - | 4,651,520 |
| Kings | 1,013,636 | 97,778 | 230,805 | 1,817 | 1,240,451 | 10,642 | - | - | - | 2,595,128 |
| Lake | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lassen | 67,560 | 293,699 | 4,364 | 272 | 56,145 | 7,694 | - | - | - | 429,734 |
| Los Angeles | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 40,031 | 40,031 |
| Madera | 793,089 | 252,334 | 110,553 | 2,893 | 231,671 | 2,111 | 1,639 | - | - | 1,394,289 |
| Marin | 8,037 | 83,864 | - | - | 1,450 | 17,217 | - | - | - | 110,568 |
| Mariposa | - | 185,501 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 185,501 |
| Mendocino | 175,096 | 450,779 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 625,875 |
| Merced | 1,264,479 | 208,866 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,473,345 |
| Modoc | 88,820 | 109,601 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 198,420 |
| Mono | 66,548 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 66,548 |
| Monterey | 39,469 | 627,192 | 228,409 | 22,134 | 63,642 | 5,484 | 2,622 | 6 | 2,613 | 991,571 |
| Napa | 51,367 | 41,111 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 92,478 |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Orange | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Placer | 39,267 | 20,095 | 410 | - | - | 1,645 | - | - | - | 61,418 |
| Plumas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Riverside | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sacramento | 433,080 | 83,449 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 516,529 |
| San Benito | 235,360 | 521,287 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 756,647 |
| San Bernardino | 9,573 | 1,493 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11,067 |
| San Diego | 23,350 | 56,212 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 79,562 |
| San Joaquin | 1,444,990 | 131,472 | 121,721 | 632 | 170,780 | 10,550 | - | - | - | 1,880,145 |
| San Luis Obispo | 412,840 | 680,722 | 4,605 | 628 | 275 | 64 | - | - | - | 1,099,135 |
| San Mateo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Barbara | 251,087 | 419,404 | - | - | 666 | - | 885 | 5,359 | - | 677,401 |
| Santa Clara | 43,480 | 281,969 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 325,449 |
| Santa Cruz | 7,555 | 11,558 | 653 | 258 | - | 10 | - | 63 | - | 20,097 |
| Shasta | 118,509 | 163,353 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 281,861 |
| Sierra | 9,105 | 31,837 | - | 14,005 | - | 3,980 | - | - | - | 58,927 |
| Siskiyou | 478,267 | 322,855 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 801,122 |
| Solano | 577,773 | 120,822 | - | - | - | - | 9,694 | 2,888 | - | 711,176 |
| Sonoma | 214,568 | 224,732 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 439,301 |
| Stanislaus | 1,018,082 | 336,098 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,354,180 |
| Sutter | 256,280 | 13,165 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 269,444 |
| Tehama | 180,037 | 709,010 | 21,493 | 20,858 | 5,663 | 4,799 | - | - | - | 941,860 |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tulare | 2,834,822 | 512,428 | 88,813 | 400 | - | - | - | - | 686 | 3,437,149 |
| Tuolumne | - | 103,944 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 103,944 |
| Ventura | 234,456 | 76,624 | 12,794 | 5,411 | 2,140 | 238 | - | - | - | 331,664 |
| Yolo | 981,113 | 156,855 | 1,265 | 8 | - | - | 998 | 7 | - | 1,140,246 |
| **Cities** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Camarillo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hayward | - | 812 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 812 |
| Menlo Park | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Newark | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Palo Alto | 738 | 268 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,006 |
| Perris | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Redlands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Totals** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Counties | 22,852,343 | 9,833,760 | 1,268,103 | 79,211 | 3,144,909 | 72,390 | 15,838 | 8,682 | 43,330 | 37,318,566 |
| Cities | 738 | 1,080 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,818 |
| **Grand Totals** | 22,853,081 | 9,834,840 | 1,268,103 | 79,211 | 3,144,909 | 72,390 | 15,838 | 8,682 | 43,330 | 37,320,384 |

**TABLE A-25 Open Space Subvention Act Payment Claims 2010**

## Open Space Subvention Act Payment Claims ($)

| Participating Local Jurisdictions | 2011 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Land Conservation Act | | Farmland Security Zone | | | | Agricultural Conservation Easement | | Other Enforceable Restriction | TOTAL |
| | | | Urban | | Non-Urban | | | | | |
| | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | Prime | Nonprime | | |
| **Counties** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alameda | 11,547 | 111,703 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 123,250 |
| Amador | 27,449 | 85,007 | - | - | - | - | - | 360 | - | 112,816 |
| Butte | 561,006 | 95,319 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 656,326 |
| Calaveras | 2,226 | 136,356 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 138,582 |
| Colusa | 321,375 | 193,689 | 127,911 | 5,893 | 203,139 | 2,035 | - | - | - | 854,041 |
| Contra Costa | 33,405 | 33,631 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 67,036 |
| El Dorado | 10,298 | 30,359 | - | - | 25 | 180 | - | - | - | 40,862 |
| Fresno | 4,710,735 | 479,294 | - | - | 128,993 | 3,482 | - | - | - | 5,322,505 |
| Glenn | 312,694 | 268,564 | 112,898 | 4,003 | 367,998 | 2,226 | - | - | - | 1,068,383 |
| Humboldt | 26,433 | 193,917 | - | - | 2,518 | 193 | - | - | - | 223,061 |
| Imperial | 30,939 | (6,868) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 24,071 |
| Kern | 2,895,462 | 880,088 | 201,411 | - | 668,755 | - | - | - | - | 4,645,715 |
| Kings | 1,067,971 | 96,382 | 230,805 | 1,817 | 1,240,134 | 10,642 | - | - | - | 2,647,750 |
| Lake | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lassen | 73,129 | 292,171 | 4,364 | 272 | 56,195 | 7,684 | - | - | - | 433,815 |
| Los Angeles | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 40,031 | 40,031 |
| Madera | 784,140 | 251,396 | 110,553 | 2,893 | 231,676 | 2,110 | 1,639 | - | - | 1,384,406 |
| Marin | 8,037 | 83,864 | - | - | 1,450 | 17,217 | - | - | - | 110,568 |
| Mariposa | - | 182,700 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 182,700 |
| Mendocino | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Merced | 1,264,593 | 208,538 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,473,131 |
| Modoc | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mono | 66,548 | - | - | - | - | | - | - | 129 | 66,677 |
| Monterey | 33,213 | 636,242 | 278,557 | 29,320 | 55,778 | 5,109 | 2,622 | 6 | 2,613 | 1,043,459 |
| Napa | 44,798 | 42,790 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 87,588 |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Orange | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Placer | 55,332 | 18,041 | 410 | - | - | 1,645 | - | - | - | 75,428 |
| Plumas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Riverside | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sacramento | 428,600 | 83,366 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 511,966 |
| San Benito | 234,735 | 523,362 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 758,097 |
| San Bernardino | 9,573 | 1,493 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11,067 |
| San Diego | 23,380 | 56,307 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 79,687 |
| San Joaquin | 1,448,401 | 130,443 | 121,705 | 632 | 173,038 | 10,098 | - | - | - | 1,884,317 |
| San Luis Obispo | 434,965 | 688,062 | 4,605 | 628 | 275 | 64 | - | - | - | 1,128,599 |
| San Mateo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Santa Barbara | 257,591 | 419,169 | - | - | 666 | - | 889 | 5,358 | - | 683,673 |
| Santa Clara | 42,665 | 245,155 | - | - | - | - | 1,431 | - | - | 289,251 |
| Santa Cruz | 8,068 | 12,505 | 653 | 258 | - | 10 | 1,396 | 63 | - | 22,951 |
| Shasta | 118,509 | 163,277 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 281,786 |
| Sierra | 8,430 | 30,775 | - | 14,005 | - | 3,980 | - | - | - | 57,191 |
| Siskiyou | 478,875 | 322,746 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 801,621 |
| Solano | 572,757 | 120,764 | - | - | - | - | 9,694 | 2,924 | - | 706,139 |
| Sonoma | 215,527 | 224,697 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 440,224 |
| Stanislaus | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sutter | 256,280 | 13,165 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 269,444 |
| Tehama | 184,562 | 710,472 | 21,533 | 20,817 | 6,577 | 4,918 | - | - | - | 948,880 |
| Trinity | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tulare | 2,831,126 | 509,322 | 88,813 | 400 | - | - | - | - | 686 | 3,430,347 |
| Tuolumne | - | 104,744 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 104,744 |
| Ventura | 234,386 | 76,329 | 13,575 | 5,758 | 2,140 | 238 | - | - | - | 332,425 |
| Yolo | 673,455 | 149,613 | 1,265 | 8 | - | - | 998 | 7 | - | 825,345 |
| **Cities** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Camarillo | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hayward | - | 812 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 812 |
| Menlo Park | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Newark | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Palo Alto | 738 | 268 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,006 |
| Perris | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Redlands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Totals** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Counties | 20,803,213 | 8,898,947 | 1,319,057 | 86,702 | 3,139,357 | 71,832 | 18,668 | 8,718 | 43,459 | 34,389,952 |
| Cities | 738 | 1,080 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,818 |
| **Grand Totals** | 20,803,951 | 8,900,027 | 1,319,057 | 86,702 | 3,139,357 | 71,832 | 18,668 | 8,718 | 43,459 | 34,391,770 |

# Appendix B
# Open Space Subvention Act
# Inflation-Adjusted Expenditures 1971-2010

**TABLE B-1**

**Open Space Subvention Act Inflation-Adjusted Expenditures 1971-2010**

| California Fiscal Year | Annual Open Space Subvention Expenditures | Consumer Price Index | Annual Inflation-Adjusted Open Space Subvention Expenditures | Cumulative Open Space Subvention Expenditures | Cumulative Inflation-Adjusted Open Space Subvention Expenditures | Notes |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | ($ millions) | (1) | ($ millions) | ($ millions) | ($ millions) | |
| 1971 | 0.00 | 5.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 1972 | 8.80 | 5.22 | 45.95 | 8.80 | 45.95 | |
| 1973 | 9.68 | 4.91 | 47.55 | 18.49 | 93.50 | |
| 1974 | 10.54 | 4.42 | 46.58 | 29.03 | 140.08 | |
| 1975 | 11.37 | 4.05 | 46.06 | 40.40 | 186.14 | |
| 1976 | 12.17 | 3.83 | 46.60 | 52.56 | 232.74 | |
| 1977 | 12.60 | 3.60 | 45.38 | 65.17 | 278.11 | |
| 1978 | 12.91 | 3.34 | 43.10 | 78.07 | 321.22 | |
| 1979 | 13.21 | 3.00 | 39.64 | 91.29 | 360.86 | |
| 1980 | 13.24 | 2.65 | 35.08 | 104.53 | 395.94 | |
| 1981 | 13.72 | 2.40 | 32.93 | 118.25 | 428.87 | |
| 1982 | 13.47 | 2.26 | 30.45 | 131.72 | 459.33 | |
| 1983 | 13.53 | 2.19 | 29.62 | 145.25 | 488.95 | |
| 1984 | 13.97 | 2.10 | 29.34 | 159.22 | 518.29 | |
| 1985 | 13.82 | 2.03 | 28.06 | 173.05 | 546.35 | |
| 1986 | 14.90 | 1.99 | 29.65 | 187.94 | 576.00 | |
| 1987 | 14.05 | 1.92 | 26.97 | 201.99 | 602.97 | |
| 1988 | 14.47 | 1.84 | 26.62 | 216.46 | 629.59 | |
| 1989 | 19.42 | 1.76 | 34.17 | 235.88 | 663.76 | In FY 1989, $5 million in additional subvention funds were appropriated to participating jurisdictions to support agricultural land conservation in light of other demands on these jurisdictions' general purpose revenues. |
| 1990 | 13.56 | 1.67 | 22.65 | 249.44 | 686.42 | |
| 1991 | 14.14 | 1.60 | 22.63 | 263.58 | 709.05 | |
| 1992 | 13.85 | 1.55 | 21.47 | 277.44 | 730.52 | |
| 1993 | 35.06 | 1.51 | 52.94 | 312.50 | 783.46 | In 1993, subvention payments for prime agricultural land were increased from $1 per acre to $5 per acre. Subvention payments for non-prime agricultural land were increased from 40 cents per acre to $1 per acre; $21 M in additional subvention funds were appropriated to fund these changes. |
| 1994 | 34.70 | 1.47 | 51.00 | 347.19 | 834.46 | |
| 1995 | 33.80 | 1.43 | 48.34 | 381.00 | 882.80 | |
| 1996 | 34.84 | 1.39 | 48.43 | 415.84 | 931.23 | |
| 1997 | 34.94 | 1.36 | 47.51 | 450.77 | 978.74 | |
| 1998 | 35.30 | 1.34 | 47.30 | 486.07 | 1,026.05 | |
| 1999 | 36.58 | 1.31 | 47.92 | 522.66 | 1,073.97 | |
| 2000 | 36.59 | 1.27 | 46.47 | 559.24 | 1,120.44 | |
| 2001 | 38.67 | 1.23 | 47.57 | 597.92 | 1,168.00 | |
| 2002 | 39.00 | 1.21 | 47.19 | 636.91 | 1,215.19 | |
| 2003 | 39.23 | 1.19 | 46.69 | 676.15 | 1,261.88 | |
| 2004 | 39.21 | 1.15 | 45.09 | 715.36 | 1,306.97 | |
| 2005 | 38.68 | 1.12 | 43.32 | 754.03 | 1,350.29 | |
| 2006 | 38.07 | 1.08 | 41.12 | 792.11 | 1,391.41 | |
| 2007 | 37.65 | 1.05 | 39.53 | 829.76 | 1,430.94 | |
| 2008 | 33.85 | 1.01 | 34.19 | 863.60 | 1,465.12 | |
| 2009 | 0.00 | 1.02 | 0.00 | 863.60 | 1,465.12 | Subvention payments were reduced to $1,000 in 2009. No subvention payments were appropriated in subsequent years. |
| 2010 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 863.60 | 1,465.12 | |
| Totals | 863.60 | | 1,465.12 | 863.60 | 1,465.12 | |
| Averages | 22.73 | 2.09 | | | 38.56 | Averages are over 38 payment years. |


Figure B-1
Annual Open Space Subvention Act Expenditures 1971-2010
(millions)
$60.00
$50.00
$40.00
$30.00
$20.00
$10.00
$0.00
1971
1973
1975
1977
1979
1981
1983
1985
1987
1989
1991
1993
1995
1997
1999
2001
2003
2005
2007
2009
Annual Open Space Subvention Expenditures
Annual Inflation-Adjusted Open Space Subvention Expenditures


Figure B-2
Cumulative Open Space Subvention Act Expenditures 1971-2010
(millions)
$1,600.00
$1,400.00
$1,200.00
$1,000.00
$800.00
$600.00
$400.00
$200.00
$0.00
1971
1973
1975
1977
1979
1981
1983
1985
1987
1989
1991
1993
1995
1997
1999
2001
2003
2005
2007
2009
Cumulative Open Space Subvention Expenditures
Cumulative Inflation-Adjusted Open Space Subvention Expenditures

*The Department of Conservation makes no warranties as to the suitability of this product for any particular purpose.*