California Department of Conservation Division of Land Resource Protection Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program

Alternate Alameda County 2016-2018 Land Use Conversion Table A-1

Part 1: County Summary and Change by Land Use Category

Fair 1. County summary and change by Land use Calegory						
Land Use	Total	Total	2016-18	2016-18	2016-18	2016-18
Category	Acreage	Acreage	(2) Acres	(2) Acres	(2) Total	(2) Net
	Inventoried	Inventoried	Lost (-)	Gained	Acreage	Acreage
	2016	2018		(+)	Changed	Changed
Prime	3,392	3,277	165	50	215	-115
Farmland						
Farmland	1,128	1,125	9	6	15	-3
of						
Statewide						
Importance						
Unique	2,155	2,097	76	18	94	-58
Farmland						
Farmland	0	0	0	0	0	0
of Local						
Importance						
Important .	6,675	6,499	250	74	324	-176
Farmland						
Subtotal						
Grazing	240,987	240,719	573	305	878	-268
Land	, , , , ,					
Agricultural	247,662	247,218	823	379	1,202	-444
Land	, ,	,	020		.,	
Subtotal						
Urban and	148,101	148,014	789	669	1,458	-120
Built-up	1 10,101	1 10,011	, 0,	007	1,100	120
Land						
Other Land	76,341	76,995	204	753	957	549
Water Area	53,234	53,970	25	40	65	15
Total Area	525,338	526,197	1,841	1,841	3,682	0
Inventoried	020,000	020,177	1,041	1,041	0,002	
(1)						

Footnote (1): Total Area Inventoried changed in 2018 due to adoption of updated county boundary file; adjacent counties gained or lost corresponding acreages. Statistics representing this change are shown in bottom cells in Part 3 of table.

Footnote (2): 2016-18 Acreage Changes calculates conversions within existing mapped area; county boundary adjustment acreages not included.

Part 2: Land Committed to Nonagricultural Use Data not available for Land Committed to Nonagricultural Use.

Part 3: Land Use Conversion from 2016-2018

Due to the large size of this table, it has been split into two tables. Table One has the conversions of all land uses to the Important Farmland categories (Prime Farmland, Farmland of Statewide Importance, Unique Farmland, Farmland of Local Importance, and Important Farmland Subtotal) and Table Two has the conversions of all land uses to the remaining land use categories (Grazing Land, Agricultural Farmland Subtotal, Urban and Built-up Land, Other Land, Water Area, and Total Area Inventoried).

Table One

Land Use Category	Prime Farmland	Farmland of Statewide Importance	Unique Farmland	Farmland of Local Importance	Important Farmland Subtotal
Prime Farmland	no data	0	0	0	0
Farmland of Statewide Importance	1	no data	0	0	1
Unique Farmland	0	0	no data	0	0
Farmland of Local Importance	0	0	0	no data	0
Important Farmland Subtotal	1	0	0	0	1
Grazing Land	40	3	16	0	59

Land Use Category	Prime Farmland	Farmland of Statewide Importance	Unique Farmland	Farmland of Local Importance	Important Farmland Subtotal
Agricultural Land Subtotal	41	3	16	0	60
Urban and Built-up Land	9	1	0	0	10
Other Land	0	2	2	0	4
Water Area	0	0	0	0	0
Total Acreage Converted	50	6	18	0	74
2018 County Boundary Adjustment (1)	0	0	0	0	0

Footnote (1): 2018 County Boundary Adjustment is due to adoption of updated county boundary file; adjacent counties gained or lost corresponding acreages.

Table Two

Land Use Category	Grazing Land	Agricultural Land Subtotal	Urban and Built- up Land	Other Land	Water Area	Total Converted To Another Use
Prime Farmland	165	165	0	0	0	165
Farmland of Statewide Importance	8	9	0	0	0	9
Unique Farmland	56	56	19	1	0	76
Farmland of Local Importance	0	0	0	0	0	0

Land Use Category	Grazing Land	Agricultural Land Subtotal	Urban and Built- up Land	Other Land	Water Area	Total Converted To Another Use
Important Farmland Subtotal	229	230	19	1	0	250
Grazing Land	no data	59	476	34	4	573
Agricultural Land Subtotal	229	289	495	35	4	823
Urban and Built-up Land (3)	74	84	no data	700	5	789
Other Land	2	6	167	no data	31	204
Water Area	0	0	7	18	no data	25
Total Acreage Converted	305	379	669	753	40	1,841
2018 County Boundary Adjustment (1)	0	0	33	105	721	859

Footnote (1): 2018 County Boundary Adjustment is due to adoption of updated county boundary file; adjacent counties gained or lost corresponding acreages.

Footnote (3): Conversion from Urban and Built-up Land is primarily due to a lack of sufficient infrastructure and the use of detailed digital imagery to delineate more distinct urban boundaries.